STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared for:

ER/UDC WEST WINDSOR LLC

Block 47; Lots 2-6

Township of West Windsor Mercer County, New Jersey

Prepared by:



N.J. Certificate of Authorization 24GA28161700

30 Independence Blvd., Suite 200 Warren, NJ 07059 908-668-8300

BENJ File No. J190844

Tung-To Lam New Jersey Professional Engineer License No. 47863

> November 2021 Revised: December 2021 Revised: March 2022 Revised December 2022 Revised February 2023 Revised April 2023 Revised September 2023 Revised November 2023

This page intentionally left blank.

Table of Contents

1. 2	Intro	oduction	.1
۷.	2 1	Point of Applysis 1	ו. ר
	2.1	2.1.1 Evisting Drainage Area E1	.∠ ר
	2.2	Doint of Applysis 2	.2 2
	2.2	2.2.1 Evisting Drainage Area E2	.2 ר
	22	Z.Z.T Existing Drainage Area EZ	.2 2
	2.3	2.2.1 Evisting Dreipage Area E2	د. د
2	Dee	2.3.1 Existing Drainage Area E5	
э.	P05	Development Site Conditions	.4
	3.1	Point of Analysis 1	.4
		3.1.1 Proposed Drainage Area P1-A (Bypass)	.5 5
		3.1.3 Proposed Drainage Area P1-C (Porous Paver Area #1)	.5
		3.1.4 Proposed Drainage Area P1-D (Porous Paver Area #3)	.5
	3.2	Point of Analysis 2	.6
		3.2.1 Proposed Drainage Area P2-A (Bioretention Basin #1)	.6
		3.2.2 Proposed Drainage Area P2-B (Bioretention Basin #2)	.6
		3.2.3 Proposed Drainage Area P2-C (Porous Paver Area #4)	.7
		3.2.4 Proposed Drainage Area P2-D (Porous Paver Area #5)	./ 7
		3.2.6 Proposed Drainage Area P2-F (Porous Pavement #7)	.8
		3.2.7 Proposed Drainage Area P2-G (Bypass)	.8
		3.2.8 Proposed Drainage Area P2-H (Bioretention Basin #2)	.8
	3.3	Point of Analysis 3	.9
		3.3.1 Proposed Drainage Area P3-A	.9
	3.4	Proposed Structural Stormwater Management Strategies	.9
		3.4.1 Bio-Retention Systems	.9
		3.4.2 Pervious Paving Systems	1
	25	Post Development Summery	.2
4	S.S	rmuster Management Design Methodology	. Э 1 Л
4.	3101	Stermwater Management Design Methodology	.4 1.4
	4.1	Stormwater Quantity Controls.	.4
		4.1.1 Site Solis	.) 15
		4.1.3 Pipe Sizing	16
	4.2	Water Quality Controls	6
	4.3	Groundwater Recharge	7
	4.4	Soil Erosion and Sediment Control	17
	4.5	Low-Impact Development and Non-Structural Stormwater Management Facilities	18
		4.5.1 Vegetation and Landscaping	18
		4.5.3 Impervious Area Management	.0
		4.5.4 Preventative Source Controls	9
	4.6	Stormwater Management Facility Design Details	9
		4.6.1 Precautions and Protections during Construction	9
		4.6.2 Discharge Provisions and Capacity	9
	-	4.6.3 Emergency Spillway Provisions and Capacity	20
5.	Con	10 Iusions	20

Appendices

A. Pre- vs. Post-Development Hydrographs

- Water Quality Storm Events
- 2-Year Storm Event
- 10-Year Storm Event
- 100-Year Storm Event

B. Design Calculations

- McCuen-Spiess Sheet Flow Calculations
- Storm Sewer Profiles
- Emergency Spillway Calculations
- Scour Hole Calculations
- Rip Rap Pad Calculations
- Pipe Sizing
- Groundwater Recharge Worksheet
- Low Impact Development Checklist
- NJDEP Nonstructural Strategies Points System (NSPS)
- Basin Drain Time
- Sediment Basin Calculations
- First Defense Sizing Calculations

C. Supplemental Subsurface Investigation

• Stormwater Investigation

D. Maps

- Tax Map
- Aerial Map
- Soil Map
- State Planning Area Map
- USGS Map
- HUC14 Map
- FEMA FIRM Map
- Drainage Area Maps
 - Existing Drainage Area Map
 - Proposed Drainage Area Map
 - Inlet Drainage Area Map
- Required TSS Removal Map
- Proposed TSS Removal Map

1. Introduction

The subject property is located in the Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey. The property is identified as Block 47, Lots 2 through 6 on the Township of West Windsor tax maps and is a total of 3.904 acres in size and will hereafter be referred to as "the site". The site is bordered to the north by Princeton-Hightstown Road and commercial beyond; to the east by Southfield Road with commercial beyond; to the west by commercial use; and to the south by McGetrick Lane with open space and residential uses beyond. A tax map and aerial map is included in the Appendix for reference.

The site is currently partially developed with residential houses and undeveloped open spaces within the redeveloped B-2A Zone. The site is proposed to subdivide the lots into two lots, Proposed Lot 2.01 and Proposed Lot 2.02 as well as relocated and widen a portion of McGetrick Lane. Proposed Lot 2.01 is proposed to construct a QuickChek food store with fuel sales with ancillary parking, sidewalks, driveways, stormwater and utility improvements. Proposed Lot 2.02 is proposed to construct a restaurant with drive-thru with ancillary parking, sidewalks, driveways, stormwater management systems will convey the runoff from the proposed development and impervious areas.

This report summarizes the design objectives, methodology, and calculations for the conveyance, detention, treatment and discharge of stormwater runoff leaving the site and is meant to accompany the Site Plan documents prepared by Bohler Engineering. Pre-development and post-development conditions are examined for stormwater quantity analysis, water quality analysis, groundwater recharge, green infrastructure, soil erosion and sediment control, and low impact development based on the *NJDEP Stormwater Management Regulations* of March 2020.

2. Pre-Development Site Conditions

The site contains a total area of 3.904 acres. The disturbed watershed area is a total of 4.462 acres in size and consists of three unique drainage areas: Existing Drainage Area E1, E2, and E3, which are described in more detail below. The runoff generated in Drainage Area E1 outfalls via piped and overland flow to an existing storm inlet within Princeton-Hightstown Road, Tributary to the existing storm drainage system. The runoff generated in Drainage Areas E2 outfalls via overland flow to McGetrick Lane and adjacent Block 21.27, Lot 1, Tributary to Bear Brook. The runoff generated in Drainage Areas I along Southfield Road, Tributary to Bear Brook. The runoff from all Drainage Areas ultimately flows southwest to Bear Brook. The Existing Drainage Area Map in Appendix illustrates the limits of the existing drainage area and how it relates to the existing site conditions.

2.1 Point of Analysis 1

All existing drainage areas flows to the west to one point of analysis being the Tributary to Bear Brook where it crosses the western property line. The Existing Drainage Area Map in Appendix C illustrates the identified point of analysis and how it relates to the existing topography on the site.

2.1.1 Existing Drainage Area E1

Consisting of the northern portion of the site, Existing Drainage Area E1 (E1) contains 0.785 acres of land, which consists of woods, grass, parking lot, driveways and portions of the residential houses. The topography of the area slopes towards Princeton-Hightstown Road which runs from south to north, with a maximum on site elevation of approximately 96.50 down to a minimum elevation of approximately 93.35 with slopes ranging from 0.5% to 8%. CN values used are shown in Table 2.1.1 and a calculated time of concentration of 10.5 minutes was used. The runoff from E1 flows through a storm pipe and overland to the Tributary of the existing stormwater system and ultimately flows southeast to Bear Brook. E1 discharges to Point of Analysis 1. Refer to Table 3.3 for a comparison of the pre- and post- development stormwater flows.

CN Values				
Area Description	CN			
Woods, HSG B	55			
Grass, HSG B	61			
Impervious, HSG B	98			

TABLE 2.1.1

2.2 Point of Analysis 2

The existing drainage area E2 flows to the west to one point of analysis being the Tributary to Bear Brook where it crosses the southern property line. The Existing Drainage Area Map in Appendix C illustrates the identified point of analysis and how it relates to the existing topography on the site.

2.2.1 Existing Drainage Area E2

Consisting of majority of the site, Existing Drainage Area #E2 contains 3.524 acres of land, which consists of woods, grass and portions of driveways and residential houses. The topography of the area slopes towards the southern

Tributary to Bear Brook which runs from northeast to southwest, with a maximum on site elevation of approximately 96.30 down to a minimum elevation of approximately 91.05 with slopes ranging from 0.5% to 8%. CN values used are shown in Table 2.2.1 and a calculated time of concentration of 26.3 minutes was used. The runoff from E2 flows overland to the Tributary to McGetrick Lane and adjacent Block 21.27, Lot 1 and ultimately flows southeast to Bear Brook. E2 discharges to Point of Analysis 2. Refer to Table 3.3 for a comparison of the preand post- development stormwater flows.

CN Values				
Area Description	CN			
Woods, HSG B	55			
Grass, HSG B	61			
Impervious, HSG B	98			

ГΑ	BL	Ε	2	2	1	
			_			

2.3 Point of Analysis 3

The existing drainage area E3 flows to the south to one point of analysis being the Tributary to Bear Brook where it crosses the southern property line. The Existing Drainage Area Map in Appendix C illustrates the identified point of analysis and how it relates to the existing topography on the site.

2.3.1 Existing Drainage Area E3

Consisting of the eastern portion of the site, Existing Drainage Area E3 contains 0.280 acres of land, which consists of woods, grass, portion of a residential building and the existing road. The topography of the area slopes towards the southern Tributary to Bear Brook which runs from north to south, with a maximum on site elevation of approximately 95.50 down to a minimum elevation of approximately 91.58 with slopes ranging from 0.5% to 8%. CN values used are shown in Table 2.3.1 and a calculated time of concentration of 3.2 minutes was used. The runoff from E3 flows overland to an existing storm inlet within Southfield Road and the Tributary to Bear Brook. E3 discharges to Point of Analysis 3. Refer to Table 3.3 for a comparison of the pre- and post- development stormwater flows.

TAB	LE	2.3.1	I

CN Values				
Area Description	CN			
Woods, HSG B	55			
Grass, HSG B	61			
Impervious, HSG B	98			

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

• November 2023 •

3. Post-Development Site Conditions

The post-development condition for the site includes the construction of a QuickChek food store with fuel sales on Proposed Lot 2.01, a restaurant with drive-thru on Proposed Lot 2.02, and McGetrick Lane widening and relocation. The proposed site is designed in a manner that generally maintains the existing drainage patterns, although a significant amount of earthwork will be required. Proposed stormwater conveyance systems will collect the runoff from the proposed building and impervious areas via inlets, manholes, porous pavement, porous pavers, and storm sewer piping, and redirect it to the proposed basins throughout the site. The construction of the proposed improvements will require approximately ± 4.462 acres of land disturbance and will create approximately ± 2.899 acres of impervious coverage on the site.

The studied watershed area in the post-development condition contains the same 4.462-acre area, which was studied in the pre-development condition, and which is also tributary to the same point of analysis. The sub-drainage areas contributing to these analysis points are unique to the proposed conditions, which are described in further detail below. The Proposed Drainage Area Map in the Appendix illustrates the limits of each proposed drainage area and how they relate to the proposed site conditions.

3.1 Point of Analysis 1

Refer to Section 2.1 above for a detailed description of Point of Analysis 1. Below is a description of the sub-drainage areas tributary to POA-1, which have been collectively designed in accordance with NJDEP criteria in order to comply with water quality, water quantity, ground water recharge and green infrastructure requirements. Refer to the Proposed Drainage Area Map for more information.

Times of concentration were calculated for each proposed drainage area using the McCuen-Spiess equation for sheet flow, see Appendix B. CN values used in calculations are the same described in the prior section.

Ultimately, the total proposed runoff at Point of Analysis 1 meets the stormwater management criteria set forth in NJAC § 7:8-5.4(a)3.iii. Post-development peak runoff rates for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year storm events for flows tributary to Point of Analysis 1 meet or exceed the 50, 25, and 20 percent reductions, respectively, of the pre-development peak runoff rates for the subject improvements only. Refer to Table 3.3 for a comparison of pre-development flows to the post-development flows for Point of Analysis 1.

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

3.1.1 Proposed Drainage Area P1-A (Bypass)

Drainage Area P1-A consists of the area along the Princeton-Hightstown Road frontage which includes grass area, driveways and sidewalk. Drainage Area P1-A consists of 0.212 acres of grass area and 0.158 acres of impervious surface for a total of 0.370 acres. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the existing storm inlet within Princeton-Hightstown Road.

3.1.2 Proposed Drainage Area P1-B (Porous Paver Area #1)

Drainage Area P1-B consists of the car parking spaces and driveway on the west side of Proposed Lot 2.02 and drains to Porous Paver #1. The porous paver system operates in series with Porous Paver #3. An impervious liner is proposed under the storage bed with perforated underdrains in the storage bed. Drainage Area P1-B consists of 0.044 acres of porous paver and 0.103 acres of impervious surface and 0.016 acres of grass area, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous paver portions.

3.1.3 Proposed Drainage Area P1-C (Porous Paver Area #2)

Drainage Area P1-C consists of the car parking spaces and driveway on the north side of Proposed Lot 2.02 and drains to Porous Paver #2. The porous pavement system operates in series with Porous Paver #3. An impervious liner is proposed under the storage bed with perforated underdrains in the storage bed. Drainage Area P1-C consists of 0.062 acres of porous pavers and 0.127 acres of impervious surface and 0.048 acres of grass area, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous paver portions.

3.1.4 Proposed Drainage Area P1-D (Porous Paver Area #3)

Drainage Area P1-D consists of the proposed restaurant building, parking and patio area in the center of Proposed Lot 2.02 and drains to Porous Paver #3. The porous concrete system operates independently with the first orifice set at the Water Quality storm elevation and conveys the runoff from the 2, 10 and 100-year storms. Drainage Area P1-D consists of 0.157 acres of porous pavers, 0.105 acres of impervious surface and 0.009 acres of grass, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous paver portions.

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

3.2 Point of Analysis 2

Refer to Section 2.1 above for a detailed description of Point of Analysis 2. Below is a description of the sub-drainage areas tributary to POA-2, which have been collectively designed in accordance with NJDEP criteria in order to comply with water quality, water quantity, ground water recharge and green infrastructure requirements. Refer to the Proposed Drainage Area Map for more information.

Times of concentration were calculated for each proposed drainage area using the McCuen-Spiess equation for sheet flow, see Appendix B. CN values used in calculations are the same described in the prior section.

Ultimately, the total proposed runoff at Point of Analysis 2 meets the stormwater management criteria set forth in NJAC § 7:8-5.4(a)3.iii. Post-development peak runoff rates for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year storm events for flows tributary to Point of Analysis 2 meet or exceed the 50, 25, and 20 percent reductions, respectively, of the pre-development peak runoff rates for the subject improvements only. Refer to Table 3.3 for a comparison of pre-development flows to the post-development flows for Point of Analysis 2.

3.2.1 Proposed Drainage Area P2-A (Bioretention Basin #1)

Drainage Area P2-A consists of the Bioretention Basin #1 area, proposed parking, driveways, sidewalk, grass areas of Proposed Lot 2.01 and portions of Proposed Lot 2.02. Basin #1 is proposed to be a small-scale bioretention basin. The drainage area for Basin #1 consists of approximately 0.755 acres of grass area, 0.928 acres of impervious, for a total drainage area of 1.683 acres. Due to high groundwater, an impervious liner is proposed under the sand and gravel layers. An underdrain is proposed in the gravel layer to convey water to the outlet structure.

Per the New Jersey Best Management Practices manual for small-scale bioretention basins, the tributary area for each basin must not exceed 2.50 acres (excluding the area of the basin). The Basin #1 contributory drainage area is 2.448 AC. Basin #1 meets this criterion as shown on the Proposed Drainage Area Map included in the Appendix of this report.

3.2.2 Proposed Drainage Area P2-B (Bioretention Basin #2)

Drainage Area P2-B consists of the Bioretention Basin #2 area. Basin #2 is proposed to be a small-scale bioretention basin. The drainage area for Basin #2 consists of approximately 0.043 acres of grass area and 0.297 acres of impervious for a total drainage area of 0.340 acres.

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC • • November 2023 •

Drainage Area P2-C (Porous Paver Area #4) and P2-H (Basin #2) is also tributary to Bioretention Basin #2.

Per the New Jersey Best Management Practices manual for small-scale bioretention basins, the tributary area for each basin must not exceed 2.50 acres (excluding the area of the basin). The Basin #1 contributory drainage area is 0.592 AC. Basin #2 meets this criterion as shown on the Proposed Drainage Area Map included in the Appendix of this report.

3.2.3 Proposed Drainage Area P2-C (Porous Paver Area #4)

Drainage Area P2-C consists of the car parking spaces and a portion of the driveway on the west side of Proposed Lot 2.01 and drains to Bioretention Basin #2. The porous paver system operates in series with Bioretention Basin #2. An impervious liner is proposed under the storage bed with perforated underdrains in the storage bed. Drainage Area P2-C consists of 0.026 acres of porous pavers and 0.050 acres of impervious surface and 0.009 acres of grass area, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous paver portions.

3.2.4 Proposed Drainage Area P2-D (Porous Paver Area #5)

Drainage Area P2-D consists of the car parking spaces and driveway on the southeast corner of Proposed Lot 2.01 and drains to Bioretention Basin #1. The porous paver system operates in series with Bioretention Basin #1. An impervious liner is proposed under the storage bed with perforated underdrains in the storage bed. Drainage Area P2-D consists of 0.094 acres of porous pavers and 0.125 acres of impervious surface and 0.011 acres of grass area, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous paver portions.

3.2.5 Proposed Drainage Area P2-E (Porous Paver Area #6)

Drainage Area P2-C consists of the car parking spaces and concrete sidewalk around the proposed QuickChek building of Proposed Lot 2.01 and drains to Bioretention Basin #1. The porous paver system operates in series with Bioretention Basin #1. An impervious liner is proposed under the storage bed with perforated underdrains in the storage bed. Drainage Area P2-C consists of 0.176 acres of porous pavers and 0.004 acres of grass area, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement

systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous paver portions.

3.2.6 Proposed Drainage Area P2-F (Porous Pavement #7)

Drainage Area P2-F consists of a portion of the McGetrick Lane roadway expansion, concrete sidewalk, and Porous Pavement #7 which drains to Bioretention Basin #1. An impervious liner is proposed under the storage bed with perforated underdrains in the storage bed. Drainage Area P2-F consists of 0.133 acres of porous concrete, 0.124 acres of impervious area and 0.116 acres of grass area, fulfilling the 3 to 1 contributory drainage area for porous pavement systems from the NJDEP BMP Manual. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the porous pavement portions.

3.2.7 Proposed Drainage Area P2-G (Bypass)

Drainage Area P2-G consists of the area along western property line of Proposed Lot 2.02 which includes mostly grass area. Drainage Area P2-G consists of 0.079 acres of grass area and 0.008 acres of wooded area for a total of 0.087 acres. Storm runoff will be collected via overland sheet flow to the Point of Analysis 2.

3.2.8 Proposed Drainage Area P2-H (Bioretention Basin #2)

Drainage Area P2-H consists of the area along the northern property line of Proposed Lot 2.01 which includes impervious area. Drainage Area P2-H consists of 0.165 acres of impervious area and 0.024 acres of grass area for a total of 0.189 acres. Storm runoff will be collected via an 'B' inlet located on Princeton-Hightstown Road and will be routed to Bioretention Basin #2.

Along the frontage of Princeton-Hightstown Road a 10-foot-wide shoulder has been proposed, which consists of 0.098 acres of proposed motor vehicle surface. The portion of the P2-H Drainage area that extends into Princeton-Hightstown Road and Southfield Road is utilized to capture a portion of the proposed shoulder's motor vehicle surface and a portion of the existing motor vehicle surface areas to be routed and treated by the onsite Bioretention Basin #2. The total motor vehicle surface area being captured and managed onsite is 0.110 acres which exceeds the total proposed motor vehicle surface area for the proposed shoulder.

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC -

3.3 Point of Analysis 3

Refer to Section 2.1 above for a detailed description of Point of Analysis 3. Below is a description of the sub-drainage areas tributary to POA-3, which have been collectively designed in accordance with NJDEP criteria in order to comply with water quality, water quantity, ground water recharge and green infrastructure requirements. Refer to the Proposed Drainage Area Map for more information.

Times of concentration were calculated for each proposed drainage area using the McCuen-Spiess equation for sheet flow. CN values used in calculations are the same described in the prior section.

Ultimately, the total proposed runoff at Point of Analysis 3 is not required to meet the stormwater management criteria set forth in NJAC § 7:8-5.4(a)3.iii.

3.3.1 Proposed Drainage Area P3-A

Drainage Area P3-A consists of a portion of McGetrick Lane, sidewalk and grass areas of the proposed McGetrick Lane right-of-way. The drainage area consists of 0.282 acres of grass and 0.095 acres of impervious area for a total of 0.377 acres. The runoff from Drainage Area P3-A is routed via overland flow to the existing storm inlet within the Southfield Road right-of-way, and ultimately flows to Bear Brook. The routing of the runoff from Proposed Drainage Area P3-A is depicted on the Inlet Area Map in Appendix C.

3.4 **Proposed Structural Stormwater Management Strategies**

The entire site ultimately flows to the same Point of Analysis #1 in the pre- and postdevelopment conditions. Several practices have been implemented throughout the site in order to achieve water quantity, water quality, and groundwater recharge compliance in post-development conditions.

3.4.1 Bio-Retention Systems

Basins #1 and #2 are proposed to be aboveground bioretention basins. All of the proposed bioretention basins have been designed as small-scale and will operate independently. All of the above-mentioned bio-retention systems have been designed with an underdrain and impervious liner due to the shallow groundwater table and will therefore not be utilized to meet the groundwater recharge requirements. The bioretention system meets the minimum requirements outlined in the *New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual* by providing 18 inches of soil bed depth, a 6-inch sand layer beneath the soil bed, and a proposed underdrain system to discharge storm water out of the system. The bioretention basins also provide

biocontainment and treatment of the entire Water Quality Design Storm volume and a storage depth of 12 inches maximum for a flat-bottom system. The bio-retention system for this site will achieve 80% TSS removal based on the 18-inch thick soil layer with the appropriate vegetation.

DESIGN PARAMETERS						
TSS Removal Rate	Depth of Soil Bed	Vegetation				
80%	18 inches	Terrestrial Forested Community				
80%	24 inches	Site-Tolerant Grasses				
90%	24 inches Terrestrial Forested Community					
Storage Volume	Entire Water Quality Design Storm Volume					
Minimum Density of Vegetation	85%					
Appropriate Species Selection	See Chapter 7 of the NJ Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual					
Maximum Design Storm Drain Time	72 Hours, Using Slowest Design Permeability Rate					
Permeability Rate Factor of Safety		2				
Minimum Subsoil Design Permeability Rate	0.5 inches/hour					
Soil Testing Requirements	Must be consistent with Appendix E of the NJ Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual					

TABLE 3.4.1.1

Г

Bioretention Basin #	1	2
Contributory Drainage Area (Acres)	2.448	0.592
Basin Area (Acres)	0.263	0.026
Soil Bed Depth (Inches)	18	18
Subsoil Permeability (Inches/Hour)	N/A	3.6
Drain Time (Hours)	16.0	18.0
Underdrain Size (Inches)	6	N/A
Water Quality Storm Depth (Feet)	0.42	0.90
TSS Removal Rate (%)	80	80
System Bottom Elevation	88.50	91.70
Seasonal High Ground Water Elevation	85.20	89.70

Table 3.4.1.2 - Small-Scale Bioretention Systems Summary

3.4.2 Pervious Paving Systems

Pervious paving materials have been proposed as part of the overall site design and stormwater management system to reduce the impervious surface on site, provide 80% TSS removal for pervious paved surfaces and their tributary areas, and reduce the peak flows of runoff. The pervious paving systems have been designed to have a maximum ratio of additional inflow area to the pavement surface area of 3:1 or less, a maximum surface slope of 5%, a storage bed that fully contains the Water Quality Design Storm runoff volume, and to discharge the design storm within 72 hours of a rain event.

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC =

TABLE 3.2.8

DESIGN PARAMETERS					
Porous Asphalt, Pervious Concrete and Permeable Interlocking Paver Units	20 inches/hour Minimum Infiltration Rate				

Pervious Paving System #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Porous Area (Acres)	0.044	0.062	0.157	0.026	0.094	0.176	0.133
Additional Drainage Area (Acres)	0.119	0.186	0.419*	0.064	0.136	0.004	0.240
Drainage Area Ratio	2.7:1	3:1	0.7:1	2.5:1	1.5:1	0:1	1.8:1
Surface Slope (%)	1.5%	1.1%	1.5%	1.75%	1.1%	1.0%	2.0%
Underdrain Size (Inches)	2-3"	6"	N/A	6"	6"	6"	2-6"
Drain Time (Hours)	18	14	18	18	16	28	26
System Bottom Elevation	92.10	92.90	92.00	94.00	94.00	94.25	89.50
Seasonal High Groundwater Elevation	88.50	91.90	90.00	91.10	93.00	93.00	88.50

Table 3.4.2 - Pervious Paving Systems Summary

*Porous Paver Area #3 includes additional drainage area from Porous Paver Areas #1 & 2.

3.4.3 Emergency Spillways

The emergency spillways associated with the proposed bioretention basin #2 have been designed to pass the 100-year storm with the culvert off. Bioretention basin #1 has been designed to pass a design storm that is equivalent to the 100-year storm plus 50%. At least one foot of freeboard is provided above the peak water elevation while the emergency spillway is operating. Refer to Table 4.6.3 for the Emergency Spillway Discharge Capacity summary table.

3.5 Post-Development Summary

TABLE 3.5.1

REQUIRED AND PROPOSED STORMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL: EXISTING VS. PROPOSED FLOW SUMMARY

	Existing Drainage Area #1	Reductions	Proposed Drainage Area #1
2 yr. Flow (cfs)	0.96 cfs	50%= 0.48 cfs	44.2% 0.42 cfs
10 yr. Flow (cfs)	1.71 cfs	75%= 1.28 cfs	50.6% 0.86 cfs
100 yr. Flow (cfs)	3.39 cfs	80%= 2.71 cfs	57.3% 1.93 cfs

	Existing Drainage Area #2	Reductions	Proposed Drainage Area #2
2 yr. Flow (cfs)	0.96 cfs	50%= 0.48 cfs	50% 0.48 cfs
10 yr. Flow (cfs)	2.58 cfs	75%= 1.94 cfs	60.5% 1.56 cfs
100 yr. Flow (cfs)	6.84 cfs	80%= 5.47 cfs	75.6% 5.17 cfs

	Existing Drainage Area #3	Reductions	Proposed Road Drainage Area #1
2 yr. Flow (cfs)	0.48 cfs	50%= 0.24 cfs	50% 0.24 cfs
10 yr. Flow (cfs)	0.89 cfs	75%= 0.66 cfs	41.6% 0.52 cfs
100 yr. Flow (cfs)	1.80 cfs	80%= 1.44 cfs	33.3% 1.20 cfs

TABLE 3.5.2

REQUIRED AND PROPOSED GROUNDWATER RECHARGE SUMMARY

(Refer to Appendix B for Groundwater Recharge Worksheet)

Recharge Analysis	Annual Recharge Volume (CF)
Pre-Developed Conditions	177,253
Post-Developed Conditions	77,228
Total Recharge Deficit	100,025

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC
November 2023

Proposed BMPs	Proposed BMP Annual Recharge Volume (CF)
Bioretention Basin #2	40,000
Porous Paver Area #3	61,678
Total	101,678

TABLE 3.5.3

REQUIRED AND PROPOSED STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL SUMMARY

(Refer to Appendix D for Required TSS Removal Map and Proposed TSS Removal Map)

	Required TSS Removal (AC)	Proposed TSS Removal (AC)
Motor Vehicle Surface Not Treated (0% TSS Removal)	N/A	0.175
Existing Motor Vehicle Surface (50% TSS Removal)*	0.531	N/A
New Motor Vehicle Surface (80% TSS Removal)	1.790	1.003
TSS in Series** (90% TSS Removal)	N/A	0.714
TSS in Series*** (96% TSS Removal)	N/A	0.429
TSS Removal Rate	73.2%	80.0%

*Proposed pavement on top of existing pavement = 50% TSS Removal Rate **TSS in Series Calculation: First BMP TSS = 50%, Second BMP TSS = 80 (TSS Removal Rate in Series = (50 + 80) - ((50*80)/100) = 90%

***TSS in Series Calculation: First BMP TSS = 80%, Second BMP TSS = 80 (TSS Removal Rate in Series = (80 + 80) – ((80*80)/100) = 96%

4. Stormwater Management Design Methodology

In accordance with the NJDEP Stormwater Management Regulations, the proposed development must meet the requirements, if appropriate, for stormwater quantity reductions, water quality, groundwater recharge, soil erosion and sediment control, and low impact development. The following sections describe how each of the above items are addressed on site in the post-development condition.

4.1 Stormwater Quantity Controls

The Assessment of stormwater quantity has been based upon the DelMarVa region Unit Hydrograph as described in Technical Release Number 55 (TR55), "Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds". Theoretical storms are modeled with the 24-Hour DelMarVa Unit Dimensionless Hydrograph using the NOAA Atlas 14 Type C rainfall distribution and recurrence intervals of 2, 10, and 100 years. Hydrograph creation and routings are accomplished using the *HydroCAD* Version 10.00 program by HydroCAD Software Solutions, LLC. The following techniques from the *NJDEP Stormwater Management Regulations* is being applied to each drainage area as noted in section 3.3:

2. NJAC § 7:8-5.4(a)3.iii states the post-development peak runoff rates for the 2-, 10-, and 100-year storm events are 50, 75, and 80 percent, respectively, of the pre-development peak runoff rates. The above section of the NJAC will be applied to drainage areas that are impacted by the proposed development and flow to a detention or retention system.

The project's proposed stormwater management facilities for stormwater peak flow attenuation will consist of two (2) basins, six (6) porous paver systems, and one (1) porous pavement system addressing the drainage areas outlined in Section 3 of this report. The study requires the establishment of a point of analysis, as indicated in Section 2. Existing and proposed CN and Tc calculations, as well as existing and proposed hydrographs are provided in Appendix A. The information below describes the methodology in which the stormwater calculations were procured.

4.1.1 Site Soils

Site soil information has been obtained from the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) web soil survey database, last revised in 2020. The soil types present on site are included in Table 4.1.1 and were used to determine HSG ratings for cover types. Additionally, Soil Testing in accordance with Chapter 12 of the NJDEP BMP Manual, were conducted to determine the HSG ratings on site. Please refer to the Appendix for the Geotechnical Testing. The results determined on site that the majority of the soil is considered HSG 'B'.

TABLE 4.1.1

Soil Types Present On-Site

Soil Symbol	Soil Name	Slopes	HSG Rating
SacC	Sassafras	5-10%	В

4.1.2 Rainfall Data

Rainfall data used in the stormwater calculations of this report are obtained from several different sources based on the latest NJDEP stormwater regulations. The Water Quality storm event is based on the NJDEP BMP Manual Chapter 5

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

definition of having a total rainfall depth of 1.25 inches and a total duration of two (2) hours. Twenty-four-hour rainfall frequency data in Mercer County for all other storms is obtained from the NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States, updated in 2006 and listed in the table below:

Event (year)	2	10	25	100
Rainfall (in)	3.31	5.01	6.19	8.33

TABLE 4.1.2

4.1.3 Pipe Sizing

Calculations for sizing the stormwater pipe networks associated with the proposed stormwater management conveyance system can be found in Appendix B of this report. The Rational Method has been used to size the storm piping for the 25-year storm event. An Inlet Area Map is included in Appendix C.

4.2 Water Quality Controls

Water quality analysis is based on the requirements of *NJDEP Stormwater Management Regulations*, which requires the use of green infrastructure to provide 80% TSS removal of post-development runoff from new regulated motor vehicle surfaces generated from the water quality design storm before discharging the runoff. Small scale bioretention basins and porous pavement areas proposed on-site each provide 80% TSS removal. To be considered as green infrastructure, the small-scale bioretention basins are proposed with a maximum drainage area of 2.5 acres (excluding the basin area), and the porous pavement areas are proposed with a maximum additional inflow area of three times the area occupied by the porous pavement. Refer to Table 3.5.3 for the Required and Proposed Stormwater Quality Control Summary.

Motor Vehicle Surface Areas:

Existing Motor Vehicle Surface Area: 0.444 Acres Proposed Motor Vehicle Surface Area: 2.183 Acres Net Increase in Motor Vehicle Surface Area: 2.183 Acres – 0.444 Acres = 1.739 Acres

Required:

Existing Motor Vehicle Surface Area – 50% TSS Removal Treatment required Net Increase in Motor Vehicle Surface Area – 80% TSS Removal Treatment required

Proposed:

<u>0% (0.175 Ac.) + 80% (1.003 Ac.) + 90%* (0.714 Ac.) + 96%** (0.429 Ac.)</u> = 80.0% 2.321 Ac.

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

• November 2023 •

80.0% Weighted Proposed TSS Removal Rate > 73.9% Required TSS Removal Rate

As calculated the weighted proposed TSS removal rate is greater than the required rate; the project, as designed, meets the water quality requirement for the proposed development.

*90% TSS removal reflects the drainage areas that go through the 50% TSS removal First Defense Unit and then 80% TSS removal Bioretention Basin BMPs in Series. **96% TSS removal reflects the drainage areas that go through the 80% TSS removal Porous Paver Area and then 80% TSS removal Bioretention Basin BMPs in Series.

4.3 Groundwater Recharge

The NJDEP Stormwater Management Regulations require that a proposed land development site comply with either of the following groundwater recharge requirements:

- 1. Demonstrate that 100% of the site's average annual pre-developed groundwater recharge volume will be maintained after development; or
- 2. Demonstrate that 100% of the difference between the site's pre-development and post-development 2-year runoff volume is infiltrated.

Additionally, NJAC § 7:8-5.4(a)2 states that the groundwater recharge requirement does not apply to projects within the urban redevelopment area nor projects where recharge would be inconsistent with a remedial action work plan.

Proposed pervious paver area #3 and Bioretention Basin #2 are designed to maximize groundwater recharge for the development, which adequately resupplies local groundwater volume per NJDEP requirements. Refer to the NJDEP Annual Groundwater Recharge Analysis spreadsheet within the Appendix for quantifications of the pre- vs. post-development recharge volumes, which demonstrates that the combination of the proposed pervious pavement and concrete provides the required annual groundwater recharge deficit. Refer to Table 3.5.2 for the Required and Proposed Groundwater Recharge Summary.

4.4 Soil Erosion and Sediment Control

The Soil Erosion and Sediment Control plans and details are included within the Site Plan documents prepared by Bohler Engineering and must be followed throughout construction. Silt fences, stabilized construction entrances, a temporary stockpile and inlet filters are proposed during construction. It is noted that stormwater from the site during construction will drain to a temporary sediment basin on the southern portion of the site, which will ultimately be converted into bioretention basin #1 for the project. This

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

report and the Site Plan documents prepared by Bohler Engineering NJ, LLC are being submitted to the Mercer County Soil Conservation District for certification.

4.5 Low-Impact Development and Non-Structural Stormwater Management Facilities

In accordance with the NJDEP regulations and the latest *New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual*, several non-structural stormwater management strategies have been incorporated into the design of the site and are listed below:

4.5.1 Vegetation and Landscaping

A comprehensive Landscape Plan has been incorporated into the design of the proposed improvements on the site that provides low maintenance landscaping. The use of lawn areas has been minimized where applicable and fertilizers and pesticides are to be used sparingly.

4.5.1.1 Native Ground Cover

Native plants including ground cover, shrubs and trees instead of turf grass have been proposed as part of the landscape design for the site. The native plantings will also require little or no irrigation once they are established.

4.5.2 Minimize Land Disturbance

The proposed design of the site incorporates the preservation of existing vegetative areas that will remain undisturbed. The undisturbed areas will be protected during construction and will have easements and/or deed restrictions established as required by other NJDEP regulations and permits to ensure these areas remain undisturbed in the future

4.5.3 Impervious Area Management

Impervious areas are the primary source of additional runoff in the postdevelopment site condition. The sections below describe the measures that have been taken in the proposed site design to minimize the amount of impervious proposed on site

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

4.5.3.1 Streets, Sidewalks, and Parking, Driveway Areas

As part of the proposed site design, porous pavement and pavers with landscaped islands are proposed to minimize the amount of impervious parking on site as well as to break up the impervious surface.

4.5.4 **Preventative Source Controls**

The proposed development complies with this strategy by providing a trash receptacle at a key location along the entrance of the QuickChek building. Floatable and total suspended solids are eliminated at 80% with the 18" vegetative layer in the aboveground bioretention basins. Trash racks are to be provided at each outlet structure to help prevent larger debris from entering the downstream water sources. Refer to the Operations & Maintenance Manual for the project for more information regarding maintenance and preventative actions to be followed.

4.6 Stormwater Management Facility Design Details

4.6.1 Precautions and Protections during Construction

Precautions and protections during construction are included as part of the Soil Erosion & Sediment Control Plan which includes protections such as silt fences, stabilized construction entrances, temporary stockpile and inlet filters are proposed during construction.

4.6.2 Discharge Provisions and Capacity

TABLE 4.6.2

Outlet Structure	WQ-Storm Discharge Capacity (cfs)	2-yr Storm Discharge Capacity (cfs)	10-Yr Storm Discharge Capacity (cfs)	100-Yr Storm Discharge Capacity (cfs)
O.S. #130 (Bioretention Basin #1)	0.36	0.41	1.33	4.40
O.S. #430 (Bioretention Basin #2)	0.00	0.11	1.30	4.38
O.S. #700 (Porous Paver Area #1)	0.21	0.19	0.22	1.15
O.S. #640 (Porous Paver Area #2)	0.21	0.31	0.45	0.74
O.S. #620 (Porous Paver Area #3)	0.00	0.15	0.32	1.03
O.S. #330 (Porous Paver Area #5)	0.05	0.33	0.85	1.57
O.S. #331 (Porous Paver Area #6)	0.01	0.04	0.07	0.12
O.S. #110 (Porous Pavement Area #7)	0.03	0.07	0.27	1.35

Outlet Structure Discharge Capacity

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC -

4.6.3 Emergency Spillway Provisions and Capacity

TABLE 4.6.3

Emergency Spillway Discharge Capacity

Outlet Structure	Top of Basin Elevation	Spillway Elevation	100-Yr Storm Basin Elevation	100-Yr Storm Spillway Capacity (cfs)	100-Yr Storm + 50% Basin Elevation	100-Yr Storm + 50% Spillway Capacity (cfs)
Emergency Spillway #1 (Bioretention Basin #1) (105' Spillway Length)	95.28	94.13	94.24	N/A	94.29	17.40
Emergency Spillway #2 (Bioretention Basin #2) (24" RCP Pipe)	96.40	95.55	95.50	N/A	95.65	8.17

5. Conclusions

As demonstrated in the above sections, the stormwater management plan for the proposed development meets the *NJDEP Stormwater Management Regulations* of March 2020, and addresses the requirements for stormwater quantity reductions, water quality, groundwater recharge, soil erosion and sediment control, and low impact development. As a result of the design calculations contained herein, Bohler Engineering anticipates that the stormwater design will not have a negative impact to surrounding areas.

G:\2019\J190844\Admin\Reports\Stormwater\Rev 3a\Stormwater Management Report.docx

Stormwater Management Report for ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC =

A. PRE- vs. POST-DEVELOPMENT HYDROGRAPHS

- Water Quality Storm Event
- 2-Year Storm Event
- ◆ 10-Year Storm Event
- 100-Year Storm Event



Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
 (acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)
2.969	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (E1, E2, E3)
0.897	98	Paved parking, HSG B (E1, E2, E3)
0.723	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (E1, E2, E3)
4.589	67	TOTAL AREA

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
4.589	HSG B	E1, E2, E3
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
4.589		TOTAL AREA

J190844_Rev 6a-Exsiting

Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 11/10/2023 Page 4

			Cround e		nouco,		
HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers
0.000	2.969	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.969	>75% Grass cover, Good	E1, E2,
							E3
0.000	0.897	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.897	Paved parking	E1, E2,
							E3
0.000	0.723	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.723	Woods, Good	E1, E2,
							E3
0.000	4.589	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.589	TOTAL AREA	

Ground Covers (all nodes)

Runoff 0.69 cfs @ 1.17 hrs, Volume= = Routed to nonexistent node EDA 1

0.033 af, Depth= 0.50"

Printed 11/10/2023

Page 5

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

Area	(ac) (CN Des	cription						
0.	.020	55 Woo	Woods, Good, HSG B						
0.	385	61 >75	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B				
0.	380	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG B					
0.	785	79 Wei	ghted Aver	age					
0.	405	61 51.5	9% Pervio	us Area					
0.	380	98 48.4	1% Imperv	∕ious Area					
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
7.9	23	0.0120	0.05		Sheet Flow, AB				
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"				
0.1	6	0.0130	0.80		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC				
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps				
2.5	237	0.0060	1.57		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD				
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps				
10.5	266	Total							

Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET



Summary for Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

Runoff = 0.41 cfs @ 1.40 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 2 0.034 af, Depth= 0.12"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

Are	a (ac)	CI	N Dese	cription					
	0.689	5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B				
	2.435	6	1 >759	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B			
0.400 98 Paved parking, HSG B									
3.524 64 Weighted Average									
3.124 60 88.65% Pervious Area									
0.400 98 11.35% Impervious Area									
-			~		o				
 /i-	c Leng	th	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(mir) (tee	et)	(π/π)	(IT/Sec)	(CIS)				
1.	9 1	8	0.0360	0.16		Sheet Flow, AB			
•			0.0450	0.00		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"			
9.	2 3	31	0.0150	0.06		Sheet Flow, BC			
4	~ r	~	0.0400	0.00		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"			
Т.	0 5	9	0.0160	0.63		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD			
0	1	0	0.0570	1 10		Shellow Concentrated Flow DE			
0.	I	9	0.0570	1.19		Moodland Ky= 5.0 fpc			
2	4 7	1	0 0050	0 / 0		Shallow Concentrated Flow FF			
۷.	+ /	1	0.0000	0.43		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps			
11	1 33	1	0.0050	0 4 9		Shallow Concentrated Flow FG			
			0.0000	0.40		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps			
0.	0	7	0.0210	2.94		Shallow Concentrated Flow, HI			
•	-	-				Paved $Kv = 20.3 \text{ fps}$			
26.	3 52	26	Total			•			

Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1



Summary for Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD

Runoff = 0.34 cfs @ 1.09 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 3 0.010 af, Depth= 0.43"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

 Area (ac)	CN	Desc	cription						
0.014	55	Woo	Woods, Good, HSG B						
0.149	61	>75%	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B						
 0.117	98	Pave	ed parking,	, HSG B					
 0.280	76	Weig	hted Aver	age					
0.163 60 58.21% Pervious Area									
0.117 98 41.79% Impervious Area									
Tc Leng	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description				
 (min) (fe	et)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)					
3.2	44 (0.0590	0.23		Sheet Flow, AB				
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"				

Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD



Area Listing (all nodes)

	Area	CN	Description		
((acres)		(subcatchment-numbers)		
	2.969	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (E1, E2, E3)		
	0.897	98	Paved parking, HSG B (E1, E2, E3)		
	0.723	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (E1, E2, E3)		
	4.589	67	TOTAL AREA		

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
4.589	HSG B	E1, E2, E3
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
4.589		TOTAL AREA

J190844_Rev 6a-Exsiting

Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 11/10/2023 Page 4

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Subcatchment			
 (acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	Cover	Numbers			
0.000	2.969	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.969	>75% Grass cover, Good	E1, E2,			
							E3			
0.000	0.897	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.897	Paved parking	E1, E2,			
							E3			
0.000	0.723	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.723	Woods, Good	E1, E2,			
							E3			
0.000	4.589	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.589	TOTAL AREA				

Ground Covers (all nodes)
Summary for Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff = 0.96 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 1 0.114 af, Depth= 1.74"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
0.	020	55 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
0.	385 (61 >75	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
0.	380 9	98 Pav	ed parking	, HSG B	
0.	785	79 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
0.	405 (51 51.5	9% Pervio	us Area	
0.	380 9	98 48.4	1% Imper	∕ious Area	
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
7.9	23	0.0120	0.05		Sheet Flow, AB
0.1	6	0.0130	0.80		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35" Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
2.5	237	0.0060	1.57		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
40.5					1 aveu IV- 20.0 ipo

10.5 266 Total

Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET



Summary for Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

Runoff = 0.96 cfs @ 12.50 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 2 0.220 af, Depth= 0.75"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Are	a (ac)	CI	N Dese	cription		
	0.689	5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
	2.435	6	1 >759	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.400	9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
	3.524	6	4 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.124	6	0 88.6	5% Pervio	us Area	
	0.400	9	8 11.3	5% Imper	/ious Area	
-			~		o	
 /i-	c Leng	th	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(mir) (tee	et)	(π/π)	(IT/Sec)	(CIS)	
1.	9 1	8	0.0360	0.16		Sheet Flow, AB
•			0.0450	0.00		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
9.	2 3	31	0.0150	0.06		Sheet Flow, BC
4	~ r	~	0.0400	0.00		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"
Т.	0 5	9	0.0160	0.63		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
0	1	0	0.0570	1 10		Shellow Concentrated Flow DE
0.	I	9	0.0570	1.19		Moodland Ky= 5.0 fpc
2	4 7	1	0 0050	0 / 0		Shallow Concentrated Flow FF
۷.	+ /	1	0.0000	0.43		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
11	1 33	1	0.0050	0 4 9		Shallow Concentrated Flow FG
			0.0000	0.40		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
0.	0	7	0.0210	2.94		Shallow Concentrated Flow, HI
•	-	-				Paved $Kv = 20.3 \text{ fps}$
26.	3 52	26	Total			•

(g) Mg

Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

Summary for Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD

Runoff = 0.47 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 3 0.036 af, Depth= 1.55"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

_	Area (ac)	C	N Des	cription		
	0.014	5	5 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
	0.149	6	1 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.117	9	8 Pav	ed parking	, HSG B	
	0.280	7	6 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
	0.163	6	0 58.2	21% Pervio	us Area	
	0.117	9	8 41.7	9% Imperv	vious Area	
				-		
	Tc Ler	ngth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min) (f	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	3.2	44	0.0590	0.23		Sheet Flow, AB
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"

Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD



Summary for Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff 1.71 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= = Routed to nonexistent node EDA 1

0.198 af, Depth= 3.02"

Printed 11/10/2023

Page 9

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
0.	020	55 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
0.	385 (61 >75°	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
0.	380 9	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
0.	785	79 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
0.4	405 6	51 51.5	9% Pervio	us Area	
0.	380 9	98 48.4	1% Imperv	ious Area	
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
7.9	23	0.0120	0.05		Sheet Flow, AB
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"
0.1	6	0.0130	0.80		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
2.5	237	0.0060	1.57		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
10.5	266	Total			

Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET



Summary for Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

Runoff = 2.58 cfs @ 12.45 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 2 0.499 af, Depth= 1.70"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
0.	689 5	55 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
2.	435 6	61 > 759	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
0.	400 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
3.	524 6	64 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
3.	124 6	6.88 06	5% Pervio	us Area	
0.	400 9	98 11.3	5% Imperv	/ious Area	
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
1.9	18	0.0360	0.16		Sheet Flow, AB
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
9.2	31	0.0150	0.06		Sheet Flow, BC
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"
1.6	59	0.0160	0.63		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
0.1	9	0.0570	1.19		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
					Woodland Kv= 5.0 fps
2.4	71	0.0050	0.49		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
11.1	331	0.0050	0.49		Shallow Concentrated Flow, FG
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
0.0	7	0.0210	2.94		Shallow Concentrated Flow, HI
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
26.3	526	Total			

Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1



Summary for Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD

Runoff = 0.87 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 3 0.064 af, Depth= 2.76"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

 Area (ac)	CI	N Des	cription		
0.014	5	5 Woo	ods, Good,	HSG B	
0.149	6	1 >75	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
 0.117	9	8 Pav	ed parking	, HSG B	
 0.280	7	6 Wei	ghted Aver	age	
0.163	6	0 58.2	1% Pervio	us Area	
0.117	9	8 41.7	9% Imperv	/ious Area	
			-		
Tc Len	gth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
 (min) (fe	eet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
3.2	44	0.0590	0.23		Sheet Flow, AB
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"

Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD



Summary for Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff 3.39 cfs @ 12.20 hrs, Volume= = Routed to nonexistent node EDA 1

0.381 af, Depth= 5.82"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
0.	020	55 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
0.	385 (61 >75°	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
0.	380 9	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
0.	785	79 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
0.	405 (51 51.5	9% Pervio	us Area	
0.	380 9	98 48.4	1% Imperv	∕ious Area	
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
7.9	23	0.0120	0.05		Sheet Flow, AB
					Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"
0.1	6	0.0130	0.80		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
2.5	237	0.0060	1.57		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
10.5	266	Total			

Subcatchment E1: TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET



Printed 11/10/2023 Page 13

Summary for Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

Runoff = 6.84 cfs @ 12.40 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 2 1.202 af, Depth= 4.09"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33"

Are	a (ac)	CI	N Dese	cription		
	0.689	5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
	2.435	6	1 >759	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.400	9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
	3.524	6	4 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	3.124	6	0 88.6	5% Pervio	us Area	
	0.400	9	8 11.3	5% Imper	/ious Area	
-			~		o	
 /i-	c Leng	th	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(mir) (tee	et)	(π/π)	(IT/Sec)	(CIS)	
1.	9 1	8	0.0360	0.16		Sheet Flow, AB
•			0.0450	0.00		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
9.	2 3	31	0.0150	0.06		Sheet Flow, BC
4	~ r	~	0.0400	0.00		Woods: Light underbrush n= 0.400 P2= 3.35"
Т.	0 5	9	0.0160	0.63		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
0	1	0	0.0570	1 10		Shellow Concentrated Flow DE
0.	I	9	0.0570	1.19		Moodland Ky= 5.0 fpc
2	4 7	1	0 0050	0 / 0		Shallow Concentrated Flow FF
۷.	+ /	1	0.0000	0.43		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
11	1 33	1	0.0050	0 49		Shallow Concentrated Flow FG
			0.0000	0.40		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
0.	0	7	0.0210	2.94		Shallow Concentrated Flow, HI
•	-	-				Paved $Kv = 20.3 \text{ fps}$
26.	3 52	26	Total			•

Subcatchment E2: TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1



Summary for Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD

Runoff = 1.77 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= Routed to nonexistent node EDA 3 0.128 af, Depth= 5.47"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-96.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33"

Area (ac)		N Desc	cription		
0.014	. 5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
0.149	6	1 >759	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
0.117	′ 98	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
0.280) 76	6 Weig	phted Aver	age	
0.163	60	0 58.2	1% Pervio	us Area	
0.117	′ 98	8 41.7	9% Imperv	/ious Area	
Tc Le	ngth	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min) (1	feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
3.2	44	0.0590	0.23		Sheet Flow, AB
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"

Subcatchment E3: TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD





J190844_Rev 6 Prepared by Boh HydroCAD® 10.20-	J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Printed 11/10/2023 Prepared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612_® 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 2								
		Area Listing (all nodes)							
Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)	_						
0.043	79	<50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG B (P2-B)							
1.582	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A)							
2.659	98	Paved parking, HSG B (P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-H, P3-A)							
0.297	98	Roofs, HSG B (P2-B)							
0.008	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (P2-G)							
4.589	85	TOTAL AREA							

Printed 11/10/2023

Page 4

Subcatchment

Numbers

Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 3	J190844_R Prepared by HydroCAD® 10	ev 6a-Pro Bohler Eng 1.20-2d s/n 0	posed gineers 02612 © 202	21 HydroCAE) Software Se	olutions LL(Printed	11/10/20 Pag
Soil Listing (all nodes)				Ground	Covers (al	l nodes)		
iment	HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcat Numbe
-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-B, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A	0.000 0.000	0.043 1.582	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.043 1.582	<50% Grass cover, Poor >75% Grass cover, Good	P2-B P1-A, P1-B, P1-C,
\REA								P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A
	0.000	2.659	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.659	Paved parking	P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-F, P2-H, P3-A
	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.297 0.008 4.589	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.297 0.008 4.589	Roofs Woods, Good TOTAL AREA	P2-B P2-G

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Softw are Solutions LLC

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
4.589	HSG B	P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-B, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
4.589		TOTAL AREA

Summary for Subcatchment P1-A: BYPASS

Runoff

unoff = 0.29 cfs @ 1.17 hrs, Volume= 0.014 af, Depth= 0.44" Routed to Link PDA1 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	0.	212 6	61 >759	% Grass c	over, Good.	, HSG B
	0.	158 9	8 Pave	ed parking	HSG B	
	0.	370 7	7 Weid	ahted Aver	ade	
	0.	212 6	61 57.3	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	158 9	8 42.7	0% Imperv	ious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	0.9	9	0.0560	0.16		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	8	0.1200	0.22		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.6	35	0.0280	0.16		Sheet Flow, C-D
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.2	17	0.0090	0.09		Sheet Flow, D-E
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.3	11	0.0075	0.61		Sheet Flow, E-F
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
	0.9	7	0.0380	0.13		Sheet Flow, F-G
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	77	0.0110	2.13		Shallow Concentrated Flow, G-H
_						Paved Kv= 20.3 fps

10.1 164 Total



0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfalt=1.25" Prepared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 7	J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25" Prepared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2d sin 02612 @ 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 8
Summary for Subcatchment P1-B: PPA #1	Summary for Subcatchment P1-C: PPA#2
Runoff = 0.40 cfs @ 1.10 hrs, Volume= 0.013 af, Depth= 0.93" Routed to Pond PP1 : POROUS PAVER #1	Runoff = 0.36 cfs @ 1.16 hrs, Volume= 0.016 af, Depth= 0.83" Routed to Pond PP2 : POROUS PAVER #2
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"	Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"
Area (ac) CN Description	Area (ac) CN Description
0.147 98 Paved parking, HSG B	0.189 98 Paved parking, HSG B
0.016 61 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B	0.048 61 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B
0.016 61 9.82% Pervious Area 0.147 98 90.18% Impervious Area	0.048 of 20.25% Pervious Area 0.189 98 79.75% Impervious Area
Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)	Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description _(min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
3.4 27 0.0200 0.13 Sheet Flow, A-B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35" 0.5 64 0.0100 2.03 Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C Paved K= 20.3 fps	9.2 67 0.0100 0.12 Sheet Flow, A-B Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35" 0.2 7 0.0100 0.70 Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C Short Grass Pasture K=7.0 fps
3.9 91 Total	9.4 74 Total
Subcatchment P1-B: PPA #1	Subcatchment P1-C: PPA#2
Hydrograph	Hydrograph
0.40 cfs NJ:DEP 2-hr 0.40 cfs WQ Rainfall=1.25" WQ Rainfall=1.25" Runoff Area=0.163 ac 0.3 Runoff Volume=0.013 af 0.40 cfs Runoff Depth=0.93" 0.40 cfs Flow Length=91' 0.40 cfs TC=3.9 min 0.40 cfs CN=61/98 0.40 cfs CN=61/98	0.36 cfs N.J. DEP. 2-hr 0.38 cfs WQ Rainfall=1.25". 0.38 cfs Runoff Area=0.237 ac 0.29 cfs Runoff Volume=0.016 af 0.29 cfs Runoff Depth=0.83". 0.29 cfs Flow Length=74'. 0.19 cfs Slope=0.0100 '/'. 0.10 cfs Tc=9.4 min 0.08 cfs CN=61/98





Elow Length=85. Slope=0.0110 '/'. Tc=4.9 min-CN=61/98 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 45 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Tmme (hours)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 11/10/2023
are Solutions LLC Page 14

NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

Summary for Subcatchment P2-E: PPA#6

Runoff = 0.54 cfs @ 1.08 hrs, Volume= 0.015 af, Depth= 1.01" Routed to Pond PP6 : POROUS PAVER #6

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

Area (ac) CN Description	
0.176 98 Paved parking, HSG B	
0.004 61 >75% Grass cover, Goo	d, HSG B
0.180 97 Weighted Average	
0.004 61 2.22% Pervious Area 0.176 98 97 78% Impervious Area	
	•
Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity	/ Description
(min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs))
0.5 30 0.0150 0.99	Sheet Flow, AB
	Shibbut surfaces 11- 0.011 F2- 5.55
Subcatel	hment P2-F: PPA#6
- un outor	
	Runoff
0.54 CIS	NIDEP 2 br
0.5	
0.45	Runoff Area=0.180 ac
	Runoff Volume=0.015 af
	Pupoff Donth=1.01"
≥ 0.3	
€ 1000 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Flow Length=30
	Slope=0.0150 '/'
	Tc=0.5 min
0.15	
0.1	CN=61/98
0.05	
0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32	34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72
Ti	me (hours)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed	NJ DEP 2-hr	WQ Rainfall=1.25"
Prepared by Bohler Engineers		Printed 11/10/2023
HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LL	C	Page 16

Summary for Subcatchment P2-G: BYPASS

Runoff = 0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af, Depth= 0.00" Routed to Link PDA 2 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

c) C	N Des	ription		
70 6		_		
19 0	51 >759	6 Grass o	over, Good	, HSG B
00 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
08 5	5 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
37 6 77 6	50 Weig	phted Aver	age	
57 0	100.	00% Feivi	ous Alea	
ength	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
12	0.1100	0.23		Sheet Flow, AB
7	0 2000	0.20		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
'	0.2300	0.50		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
15	0.0400	0.16		Sheet Flow, CD
				Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
34	Total			
5 5		Si	ubcatchn Hydro	nent P2-G: BYPASS preph NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25" Runoff Area=0.087 ac Runoff Volume=0.000 af Runoff Volume=0.000" Flow Length=34' Tc=2.9 min CN=60/0
	10 6 5 7 6 17 6 17 6 17 6 17 6 17 6 17 6 1	0 98 Pave 8 55 Weo 7 60 Weig 7 60 100. ength Slope (feet) (fr/ft) 12 0.1100 7 0.2900 15 0.0400 34 Total	0 98 Paved parking 10 98 55 Woods, Good, 17 60 Weighted Aver, 7 17 60 100.00% Pervi Pervi ength Slope Velocity (feet) (fivet) 12 0.1100 0.23 7 0.2900 0.30 15 0.0400 0.16 34 Total Si	0 98 Paved parking, HSG B 8 55 Woods, Good, HSG B 17 60 Woighted Average ength Slope Velocity Capacity (feet) (fift) (fifsec) (cfs) 12 0.1100 0.23 7 0.2900 0.30 15 0.0400 0.16 34 Total Hydro

HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Summary for Subcatchment P2-F: ROAD

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers

Runoff = 0.66 cfs @ 1.11 hrs, Volume= 0.022 af, Depth= 0.71" Routed to Pond PP7 : ROAD POROUS PAVEMENT #7

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25" Printed 11/10/2023

Page 15

	Area	(ac) (CN Des	cription		
	0.	257	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
_	0.	116	61 >75	% Grass c	over, Good,	HSG B
	0.	373	86 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	0.	116	61 31.1	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	257	98 68.9	0% Imperv	ious Area	
	Tc (min)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
-	0.3	20	0.0250	1 12	(0.0)	Sheet Flow AB
	2.3	31	0.0700	0.23		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35" Sheet Flow, BC
	0.3	22	0.0400	1.37		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35" Sheet Flow, CD
	2.0	187	0.0060	1.57		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35" Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
-						Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
	49	260	Total			

Subcatchment P2-F: ROAD



J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed	- 1
Prepared by Bohler Engineers	
HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	LC

74 0.0050

Runoff

0.193 0.041 0.152

(min)

2.1 0.9

unoff = 0.44 cfs @ 1.09 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond B2 : BASIN 2

 Area (ac)
 CN
 Description

 0.041
 61
 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B

 0.152
 98
 Paved parking, HSG B

90 Weighted Average 61 21.24% Pervious Area 98 78.76% Impervious Area

 Tc
 Length
 Slope
 Velocity
 Capacity
 Description

 nin)
 (feet)
 (ft/ft)
 (ft/sec)
 (cfs)

 2.1
 100
 0.0050
 0.81
 Sheet Flow

1.44

0.013 af. Depth= 0.81"

Sheet Flow, Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"

Shallow Concentrated Flow, Paved Kv= 20.3 fps

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed

NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

Printed 11/10/2023

Summary for Subcatchment P3-A: PDA 3

unoff = 0.15 cfs @ 1.22 hrs, Volume= 0.00 Routed to Link EDA 3 : TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD 0.008 af, Depth= 0.26" Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
1	0.	282 6	61 >759	% Grass o	over. Good	. HSG B
	0.	095 9	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	· -
	0	377 7	70 Weid	hted Aver	ade	
	0	282 6	51 74.8	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	095 9	98 25.2	0% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	0.5	7	0.1800	0.25		Sheet Flow, AB
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	4.6	40	0.0200	0.14		Sheet Flow, BC
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	7.6	53	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, CD
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.5	27	0.0170	0.91		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.9	31	0.0070	0.59		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
_						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	14.1	158	Total			



Summary for Subcatchment P2-H: Princeton-Hightstown Road

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NJ DEP 2-hr WQ Rainfall=1.25"

J19 Prec	084 bare	4_1	Rev v Bo	/ 6 a	a-P er E	ro Enc	po aine	se ers	d s											Ν	J DI	EP	2-hı	r W Pr	Q Ra	ainfall=1.2 11/10/20	25″)23
Hydr	oCA	D®	10.2	0-2	d s	/n Ò	261	2 (0 20	021	Hye	dro	CAE) So	oftwa	are	Solu	tion	s LL	C						Page	19
										s	ub	cat	ch	me	ent	P3	- A :	PI	DA	3							
												Ну	drog	grap	h												
	0.16	15 c	ofs			T - T	-+-					- + -	+					-+-	+							Runoff	1
	0.14		i Ti		t		-+-	11	Ť	÷		1	1-1		ΥÌ	- 1-	11	-+-	1-1	÷	NJ	D	EΡ	2-	hr		
	0.13				1		1	1 - 1				1	1				11	V	٧Ó	R	ain	fal	l⊨1	1.2	5"		
	0.12				1	1_1			1	1		4.	1-1	_			P	in	ff	-	-	-0	37	7	-		
	0.11		į., .		4	-+						. i	+			4	1.1			-11	ca	Ξ,					
_	0.1				J.,	1-1	- ÷ -	1-1				4.	1-1	!	l. l	Rı	ind	ott	V	olu	Ime)=¢).0	80	at		
(cfs	0.09				į.,	-+	-÷-	+				-÷-	÷-÷				4-4	Ru	inc	off-	De	pti	n=(0.2	6"		
low	0.08					+ - +	-+-	+				-÷-	+-+					-+1	tio	ŵ	Le	na	th=	=15	8		
	0.07	1					-+-	+	÷			-+-	+-+	- -	÷÷		1-1	-+-	÷-F		Тс	-1	1 1	m	in		
	0.00	I			1	i - †	-+-	t-i				· † -	†	- i	ir ri		1-1	- † -	t-r		10	71	4 . (- 191	ω.		
	0.05				÷			1 - 1	!	-1		1-	1-1		-la al	!	1-1	-+-	1-1-	-1		CN	1=6	51/9	98		
	0.04					-+	- + -	+	- Ýr			- † -	+-+		ΎΥ			- + -	+-+						h-h-		
	0.03				1	i - †	-+-	1-1	j	-i	- 1	÷÷-	+-+	- i	- ii-	i-	1-1	-+-	+-+		ii - ·	1-1-	+-+				
	0.01				1			1-1				1-	1-1				1-1	- + -									
	0													////													
		Ó Ź	46	5 8	10 1	2 14	16	18 2	22	24 2	6 28	30 3	32 34 Tim	436: e(ho	38 40 ours)	0 42	44 46	48	50 52	54 5	6 58 6	60 62	64 6	6 68 1	70 72		

Ludro CA	ed by Bor	iler Eng	gineers		ara Salutiana I I C	Printed 11	/10/20				
HydroCA	D® 10.20-	20 S/N C	12012 @ 2	U21 HydroCAD Soltwa	are Solutions LLC		Page 2				
			:	Summary for Por	nd B1: BASIN	1					
Inflow A	rea =	2.711	ac, 68.	7% Impervious, Inf	low Depth > 0.4	5" for WQ event					
Outflow	-	0.36 c	∷is@ ∵fs@ :	.25 hrs, Volume=	0.102 ai 0.102 af	Atten= 74% ag= 47.4 r	nin				
Primary	=	0.36 0	fs@ 2	.04 hrs. Volume=	0.102 af	rateri - 7470, Eug- 47.41					
Rout	ed to Link	PDA 2	: PROPC	SED TRIBUTARY T	O BLOCK 21.27,	LOT 1					
Seconda	ary = od to Link	0.00 0	fs@()	.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af						
Rout		FDA Z	. FROFC	SED INIBUTANT I	0 BLOCK 21.27,	LOT I					
Routing	by Dyn-S	tor-Ind r	nethod,	ime Span= 0.00-72.	00 hrs, dt= 0.01 h	nrs / 3					
Peak El	ev= 91.64	@ 2.04	thrs Su	rf.Area= 0.117 ac 5	Storage= 0.043 at						
Plug-Flo	w detentio	on time:	= (not cal	culated: outflow prec	edes inflow)						
Center-o	of-Mass de	et. time:	= À3.8 mi	n (271.3 - 227.5)	,						
Volume	Inv	ert A	vail Stora	de Storade Descri	ption						
#1	91.2	25'	0.617	af Custom Stage	Data (Irregular)	Listed below (Recalc)					
Elevetia		ef A	Derin	- Inc Store	Cum Stars	Wet Aree					
Elevatio (fee	on Su et)	(acres)	Perir (fee	t) (acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(acres)					
91.25 0.105 3			398	0 0.000	0.000	0.105					
92.00 0.128 4			423	0 0.087	0.087	0.143					
93.00 0.160 4		437	0 0.144	0.167							
94.0 95.0	00	0.193	452 466	0 0.176 0 0.210	0.407	0.194 0.219					
Device	Routing		Invert	Outlet Devices							
#1	Primary		89.25'	15.0" Round Culv	rert						
	,			L= 53.0' RCP, gro	ove end projectin	g, Ke= 0.200					
				Inlet / Outlet Invert=	= 89.25' / 89.09'	S= 0.0030 7 Cc= 0.900	22 of				
#2	Device 1		89.25'	3.0" Vert. Underdr	ain C= 0.600 l	imited to weir flow at low	heads				
#3	Device 1		92.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/0	Grate X 2.00 C=	0.600					
#4	Device 1		93 80'	Limited to weir flow	at low heads	lar Weir 2 End Contractio	n(s)				
#5	Seconda	iry	94.13'	105.0' long x 10.0	' breadth Broad-	Crested Rectangular W	eir				
		,		Head (feet) 0.20 0	0.40 0.60 0.80 1	.00 1.20 1.40 1.60					
				Coef. (English) 2.4	9 2.56 2.70 2.6	9 2.68 2.69 2.67 2.64					
Primary		Max=0	.36 cfs @	2.04 hrs HW=91.6	4' TW=0.00' (D	vnamic Tailwater)					
1=Ci	Ivert (Pa	sses 0.	36 cfs of	.18 cfs potential flow	N)	,,					
	Underdra	in (Ori	fice Cont	ols 0.36 cfs @ 7.24	fps)						
3=	Orifice/G	rate (C	Controls (.UU CIS)	0.00 cfc)						
	-onarp-O	ested I	vectarigi	a ven (Controls (
		Max	-0.00 -4			(Demonstrate Tellereter)					
Second	ary OutFl	ow wa	x=0.00 Ci	s @ 0.00 hrs HW=9	1.25' TW=0.00'	(Dynamic Tallwater)					
Second 5=Br	oad-Cres	ted Red	tangula	s @ 0.00 hrs HW=9 Weir (Controls 0.00	1.25' TW=0.00' 0 cfs)	(Dynamic Tallwater)					













J190844_Rev 6 Prepared by Boh HydroCAD® 10.20-	I190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Printed 11/10/2023 ?repared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 iydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 2											
Area Listing (all nodes)												
Area (acres)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)	_									
0.043	79	<50% Grass cover, Poor, HSG B (P2-B)										
1.582	61	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B (P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A)										
2.659	98	Paved parking, HSG B (P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-H, P3-A)										
0.297	98	Roofs, HSG B (P2-B)										
0.008	55	Woods, Good, HSG B (P2-G)										
4.589	85	TOTAL AREA										

Printed 11/10/2023

Page 4

Subcatchment

Numbers

Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 3	J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC									
Soil Listing (all nodes)				Ground	Covers (al	l nodes)				
iment	HSG-A (acres)	HSG-B (acres)	HSG-C (acres)	HSG-D (acres)	Other (acres)	Total (acres)	Ground Cover	Subcat Numbe		
-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-B, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A	0.000 0.000	0.043 1.582	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000	0.043 1.582	<50% Grass cover, Poor >75% Grass cover, Good	P2-B P1-A, P1-B, P1-C,		
\REA								P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A		
	0.000	2.659	0.000	0.000	0.000	2.659	Paved parking	P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-C, P2-C, P2-E, P2-F, P2-F, P2-H, P3-A		
	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.297 0.008 4.589	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.000 0.000 0.000	0.297 0.008 4.589	Roofs Woods, Good TOTAL AREA	P2-B P2-G		

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Softw are Solutions LLC

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(acres)	Group	Numbers
0.000	HSG A	
4.589	HSG B	P1-A, P1-B, P1-C, P1-D, P2-A, P2-B, P2-C, P2-D, P2-E, P2-F, P2-G, P2-H, P3-A
0.000	HSG C	
0.000	HSG D	
0.000	Other	
4.589		TOTAL AREA

Summary for Subcatchment P1-A: BYPASS

Runoff

unoff = 0.42 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.049 af, Depth= 1.59" Routed to Link PDA1 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	0.	212 6	61 >75	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.	158 9	8 Pav	ed parking	HSG B	
1	0.	370 7	7 Wei	ahted Aver	ade	
	0.	212 6	57.3	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	158 9	8 42.7	0% Imperv	ious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	0.9	9	0.0560	0.16		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	8	0.1200	0.22		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.6	35	0.0280	0.16		Sheet Flow, C-D
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.2	17	0.0090	0.09		Sheet Flow, D-E
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.3	11	0.0075	0.61		Sheet Flow, E-F
						Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
	0.9	7	0.0380	0.13		Sheet Flow, F-G
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	77	0.0110	2.13		Shallow Concentrated Flow, G-H
						Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
			-			

164 Total 10.1



ToCAD® 10.20-20 sin 02012 © 2021 HydroCAD Solitware Solutions LLC Page 7	HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 ©
Summary for Subcatchment P1-B: PPA #1	Sum
off = 0.49 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.038 af, Depth= 2.82" Routed to Pond PP1 : POROUS PAVER #1	Runoff = 0.46 cfs @ Routed to Pond PP2 : PORO
off by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs A 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"	Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, U NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3
Area (ac) CN Description	Area (ac) CN Descriptio
0.147 98 Paved parking, HSG B	0.189 98 Paved par
0.163 94 Weighted Average	0.237 91 Weighted
0.016 61 9.82% Pervious Area	0.048 61 20.25% P
0.147 98 90.18% Impervious Area	0.189 98 79.75% In
Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description	Tc Length Slope Velo
nin) (teet) (tt/tt) (tt/sec) (cts) 3.4 27 0.0200 0.13 Sheet Flow A-B	(min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/s
Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"	3.2 07 0.0100 0
0.5 64 0.0100 2.03 Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C Paved Ky= 20.3 fps	0.2 7 0.0100 0
3.9 91 Total	9.4 74 Total
Subcatchment P1-B: PPA #1	
Hydrograph	
0.49 cfs Image: NOAA 24-hr C 0.45 2-Year Rainfall=3.31" 0.46 2-Year Rainfall=3.31" 0.47 Runoff Area=0.163 ac 0.48 Runoff Volume=0.038 af 0.49 Runoff Depth=2.82" 0.49 Flow Length=91' 0.49 CN=61/98	0.46 cs 0.46 cs 0.4

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed	NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"
Prepared by Bohler Engineers	Printed 11/10/2023
HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solution	ons LLC Page 8

nmary for Subcatchment P1-C: PPA#2

0.050 af, Depth= 2.55" 12.18 hrs, Volume= US PAVER #2

H=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs .31"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	0.	189 9	98 Pave	ed parking	HSG B	
	0.	.048 6	61 >75	% Grass o	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.	237 9	91 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	0.	.048 6	61 20.2	5% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	189 9	98 79.7	5% Imperv	ious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	9.2	67	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.2	7	0.0100	0.70		Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	94	74	Total			







Flow Length=85' Slope=0.0110 '/' Tc=4.9 min CN=61/98

<u>Finite Finite F</u> 2 4

J190844 Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Runoff

NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31" Printed 11/10/2023 Page 14

Summary for Subcatchment P2-E: PPA#6

unoff = 0.68 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond PP6 : POROUS PAVER #6 0.045 af. Depth= 3.02'

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Area (ac) CN Description	
0.176 98 Paved parking, HSG B	
0.004 61 >75% Glass cover, Good	, пэс в
0.160 97 Weighted Average 0.004 61 2.22% Pervious Area	
0.176 98 97.78% Impervious Area	
Tc Length Slope Velocity Capacity	Description
(min) (reet) (rt/rt) (rt/sec) (crs)	Sheet Flow AD
0.5 30 0.0150 0.99	Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
Subcatch	ment P2-E: PPA#6
Hydro	graph
0.75 0.68 cfs	
	ΝΟΔΔ 24-hr C
0.65	2 Veer Doinfell=2 24"
0.6	2-Year Rainfall=3.31
0.55	Runoff Area=0.180 ac
0.5	Runoff Volume=0.045 af
€ 0.45-7 	Pupoff Dopth=3.02"
9 0.4	Ruilon Depin=3.02
	Flow Length=30
0.3	Slope=0.0150 '/'
0.25	
0.2	
0.15	CN=61/98
0.1	
0.05	
0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 3	4 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72
Tim	(hours)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed	NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Raintail=3.31"
Prepared by Bohler Engineers	Printed 11/10/2023
HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software	e Solutions LLC Page 16

Summary for Subcatchment P2-G: BYPASS

unoff = 0.04 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.003 af, Depth= 0.45" Routed to Link PDA 2 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1 Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription				
0	.079 6	61 >759	% Grass co	over, Good	, HSG B		
0	.000 9	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B			
0	.008 5	55 Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B			
0	.087 6	60 Weig	ghted Aver	age			
0	.087 6	50 100.	00% Pervi	ous Area			
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)			
0.9	12	0.1100	0.23		Sheet Flow, AB		
					Grass: Short n= 0.150	P2= 3.35"	
0.4	7	0.2900	0.30		Sheet Flow, BC		
					Grass: Short n= 0.150	P2= 3.35"	
1.6	15	0.0400	0.16		Sheet Flow, CD		
					Grass: Short n= 0.150	P2= 3.35"	
2.9	34	Total					



J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31" Prepared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 15

Summary for Subcatchment P2-F: ROAD

unoff = 0.83 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond PP7 : ROAD POROUS PAVEMENT #7 0.071 af, Depth= 2.27" Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

_	Area	(ac)	CN D	escrip	otion		
	0.	257	98 P	aved	parking,	HSG B	
_	0.	116	61 >	75% C	Grass co	ver, Good,	HSG B
	0.	373	86 V	/eight	ed Avera	age	
	0.	116	61 3	1.10%	Perviou	us Area	
	0.	257	98 6	8.90%	Imperv	ious Area	
	Tc	Length	n Slo	be V	elocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet) (ft/	ft) ((ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	0.3	20	0.02	50	1.12		Sheet Flow, AB
							Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
	2.3	31	0.07	00	0.23		Sheet Flow, BC
	~ ~				4 07		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.3	-22	2 0.04	JU	1.37		Sneet Flow, CD Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 2.25"
	2.0	187	, 0.00	30	1 57		Shallow Concentrated Flow DE
	2.0	107	0.00		1.57		Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
-	49	260) Tota	1			

0.35

0.3

0.25

0.3

0.1 0. 0.05

Subcatchment P2-F: ROAD



 CN
 Description

 61
 >75% Grass cover, Good, HSG B

 98
 Paved parking, HSG B

Weighted Average 21.24% Pervious Area 78.76% Impervious Area

 Tc
 Length
 Slope
 Velocity
 Capacity
 Description

 nin)
 (feet)
 (ft/ft)
 (ft/sec)
 (cfs)
 2.1
 100
 0.0050
 0.81
 Sheet Flow

1 4 4

unoff = 0.55 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond B2 : BASIN 2

Runoff

Area (ac) 0.041 0.152 0.193 0.041 0.152 90 61 98

(min)

2.1

0.9

3.0

0.6

0.5

0.5

0.4

0.4

0.3 (cfs)

0.: Flow

0.2

0.2

0.1

0. 0.0

2 4 6

74 0.0050

0.55

174 Total

Summary for Subcatchment P2-H: Princeton-Hightstown Road

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Subcatchment P2-H: Princeton-Hightstown Road Hydrograph

10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

Runoff

0.041 af. Depth= 2.53'

Sheet Flow, Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"

NOAA 24-hr C

2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Runoff Area=0.193 ac

Runoff Depth=2.53"

Flow Length=174'

Slope=0.0050 '/'

Tc=3.0 min

CN=61/98

Runoff Volume=0.041 af

Shallow Concentrated Flow, Paved Kv= 20.3 fps

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed

Prepared by Bohler Engineers

NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

Printed 11/10/2023

Summary for Subcatchment P3-A: PDA 3

Runoff 0.036 af, Depth= 1.14"

unoff = 0.24 cfs @ 12.25 hrs, Volume= 0.03 Routed to Link EDA 3 : TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 2-Year Rainfall=3.31"

	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	0.	282 6	61 >75	% Grass o	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.	095 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	•
	0.	377 7	70 Weig	ahted Aver	age	
	0.	282 6	61 74.8	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	095 9	8 25.2	0% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	0.5	7	0.1800	0.25		Sheet Flow, AB
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	4.6	40	0.0200	0.14		Sheet Flow, BC
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	7.6	53	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, CD
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.5	27	0.0170	0.91		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	0.9	31	0.0070	0.59		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
_						Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
	14.1	158	Total			



HydroCAD®	10.20-20 s/n 02	2612 © 2021	HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC		Page 20
		Sun	nmary for Pon	d B1: BASIN	1	
Inflow Area	= 2.711	ac, 68.17%	Impervious, Inflo	ow Depth > 1.7	2" for 2-Year eve	ent
Inflow :	= 2.35 cf	fs @ 12.27	hrs, Volume=	0.388 af		
Outflow :	= 0.40 cf	fs @ 14.13	hrs, Volume=	0.388 af,	Atten= 83%, Lag=	112.1 min
Primary :	= 0.40 cf	fs@ 14.13	hrs, Volume=	0.388 af		
Routed to	o Link PDA 2 :	PROPOSE	D TRIBUTARY TO	D BLOCK 21.27,	LOT 1	
Secondary =	= 0.00 cf	fs@ 0.00	hrs, Volume=	0.000 af		
Routed to	o Link PDA 2 :	PROPOSE	D TRIBUTARY TO	D BLOCK 21.27,	LOT 1	
Routing by I	Dyn-Stor-Ind m	ethod Time	Span= 0.00-72.0	0 brs dt= 0.01 b	nrs/3	
Dook Elov-	02 28' @ 14 1	3 bre Surf	Area = 0 137 ac	Storage = 0.124	11370 of	
oun Lior	02.20 @ 11.1	o nio o dina		01010g0 011211		
Plug Flow d	etention time-	(not calcula	ted: outflow prece	dec inflow)		
Center-of-M	ass det time=	110 9 min (988 6 - 877 7)	sues innow)		
Volume	Invert Av	ail.Storage	Storage Descrip	otion		
#1	91.25'	0.617 af	Custom Stage	Data (Irregular)	Listed below (Reca	ilc)
	~ ~ ~	<u> </u>				
Elevation	Surf.Area	Perim.	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(feet)	(acres)	(feet)	(acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(acres)	
91.25	91.25 0.105 398.0		0.000	0.000	0.105	
92.00	0.128	423.0	0.087	0.087	0.143	
93.00	0.160	437.0	0.144	0.231	0.167	
94.00	0.193	452.0	0.176	0.407	0.194	
95.00	0.227	466.0	0.210	0.617	0.219	
Device Ro	outing	Invert Ou	tlet Devices			
		00.051 45				

#1	Primary	89.25'	15.0" Round Culvert
			L= 53.0' RCP, groove end projecting, Ke= 0.200
			Inlet / Outlet Invert= 89.25' / 89.09' S= 0.0030 '/' Cc= 0.900
			n= 0.013 Concrete pipe, bends & connections, Flow Area= 1.23 sf
#2	Device 1	89.25'	3.0" Vert. Underdrain C= 0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads
#3	Device 1	92.50'	6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate X 2.00 C= 0.600
			Limited to weir flow at low heads
#4	Device 1	93.80'	4.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s)
#5	Secondary	94.13'	105.0' long x 10.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir
	,		Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60
			Coef (English) 2.49 2.56 2.70 2.69 2.68 2.69 2.67 2.64

maty ourrow wax-0.40 cfs (@ 14.13 hrs HW=92.80" 1=Culvert (Passes 0.40 cfs of 8.79 cfs potential flow) −2=Underdrain (Orifice Controls 0.40 cfs @ 8.21 fps) −3=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs) ↓=Shore Controls 0.00 cfs)

4=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=91.25' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) 5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)











Summary for Subcatchment P1-A: BYPASS

Runoff

unoff = 0.77 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.087 af, Depth= 2.83" Routed to Link PDA1 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01" Area (ac) CN Descriptio

	Агеа	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	0.	212 6	61 >75	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
	0.	158 9	8 Pav	ed parking	, HSG B	•
0.370 77 Weighted Average						
	0.	212 6	61 57.3	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	158 9	8 42.7	0% Imperv	vious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
	0.9	9	0.0560	0.16		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	8	0.1200	0.22		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.6	35	0.0280	0.16		Sheet Flow, C-D
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.2	17	0.0090	0.09		Sheet Flow, D-E
			0.0075	0.04		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.3	11	0.0075	0.61		Sheet Flow, E-F
	0.0	7	0 0 0 0 0 0	0.42		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
	0.9		0.0360	0.15		Cross Short n= 0.150 D2= 2.25"
	0.6	77	0.0110	0.40		Glass: Sholl II= 0.150 P2= 5.55
	0.0	11	0.0110	2.13		Payed Ky= 20.3 fps
	40.4	404	T . 4 . 1			1 aveu 11v- 20.0 ipo
	10.1	164	rotal			

Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 11/10/2023 Page 42 Subcatchment P1-A: BYPASS Hydrograph Runoff 0.77 cfs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01" Runoff Area=0.370 ac Runoff Volume=0.087 af Runoff Depth=2.83" Flow Length=164' Tc=10.1 min CN=61/98 0.1

NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01" Prepared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2d sin 02612 @ 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 43	J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01" Prepared by Bohler Engineers Printed 11/10/2023 HydroCAD® 10.20-2d sin 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 44
Summary for Subcatchment P1-B: PPA #1	Summary for Subcatchment P1-C: PPA#2
Runoff = 0.76 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 0.060 af, Depth= 4.44" Routed to Pond PP1 : POROUS PAVER #1	Runoff = 0.74 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 0.081 af, Depth= 4.08" Routed to Pond PP2 : POROUS PAVER #2
Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"	Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfail=5.01"
Area (ac) CN Description	Area (ac) CN Description
0.147 98 Paved parking, HSG B	0.189 98 Paved parking, HSG B
0.163 94 Weighted Average	0.237 91 Weighted Average
0.016 61 9.82% Pervious Area	0.048 61 20.25% Pervious Area
Ic Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)	I c Length Slope Velocity Capacity Description (min) (feet) (ft/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
3.4 27 0.0200 0.13 Sheet Flow, A-B	9.2 67 0.0100 0.12 Sheet Flow, A-B
0.5 64 0.0100 2.03 Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C Paved Ky= 20.3 fps	0.2 7 0.0100 0.70 Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C Short Grass Pasture Kv=7.0 fps
3.9 91 Total	9.4 74 Total
Subcatchment P1-B: PPA #1	Subcatchment P1-C: PPA#2
Hydrograph	Hydrograph
U Runot 0.76 cfs 0.76 cf	Runoff Volume=0.081 af Blog e Charlen of the second seco

0.05 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed











0.14 cfs

0.14

0.13

0.12

0.11 0.1

0.09 (cfs)

0.08 Flow

0.07

Hydrograph

Runoff

NOAA 24-hr C

10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

Runoff Area=0.087 ac

Runoff Depth=1.31"

Flow Length=34'

Tc=2.9 min

CN=60/0

Runoff Volume=0.009 af

unoff = 0.88 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond B2 : BASIN 2 0.065 af. Depth= 4.05" Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

Area (a	ac) C	N Des	cription		
	111 F	1 >759	Grass of	over Good	HSC B
0.0	52 9	18 Pave	ad narking	HSG B	,100 B
0.1	02 0	0 Wei	hted Aver	200	
0.0	141 F	1 21 2	4% Pervio	us Area	
0.1	52 9	8 78.7	6% Imperv	ious Area	
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
2.1	100	0.0050	0.81		Sheet Flow,
					Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
0.9	74	0.0050	1.44		Shallow Concentrated Flow,
					Paved Kv= 20.3 fps
3.0	174	Total			
		Su	bcatchm	ent P2-H	: Princeton-Hightstown Road

Hydrograph Runoff 0.95-0.8-0.85-0.85-0.6-0.65-0.55-0.5-0.55-0.5-0.4-0.35-0.3-0.2-0.2-0.2-0.15-0.2-0.88 cfs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01" Runoff Area=0.193 ac Runoff Volume=0.065 af Runoff Depth=4.05" Flow Length=174' Slope=0.0050 '/' Tc=3.0 min CN=61/98 0.0 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours) 2 4 6

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed

Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed	NOAA
Prepared by Bohler Engineers	
HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software So	lutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment P3-A: PDA 3

unoff = 0.52 cfs @ 12.25 hrs, Volume= 0.07 Routed to Link EDA 3 : TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD 0.070 af, Depth= 2.23" Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"

Area	(ac) C	N Dese	cription		
0.	.282 6	61 >759	% Grass o	over, Good	, HSG B
0	.095 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
0.	377 7	70 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
0.	.282 6	61 74.8	0% Pervio	us Area	
0.	.095 9	98 25.2	0% Imperv	/ious Area	
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)	
0.5	7	0.1800	0.25		Sheet Flow, AB
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
4.6	40	0.0200	0.14		Sheet Flow, BC
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
7.6	53	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, CD
					Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
0.5	27	0.0170	0.91		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
0.9	31	0.0070	0.59		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
					Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
14.1	158	Total			

HyuloC/						
		S	Summary for Pon	d B1: BASIN	1	
Inflow A	rea = 2.711	ac, 68.1	7% Impervious, Infle	ow Depth > 3.0	7" for 10-Year e	event
Outflow	= 5.30 c	fs@ 12 fs@ 13	.22 hrs, Volume=	0.693 af	Atten= 75%. Lag	= 52.2 mir
Primary	= 1.33 c	fs @ 13	.09 hrs, Volume=	0.693 af		02.2
Rout	ed to Link PDA 2	PROPO	SED TRIBUTARY TO	O BLOCK 21.27,	LOT 1	
Second	ary = 0.00 c	fs @ 0	.00 hrs, Volume=	0.000 af		
Rout	ed to Link PDA 2	PROPO	SED TRIBUTARY TO	O BLOCK 21.27,	LOT 1	
Routing	by Dyn-Stor-Ind r	nethod T	ime Span= 0 00-72 (00 brs dt= 0.01 b	nrs / 3	
Peak E	ev= 92.97' @ 13.0	9 hrs S	urf.Area= 0.159 ac	Storage= 0.225 a	af	
				5		
Plug-Flo	ow detention time=	(not cald	culated: outflow prece	edes inflow)		
Center-	of-Mass det. time=	= 144.8 m	in(1,001.8 - 857.0))		
Volumo	Invort A	(oil Store	ao Storogo Docorir	otion		
<u>voiume</u> #1	01.25	0 617	of Custom Stage	Data (Irregular)	Listed below (Pos	olo)
#1	91.20	0.017	al Custom Stage	Data (integuiar)	Listed below (Rec	aic)
Elevati	on Surf.Area	Perim	n. Inc.Store	Cum.Store	Wet.Area	
(fe	et) (acres)	(fee	t) (acre-feet)	(acre-feet)	(acres)	
91.	25 0.105	398.	0.000 0	0.000	0.105	
92.	00 0.128	423.	0 0.087	0.087	0.143	
92. 93.	00 0.128 00 0.160	423. 437.	0 0.087 0 0.144	0.087 0.231	0.143 0.167	
92. 93. 94.	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193	423. 437. 452.	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176	0.087 0.231 0.407	0.143 0.167 0.194	
92. 93. 94. 95.	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227	423. 437. 452. 466.	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219	
92. 93. 94. 95. Device	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219	
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culve	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219	
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culve L= 53.0' RCP, groc	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert ove end projectin	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200	
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 <u>Routing</u> Primary	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culve L= 53.0' RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert=	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert by e end projectin 89.25' / 89.09'	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 '/ Cc:	= 0.900
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culva L= 53.0' RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete 20"Vot Underdire	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert eve end projectin 89.25' / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 '/' Cc: onnections, Flow,	= 0.900 Area= 1.2
92. 93. 94. 95. Device #1 #2 #3	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary Device 1 Device 1	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25' 89.25' 92.50'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culva L= 53.0' RCP, groo Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete 3.0" Vert. Underdra 6.0" Vert Ortifice(6 0.0" Control Configure(6)	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert s9.25' / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C= 0.600 L create X 2 00 C=	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 /' Cc: nnections, Flow. imited to weir flow 0.600	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h
92. 93. 94. 95. Device #1 #2 #3	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary Device 1 Device 1	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25' 89.25' 92.50'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 <u>Outlet Devices</u> 15.0° Round Culve 15.0° Round Culve 15.0° Round Culve 1.53.0° Round Culve 1.53.0° Round Culve 1.53.0° Round Culve 1.53.0° Round Culve 1.53.0° Vert. Underdr 6.0° Vert. Underdr 6.0° Vert. Underd	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert eve end projectin 89.25' / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C= 0.600 L irate X 2.00 C=	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 ^{1/1} Cc: onnections, Flow imited to weir flow 0.600	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h
92. 93. 94. 95. Device #1 #2 #3 #4	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary Device 1 Device 1	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25' 92.50' 93.80'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 2.10 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culva L= 53.0' RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert= ne 0.013 Concrete 3.0" Vert. Undertunet 6.0" Vert. Orifice/G Limited to weir flow	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert eve end projectin 89.25' / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L Grate X 2.00 C= at low heads ested Rectangul	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 ¹⁷ Cc: nnections, Flow. .imited to weir flow 0.600	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h ontraction
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1 #2 #3 #4	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25' 92.50' 93.80' 94.13'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culv L= 53.0' RCP, groot Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete 3.0" Vert. Underdra: 6.0" Vert. Underdra: 6.0" Vert. Underdra: 105.0" long \$10.0"	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert sve end projectin 89.257 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L rate X 2.00 C= at low heads ested Rectangui breadth Broad-	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 % Cc; nonections, Flow, imited to weir flow 0.600 lar Weir 2 End Cc Crested Rectang	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h potraction gular Wei
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1 #2 #3 #4	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary	423, 437, 452, 466, <u>Invert</u> 89,25' 92,50' 93,80' 94,13'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culve L= 53.0' RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete Limited to weir flow 4.0' long Sharp-Crr 105.0' long x 10.20 Head (feet) 0.20 0.	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert ove end projectin 89.257 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cca ain C = 0.600 L irate X 2.00 C = at low heads ested Rectangul breadth Broad- 40 0.60 0.80 1	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g. Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 / ¹⁷ CC winnections, Flow, minted to weir flow 0.600 lar Weir 2 End Cc Crested Rectang 00 1.20 1.40 1.	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h pontraction ular Wei 60
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1 #2 #3 #4	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary	423. 437. 452. 466. 89.25' 89.25' 92.50' 93.80' 94.13'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 2.10 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culva L= 53.0' RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete 3.0" Vert. Underdrr 6.0" Vert. Underdrr 6.0" Vert. Ourfice/G Limited to weir flow 4.0' long Sharp-Crr 105.0' long x 10.0" Head (feet) 0.20 0 Coef. (English) 2.45	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert 89.25 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L seted Rectangul breadth Broad- 40 0.60 0.80 1 9 2.56 2.70 2.6	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g. Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 '/ Cc nnections, Flow imited to weir flov 0.600 Iar Weir 2 End Cc Crested Rectang 0.0 1.20 1.40 1. 9 2.68 2.69 2.61	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h potraction putraction 60 7 2.64
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1 #2 #3 #4	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.103 00 0.227 Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary	423. 437. 452. 466. 89.25' 92.50' 93.80' 94.13'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culw L=53.0" RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete 3.0" Vert. Underdrr 6.0" Vert. Underdrr 6.0" Vert. Underdrr 105.0" long X10.0" Head (feet) 0.20 0. Coef. (English) 2.44	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert sve end projectin 89.257 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L rate X 2.00 C = at low heads ested Rectangui breadth Broad- 40 0.60 0.80 1 9 2.56 2.70 2.6	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.030 / ⁷ CC nonections, Flow imited to weir flow 0.600 lar Weir 2 End Ct Crested Rectang .00 1.20 1.40 1. 9 2.68 2.69 2.61	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h pontraction gular Wei 60 7 2.64
92. 93. 94. 95. Device #1 #2 #3 #4 #5	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary (OutFlow Max=1)	423. 437. 452. 466. <u>Invert</u> 89.25' 92.50' 93.80' 94.13'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culv L= 53.0' RCP, groc 16.0" Vert. Underdrr 6.0" Vert. Orifice/G Limited to weir flow 4.0' long Sharp-Crr 105.0' long x 10.0' Coef. (English) 2.4% 13.09 hrs HW=92.2	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 0.0617 0.909 pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L 89.25 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L at low heads seted Rectangui breadth Broad- 40 0.60 0.80 1 9 2.56 2.70 2.6 97' TW=0.00' (I	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g. Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 /* Cc onnections, Flow, imited to weir flov 0.600 lar Weir 2 End Cc Crested Rectang 00 1.20 1.40 1. 9 2.68 2.69 2.63 Dynamic Tailwate	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h potraction jular Wei 60 7 2.64 r)
92. 93. 94. 95. Device #1 #2 #3 #4 #5	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.183 00 0.227 Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary / OutFlow Max=1 Juyet (Passe 1.2)	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25' 92.50' 93.80' 94.13'	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 2.10 0 0.144 0 0.210 15.0" ROUND Culve L= 53.0' RCP, groot Inlet / Outlet Inverti- a.0.13 Concrete 3.0" Vert. Underdra- 6.0" Vert. Underdra- 6.0" Vert. Underdra- 4.0' long Sharp-Crt 105.0' long x 10.0' Head (feet) 0.20 0. Coef. (English) 2.45 13.09 hrs. HW=92.1 0.22 dis potential file 0.23 dis 0.0.012	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 ert ove end projectin 89.25'/89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L ain C = 0.600 L ain C = 0.600 L ested Rectangul breadth Broad- 40 0.60 0.80 1 9 2.56 2.70 2.6 97' TW=0.00' (I m)	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.030 '/ Cc' nonections, Flow. imited to weir flow 0.600 lar Weir 2 End Cc Crested Rectang 0.0 1.20 1.40 1. 9 2.68 2.69 2.67 Dynamic Tailwate	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h pontraction pular Wei 60 7 2.64 r)
92. 93. 94. 95. <u>Device</u> #1 #2 #3 #4 #5	00 0.128 00 0.160 00 0.193 00 0.227 Routing Primary Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Device 1 Secondary / OutFlow Max=1 Vert (Passe 1.2 Underdrain (Orit) Confige(Grate (O	423. 437. 452. 466. Invert 89.25' 92.50' 93.80' 94.13' .33 cfs @ 33 cfs of 1 ic Contr	0 0.087 0 0.144 0 0.176 0 0.210 Outlet Devices 15.0" Round Culve L= 53.0" RCP, groc Inlet / Outlet Invert= n= 0.013 Concrete Limited to weir flow 4.0 long Sharp-Crr 105.0" long × 10.020 Coef. (English) 2.4% 13.09 hrs HW=92.2 13.09 hrs HW=92.2 14.00 hrs HW=92.2 15.0° hrs HW=92.2 15	0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617 0xe end projectim 89.25 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc 89.25 / 89.09' pipe, bends & cc ain C = 0.600 L irate X 2.00 C= al low heads sested Rectangul breadth Broad- 4.0 0.60 0.80 1 9 2.56 2.70 2.6 97' TW=0.00' (I ye) (fps) 2 fms)	0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219 g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0300 % Cc onnections, Flow, imited to weir flow 0.600 lar Weir 2 End Cc Crested Rectang 00 1.20 1.40 1. 9 2.68 2.69 2.67 Dynamic Tailwate	= 0.900 Area= 1.2 v at low h pontraction jular Wei 60 7 2.64 r)

5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

			Subcatchment P3-A: PDA 3	
			Hydrograph	
	0.55	ſ	0.52 cfs	1
	0.5	ľ	NOAA 24-hr C	
	0.45	1	/ 10-Year Rainfall=5.01"	
	0.4		Runoff Area=0.377 ac	
	0.35		Runoff Volume=0.070 af	
Flow (cfs)		V	Runoff Depth=2.23"	
	0.05		/ Flow Length=158-	
	0.25	Ŀ	ATc=14.1 min-	
	0.2	Ľ		
	0.15	Ľ		
	0.1	Ľ		
	0.05	ľ		
	0		2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72	
			Time (hours)	

NOAA 24-hr C 10-Year Rainfall=5.01" Printed 11/10/2023

Page 55










Summary for Subcatchment P1-A: BYPASS

Runoff

unoff = 1.57 cfs @ 12.19 hrs, Volume= 0.172 af, Depth= 5.57" Routed to Link PDA1 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO PRINCETON - HIGHTSTOWN ROAD INLET

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33"

_	Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription		
	0.	212 6	51 >759	% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B
_	0.	158 9	8 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
	0.	370 7	7 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	0.	212 6	61 57.3	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	158 9	98 42.7	0% Imperv	/ious Area	
	Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
_	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cts)	
	0.9	9	0.0560	0.16		Sheet Flow, A-B
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	8	0.1200	0.22		Sheet Flow, B-C
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.6	35	0.0280	0.16		Sheet Flow, C-D
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	3.2	17	0.0090	0.09		Sheet Flow, D-E
	~ ~		0.0075	0.04		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.3	11	0.0075	0.61		Sheet Flow, E-F
		-	0 0000	0.40		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35"
	0.9		0.0380	0.13		Sheet Flow, F-G
			0.0440	0.40		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.6	11	0.0110	2.13		Snallow Concentrated Flow, G-H
-						Paved KV= 20.3 Tps

10.1 164 Total





J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed	NOAA 24-hr C	100-Year Rainfall=8.33"
Prepared by Bohler Engineers		Printed 11/10/2023
HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Softwa	are Solutions LLC	Page 80

Summary for Subcatchment P1-C: PPA#2

Inoff = 1.30 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond PP2 : POROUS PAVER #2 0.142 af, Depth= 7.20" Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33"

Area	(ac) C	N Des	cription			
0.	.189 9	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B		
0.	.048 6	61 >75	% Grass o	over, Good	, HSG B	
0.	.237 9	91 Weig	ghted Aver	age		
0.	.048 6	61 20.2	5% Pervio	us Area		
0.	.189 9	98 79.7	5% Imperv	/ious Area		
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description	
9.2	67	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, A-B	
0.2	7	0.0100	0.70		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35" Shallow Concentrated Flow, B-C Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps	
9.4	74	Total				







0.115 af. Depth= 7.16" Runoff

unoff = 1.54 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= Routed to Pond B2 : BASIN 2

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33" Area (ac) CN Description

Alea	(ac) '		escription						
0.0	041	61 >	75% Grass c	over, Good	, HSG B				
0.	152	98 P	aved parking	, HSG B	·				
0.	193	90 W	eighted Ave	rage					
0.0	041	61 2	1.24% Pervic	us Area					
0.1	152	98 7	3.76% Imper	vious Area					
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slop (ft/	e Velocity ft) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
2.1	100	0.005	50 0.81		Sheet Flow,				
0.9	74	0.005	50 1.44		Smooth surfaces n= 0.011 P2= 3.35" Shallow Concentrated Flow, Paved Kv= 20.3 fps				
3.0	174	Total							
	Subastahmant D2 U. Dringston Uinhtataum Daad								

Subcatchment P2-H: Princeton-Hightstown Road



Subcatchment P3-A: PDA 3 Hydrogra

6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 30 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50 52 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70 72 Time (hours)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed

(cfs)

Flow

1.20 cfs

Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33" Printed 11/10/2023

NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33" Runoff Area=0.377 ac Runoff Volume=0.151 af

> Tc=14.1 min CN=61/98

Runoff Depth=4.80" Flow Length=158' Page 91

Runoff

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed Prepared by Bohler Engineers HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Summary for Subcatchment P3-A: PDA 3

unoff = 1.20 cfs @ 12.25 hrs, Volume= 0.15 Routed to Link EDA 3 : TRIBUTARY TO SOUTHFIELD ROAD 0.151 af, Depth= 4.80" Runoff

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=Delmarva, Split Pervious/Imperv., Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs NOAA 24-hr C 100-Year Rainfall=8.33"

_	Area	(ac) (CN Des	cription		
	0.	282	61 >75	% Grass o	over, Good	, HSG B
_	0.	.095	98 Pave	ed parking	, HSG B	
	0.	.377	70 Weig	ghted Aver	age	
	0.	282	61 74.8	0% Pervio	us Area	
	0.	.095	98 25.2	0% Imperv	ious Area/	
	Tc	Length	Slone	Velocity	Canacity	Description
	(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	Description
_	0.5	7	0.1800	0.25		Sheet Flow, AB
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	4.6	40	0.0200	0.14		Sheet Flow, BC
						Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	7.6	53	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, CD
	0.5	07	0.0470	0.04		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.35"
	0.5	27	0.0170	0.91		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
	0.0	31	0 0070	0.50		Shallow Concentrated Flow FE
	0.9	31	0.0070	0.59		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
_	1/1 1	158	Total			

J190844 Prepared HydroCAD	_Rev 6a-Prop by Bohler Eng ® 10.20-2d s/n 02	ineers	021 HydroCA	D Software	NOAA 24 Solutions LLC	-hr C 100-	Year Rainfall=8.33" Printed 11/10/2023 Page 92
		5	Summary	for Pond	B1: BASIN 1		
Inflow Are Inflow Outflow Primary Routed Secondary Routed Routing by Peak Elev Plug-Flow Center-of-	a = 2.711 = 10.50 cf = 4.40 cf to Link PDA 2: y = 0.00 cf to Link PDA 2: y Dyn-Stor-Ind m = 94.06' @ 12.7' detention time= Mass det. time=	ac, 68.1 is @ 12 is @ 12 is @ 12 is @ 12 PROPO is @ 0 PROPO nethod, T 0 hrs S (not cald 125.1 m	7% Impervi 14 hrs, Vo .70 hrs, Vo SED TRIBU 0.00 hrs, Vo SED TRIBU 0.00 hrs, Vo SED TRIBU Time Span= urf.Area= 0. culated: outf in (965.1 -	ous, Inflow lume= lume= lume= JTARY TO lume= JTARY TO 0.00-72.00 195 ac St low preced 840.0)	v Depth = 5.98 1.352 af 1.352 af, A 1.352 af, B BLOCK 21.27, L 0.000 af BLOCK 21.27, L hrs, dt= 0.01 hr orage= 0.419 af les inflow)	" for 100-" tten= 58%, _OT 1 _OT 1 s / 3	Year event Lag= 34.0 min
Volume #1	91.25	0.617	ge Storag af Custo	e Descripti m Stage D	on ata (Irregular)Li	isted below	(Recalc)
Elevation (feet) 91.25 92.00 93.00 94.00 95.00	Surf.Area (acres) 0.105 0.128 0.160 0.193 0.227	Perin (fee 398. 423. 437. 452. 466.	n. Inc t <u>) (acr</u> 0 0 0 0 0 0	2.Store e-feet) 0.000 0.087 0.144 0.176 0.210	Cum.Store (acre-feet) 0.000 0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617	Wet.Area (acres) 0.105 0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219	
Device F	Routing	Invert	Outlet Dev	ces			
#1 F #2 [#3 [Primary Device 1 Device 1	89.25' 89.25' 92.50'	15.0" Rou L= 53.0' F Inlet / Outle n= 0.013 (3.0" Vert. 1 6.0" Vert. 1	nd Culver RCP, groov et Invert= 8 Concrete pi Underdrain Orifice/Gra	t e end projecting 9.25' / 89.09' S pe, bends & cor n C= 0.600 Lii nte X 2.00 C= 0	, Ke= 0.200 = 0.0030 '/' nnections, F mited to wei 0.600) Cc= 0.900 Flow Area= 1.23 sf ir flow at low heads
#4 [#5 \$	Device 1 Secondary	93.80' 94.13'	Limited to v 4.0' long S 105.0' long Head (feet Coef. (Eng	weir flow at harp-Cres x 10.0' b 0.20 0.40 lish) 2.49	low heads ted Rectangula readth Broad-C 0 0.60 0.80 1.0 2.56 2.70 2.69	ar Weir 2 Er Crested Rec 00 1.20 1.4 2.68 2.69	nd Contraction(s) tangular Weir 10 1.60 2.67 2.64
Primary C 1=Culv 2=U 3=0 4=S	DutFlow Max=4. vert (Passes 4.4 Inderdrain (Orifi Orifice/Grate (Or charp-Crested R	40 cfs @ 0 cfs of f ice Contr ifice Cort) 12.70 hrs 12.17 cfs po ols 0.51 cfs ntrols 2.16 c	HW=94.06 tential flow @ 10.42 fp fs @ 5.51 f eir Control	'TW=0.00'(D) ps) ps) s 1.72 cfs @ 1.6	ynamic Tail	water)

Secondary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=91.25' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) 5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)











B. DESIGN CALCULATIONS

- McCuen-Spiess Sheet Flow Calculations
- Storm Sewer Profiles
- Emergency Spillway Calculations
- Scour Hole Calculations
- Rip Rap Pad Calculations
- Pipe Sizing
- Groundwater Recharge Worksheet
- Low Impact Development Checklist
- NJDEP Nonstructural Strategies Points System (NSPS)
- Basin Drain Time
- Sediment Basin Calculations
- First Defense Sizing Calculations

McCuen-Spiess Sheet Flow Calculations

Date: 09/18/2023

Drainago Aroa	Slama (ft/ft)		Mannings n values	Max. Sheet Flow	Final Max. Sheet Flow
Drainage Area	Slope (It/It)	CoverType	wannings n values	Length (ft)	Length (ft)
E1	0.012	Woods: Light Underbrush	0.4	27.4	28
E2	0.036	Grass: Short	0.15	126.5	100
E3	0.059	Grass: Short	0.15	161.9	100
P1-A	0.056	Grass: Short	0.15	157.8	100
P1-B	0.02	Grass: Short	0.15	94.3	95
P1-C	0.01	Grass: Short	0.15	66.7	67
P1-D	0.01	Grass: Short	0.15	66.7	67
P2-A	0.01	Grass: Short	0.15	66.7	67
Р2-В	0.01	Smooth Surface	0.011	909.1	100
P2-C	0.016	Smooth Surface	0.011	1149.9	100
P2-D	0.011	Grass: Short	0.15	69.9	70
Р2-Е	0.015	Smooth Surface	0.011	1113.4	100
P2-F	0.025	Smooth Surface	0.011	1437.4	100
P2-G	0.11	Grass: Short	0.15	221.1	100
Р2-Н	0.005	Smooth Surface	0.011	642.8	100
P3-A	0.18	Grass: Short	0.15	282.8	100































Summary for Pond B1: BASIN 1

[44] Hint: Outlet device #2 is below defined storage

2.711 ac, 68.17% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 9.87" for 150 Year event Inflow Area = Inflow = 17.75 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 2.231 af Outflow = 17.40 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 1.798 af, Atten= 2%, Lag= 0.9 min Primary = 0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs, Volume= 0.000 af Routed to Link PDA 2 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1 Secondary = 17.40 cfs @ 12.13 hrs, Volume= 1.798 af Routed to Link PDA 2 : PROPOSED TRIBUTARY TO BLOCK 21.27, LOT 1

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Peak Elev= 94.29' @ 12.13 hrs Surf.Area= 0.203 ac Storage= 0.465 af

Plug-Flow detention time= 168.6 min calculated for 1.798 af (81% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 64.9 min (894.3 - 829.4)

Volume	Invert	Avail.Stora	age Storage Descr	iption			
#1	91.25'	0.617	7 af Custom Stage	Custom Stage Data (Irregular)Listed below (Recalc)			
Elevatio (fee	on Surf.Ar	ea Perir es) (fee	n. Inc.Store	Cum.Store (acre-feet)	Wet.Area		
91.2 92.0 93.0 94.0 95.0	25 0.1 00 0.1 00 0.1 00 0.1 00 0.1 00 0.1 00 0.1 00 0.2	05 398 28 423 60 437 93 452 27 466	.0 0.000 .0 0.000 .0 0.087 .0 0.144 .0 0.176 .0 0.210	0.000 0.087 0.231 0.407 0.617	0.105 0.143 0.167 0.194 0.219		
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices				
#1 Primary		89.25'	15.0" Round Culv L= 53.0' RCP, gro Inlet / Outlet Inverter n= 0.013 Concrete	vert X 0.00 pove end projecting = 89.25' / 89.09' e pipe, bends & co	g, Ke= 0.200 S= 0.0030 '/' Cc= nnections, Flow,	= 0.900 Area= 1.23 sf	
#2 Device 1#3 Device 1		89.25' 92.50'	3.0" Vert. Underdrain C= 0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads 6.0" Vert. Orifice/Grate X 2.00 C= 0.600				
#4 Device 1 #5 Secondary		93.80' 94.13'	4.0' long Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) 105.0' long x 10.0' breadth Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir Head (feet) 0.20 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 Coef. (English) 2.49 2.56 2.70 2.69 2.68 2.69 2.67 2.64				

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=91.25' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater)

-1=Culvert (Controls 0.00 cfs)

2=Underdrain (Passes 0.00 cfs of 0.32 cfs potential flow)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

-4=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Controls 0.00 cfs)

Secondary OutFlow Max=17.39 cfs @ 12.13 hrs HW=94.29' TW=0.00' (Dynamic Tailwater) 5=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 17.39 cfs @ 1.01 fps)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed_ES Prepared by Bohler Engineers

HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Pond B1: BASIN 1



Pond B1: BASIN 1



Summary for Pond B2: BASIN 2

[80] Warning: Exceeded Pond PP4 by 0.65' @ 11.36 hrs (0.49 cfs 0.098 af)

Inflow Area = 0.618 ac, 84.95% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 11.67" for 150 Year event Inflow 9.04 cfs @ 12.09 hrs, Volume= = 0.601 af 0.601 af, Atten= 7%, Lag= 0.9 min Outflow = 8.40 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= Discarded = 0.06 cfs @ 3.69 hrs, Volume= 0.167 af 8.33 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= Primary = 0.434 af Routed to Pond B1 : BASIN 1

Routing by Dyn-Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-72.00 hrs, dt= 0.01 hrs / 3 Peak Elev= 95.66' @ 12.11 hrs Surf.Area= 0.034 ac Storage= 0.084 af

Plug-Flow detention time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 109.7 min (885.9 - 776.2)

Volume		Invert A	Avail.Stora	ge S	torage Description	
#1		93.20'	0.109	af C	ustom Stage Data (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc)	
Elevatio	on et)	Surf.Area (acres)	a In) (aci	c.Store	cum.Store (acre-feet)	
93.2	20	0.034	-	0.000	0.000	
94.2 96.4	20 40	0.034 0.034	ŀ	0.034	0.034 0.109	
Device	Rou	ting	Invert	Outlet	Devices	
#1 Primary		92.20'	24.0" L= 66 Inlet / n= 0.0	Round Culvert .0' RCP, groove end projecting, Ke= 0.200 Outlet Invert= 92.20' / 92.18' S= 0.0003 '/' Cc= 0.900 013 Concrete pipe, bends & connections, Flow Area= 3.14 sf		
#2 Discarded		93.20'	3.600 in/hr Exfiltration X 0.50 over Surface area			
#3 Device 1#4 Device 1#5 Device 1		94.18' 95.05' 95.55'	2.5" V 4.0' Ic 48.0" C= 0. Limite	Vert. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads ong Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir 2 End Contraction(s) x 48.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate 600 in 48.0" x 48.0" Grate (100% open area) ed to weir flow at low heads		

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.06 cfs @ 3.69 hrs HW=93.23' (Free Discharge) **2=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.06 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=8.28 cfs @ 12.11 hrs HW=95.66' TW=94.29' (Dynamic Tailwater) **1=Culvert** (Passes 8.28 cfs of 20.78 cfs potential flow)

-3=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 0.19 cfs @ 5.65 fps)

-4=Sharp-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 6.09 cfs @ 2.56 fps)

-5=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 2.00 cfs @ 1.10 fps)

J190844_Rev 6a-Proposed_ES Prepared by Bohler Engineers

HydroCAD® 10.20-2d s/n 02612 © 2021 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Pond B2: BASIN 2





35 Technology Drive, Warren, NJ 07059 (908) 668-8300

 Date:
 9/18/2023

 Project:
 ERD WW, LLC

 Project No:
 J190844

Calculated By: <u>CPR</u> Checked By: TXL

Conduit Outlet Protection Calculations

Scour Hole # 1

Design Parameters:		
Design Storm Flow for 25 Year, Q	0.82	cfs
Vertical Dimension of Outlet Pipe, D _o	15	in
Horizontal Dimension of Outlet Pipe, W_o	15	in
Tailwater Depth, TW ¹	1.29	ft
Scour Hole Depth, $y (1/2 D_o \text{ or } D_o) \dots \dots$	8	in

Apron Dimension Calculations:

Minimum Bottom Width, $W_1 = 2W_0$	W ₁ = 2.50 ft
Minimum Bottom Length, $L_1 = 3D_0$	<i>L</i> ₁ = 3.75 ft
Minimum Top Width (max side slope of 3:1), W ₂	W ₂ = 6.25 ft
Minimum Top Length (max side slope of 3:1), L ₂	L ₂ = 7.50 ft

Rip Rap Stone Size Calculations:

Unit Dicharge, $q = Q/D_o = 0.66$ cfs per foot

- Case II: $y = D_o$

Median Stone, $d_{50} = \frac{0.0082 \ q^{1.33}}{TW} =$

Apron Thickness, $TH = 2 \times d_{50}$ with filter fabric



Notes:

- 1. The side slopes shall be 3:1 or flatter.
- 2. The bottom grade shall be 0.0% (level).
- 3. There shall be no overfall at the end of the apron or at the end of the culvert.
- 4. Fifty (50) percent by weight of the rip-rap mixture shall be smaller than the median size stone designated as d₅₀. The largest stone size in the mixture shall be 1.5 times the d₅₀ size. The rip-rap shall be reasonably well graded.
- 5. The thickness of the rip-rap apron may be two (2) times the median stone diameter provided that the apron is constructed on a bedding of four (4) inches of 3/4 inch clean stone on approved filter fabric material.
- 6. Rip-rap and filter fabric shall meet the standards of the governing Soil Conservation District as well as the requirements of the local municipality.
- 7. Where the scour hole is to be placed within an existing or proposed waterway:
 - a. The scour hole sidewalls should be eliminated to maintain a smooth hydraulic line along the waterway bottom to avoid inviting turbulent flow from a sudden depression in the waterway.
 - b. If the flow in the waterway is greater than the flow from the proposed outlet, the rip-rap used to construct the scour hole should be sized based on the greater flow value according to the standard rip-rap.

Footnote:

1. Tailwater depth shall be the 2 year storm if discharging into a detention basin. For areas where tailwater cannot be computed, use $TW = 0.2D_o$.


35 Technology Drive, Warren, NJ 07059 (908) 668-8300

 Date:
 9/18/2023

 Project:
 ERD WW, LLC

 Project No:
 J190844

Calculated By: <u>CPR</u> Checked By: TXL

Conduit Outlet Protection Calculations

Scour Hole # 2

Design Parameters:		
Design Storm Flow for 25 Year, Q	1.99	cfs
Vertical Dimension of Outlet Pipe, D _o	10	in
Horizontal Dimension of Outlet Pipe, W_o	10	in
Tailwater Depth, <i>TW</i> ¹	0.96	ft
Scour Hole Depth, $y (1/2 D_o \text{ or } D_o) \dots \dots$	5	in

Apron Dimension Calculations:

Minimum Bottom Width, $W_1 = 2W_0$	W ₁ =	1.67 ft
Minimum Bottom Length, $L_1 = 3D_0$	L 1 =	2.50 ft
Minimum Top Width (max side slope of 3:1), W_2	$W_{2} =$	4.17 ft
Minimum Top Length (max side slope of 3:1), L ₂	L ₂ =	5.00 ft

Rip Rap Stone Size Calculations:

Unit Dicharge, $q = Q/D_o = 2.39$ cfs per foot

- Case II: $y = D_o$

Median Stone, $d_{50} = \frac{0.0082 \ q^{1.33}}{TW} =$

Apron Thickness, $TH = 2 \times d_{50}$ with filter fabric



Notes:

- 1. The side slopes shall be 3:1 or flatter.
- 2. The bottom grade shall be 0.0% (level).
- 3. There shall be no overfall at the end of the apron or at the end of the culvert.
- 4. Fifty (50) percent by weight of the rip-rap mixture shall be smaller than the median size stone designated as d₅₀. The largest stone size in the mixture shall be 1.5 times the d₅₀ size. The rip-rap shall be reasonably well graded.
- 5. The thickness of the rip-rap apron may be two (2) times the median stone diameter provided that the apron is constructed on a bedding of four (4) inches of 3/4 inch clean stone on approved filter fabric material.
- 6. Rip-rap and filter fabric shall meet the standards of the governing Soil Conservation District as well as the requirements of the local municipality.
- 7. Where the scour hole is to be placed within an existing or proposed waterway:
 - a. The scour hole sidewalls should be eliminated to maintain a smooth hydraulic line along the waterway bottom to avoid inviting turbulent flow from a sudden depression in the waterway.
 - b. If the flow in the waterway is greater than the flow from the proposed outlet, the rip-rap used to construct the scour hole should be sized based on the greater flow value according to the standard rip-rap.

Footnote:

1. Tailwater depth shall be the 2 year storm if discharging into a detention basin. For areas where tailwater cannot be computed, use $TW = 0.2D_o$.



Date:	9/18/2023	
Project:	ERD WW, LLC	
Project No:	J190844	

Calculated By: <u>CPR</u> Checked By: <u>TXL</u>

Conduit Outlet Protection Calculations

Rip Rap Pad # <u>1</u>

Design Storm Flow for 25 Year, Q	3.90	cfs
Vertical Dimension of Outlet Pipe, D _o	24	in
Horizontal Dimension of Outlet Pipe, W_o	24	in
Tailwater Depth, TW ¹	1.39	ft

Apron Dimension Calculations:

Unit Dicharge, $q = Q/W_o = 1.95$ cfs per foot

• Case I: TW < 1/2 D_o

Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{1.8q}{D_a^{1/2}} + 7D_o =$	L _a :
Width, $W_1 = 3W_0 =$	$W_{1} =$
Width, $W_2 = 3W_o + L_a =$	$W_2 =$



•	Case II:	TW ≥ 1/2 D

Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{3q}{D_o^{1/2}} = 4.14$ ft Width, $W_1 = 3W_o = 6$. ft Width, $W_2 = 3W_o + 0.4L_a = 7.65$ ft



Rip Rap Stone Size Calculations:

Median Stone, $d_{50} = \frac{0.02q^{1.33}}{\text{TW}}$

d₅₀ = 6 in

6 ft

6 ft

8 ft

or

or

or

Notes:

- 1. Where there is a well-defined channel downstream of the apron, the bottom width of the apron shall be at least equal to the bottom width of the channel and the structural lining shall extend at least one foot above the tailwater elevation, but no lower than two-thirds of the vertical conduit dimension above the conduit invert.
- 2. The side slopes shall be 2:1 or flatter.
- 3. The bottom grade shall be 0.0% (level).
- 4. There shall be no overfall at the end of the apron or at the end of the culvert.
- 5. Fifty (50) percent by weight of the rip-rap mixture shall be smaller than the median size stone designated as d_{50} . The largest stone size in the mixture shall be 1.5 times the d_{50} size. The rip-rap shall be reasonably well graded.
- 6. The thickness of the rip-rap apron may be two (2) times the median stone diameter provided that the apron is constructed on a bedding of four (4) inches of 3/4 inch clean stone on approved filter fabric material.
- 7. Rip-rap and filter fabric shall meet the standards of the governing Soil Conservation District as well as the requirements of the local municipality.
- 8. No bends or curves at the intersection of the conduit and apron will be permitted.

Footnote:

- 1. Tailwater depth shall be the 2 year storm if discharging into a detention basin. For areas where tailwater cannot be computed, use TW = 0.2D_o.
- 2. For multiple pipes, increase rip-rap sizes by 25% when pipe spacing is greater than or equal to $1/4 W_o$.



Date:	9/18/2023
Project:	ERD WW, LLC
Project No:	J190844

cfs

Calculated By: CPR Checked By: TXL

Conduit Outlet Protection Calculations Rip Rap Pad # 2

Design Parameters:	
Design Storm Flow for 25 Year, Q	8.90 cfs
Vertical Dimension of Outlet Pipe, D _o	24 in
Horizontal Dimension of Outlet Pipe, W_o	24 in
Tailwater Depth, TW ¹	1.39 ft

Apron Dimension Calculations:

Unit Dicharge, $q = Q/W_o = 4.45$ cfs per foot

Case I: $TW < 1/2 D_{o}$

0	
Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{1.8q}{D_a^{1/2}} + 7D_o =$	L _a =
Width, $W_1 = 3W_0 =$	W 1 =
Width, $W_2 = 3W_o + L_a =$	$W_2 =$



-	
W ₁	=
W ₂	=

Case II: $TW \ge 1/2 D_o$ •

Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{3q}{D_o^{1/2}} = 9.44$ ft Width, $W_1 = 3W_0 = 6$. ft Width, $W_2 = 3W_0 + 0.4L_a =$ 9.78 ft



Rip Rap Stone Size Calculations:

Median Stone, $d_{50} = \frac{0.02q^{1.33}}{\text{TW}}$

*d*₅₀ : 6 in

• 10 ft

= 6 ft

= 10 ft

or

or

or

Notes:

- 1. Where there is a well-defined channel downstream of the apron, the bottom width of the apron shall be at least equal to the bottom width of the channel and the structural lining shall extend at least one foot above the tailwater elevation, but no lower than two-thirds of the vertical conduit dimension above the conduit invert.
- 2. The side slopes shall be 2:1 or flatter.
- 3. The bottom grade shall be 0.0% (level).
- 4. There shall be no overfall at the end of the apron or at the end of the culvert.
- 5. Fifty (50) percent by weight of the rip-rap mixture shall be smaller than the median size stone designated as d₅₀. The largest stone size in the mixture shall be 1.5 times the d₅₀ size. The rip-rap shall be reasonably well graded.
- 6. The thickness of the rip-rap apron may be two (2) times the median stone diameter provided that the apron is constructed on a bedding of four (4) inches of 3/4 inch clean stone on approved filter fabric material.
- 7. Rip-rap and filter fabric shall meet the standards of the governing Soil Conservation District as well as the requirements of the local municipality.
- 8. No bends or curves at the intersection of the conduit and apron will be permitted.

Footnote:

- 1. Tailwater depth shall be the 2 year storm if discharging into a detention basin. For areas where tailwater cannot be computed, use $TW = 0.2D_o$.
- 2. For multiple pipes, increase rip-rap sizes by 25% when pipe spacing is greater than or equal to $1/4 W_o$.



Date: 9/18/2023 **Project:** FRD **Project No:**

Calculated By: MRK Checked By: TXL

Conduit Outlet Protection Calculations

Rip Rap Pad # 3

Design Storm Flow for 25 Year, Q	0.17	cfs
Vertical Dimension of Outlet Pipe, D _o	6	in
Horizontal Dimension of Outlet Pipe, W_o	6	in
Tailwater Depth, TW ¹	0.28	ft

Apron Dimension Calculations:

Unit Dicharge, $q = Q/W_o = 0.34$ cfs per foot

Case I: TW < 1/2 D_a

6	
Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{1.8q}{D^{1/2}} + 7D_o =$	L _a =
Width, $W_1 = 3W_0 =$	W 1 =
Width, $W_2 = 3W_o + L_a =$	$W_2 =$



a		
V ₁	=	
V 2	=	

6 ft

6 ft

6 ft

or

or

or

Case II: $TW \ge 1/2 D_o$ •

Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{3q}{D_o^{1/2}} = 1.44$ ft Width, $W_1 = 3W_o = 1.5$ ft Width, $W_2 = 3W_o + 0.4L_a =$ 2.08 ft



Rip Rap Stone Size Calculations:

Median Stone, $d_{50} = \frac{0.02q^{1.33}}{\text{TW}}$ 0.20 in = *d*₅₀ : 6 in

Notes:

- 1. Where there is a well-defined channel downstream of the apron, the bottom width of the apron shall be at least equal to the bottom width of the channel and the structural lining shall extend at least one foot above the tailwater elevation, but no lower than two-thirds of the vertical conduit dimension above the conduit invert.
- 2. The side slopes shall be 2:1 or flatter.
- 3. The bottom grade shall be 0.0% (level).
- 4. There shall be no overfall at the end of the apron or at the end of the culvert.
- 5. Fifty (50) percent by weight of the rip-rap mixture shall be smaller than the median size stone designated as d₅₀. The largest stone size in the mixture shall be 1.5 times the d₅₀ size. The rip-rap shall be reasonably well graded.
- 6. The thickness of the rip-rap apron may be two (2) times the median stone diameter provided that the apron is constructed on a bedding of four (4) inches of 3/4 inch clean stone on approved filter fabric material.
- 7. Rip-rap and filter fabric shall meet the standards of the governing Soil Conservation District as well as the requirements of the local municipality.
- 8. No bends or curves at the intersection of the conduit and apron will be permitted.

Footnote:

- 1. Tailwater depth shall be the 2 year storm if discharging into a detention basin. For areas where tailwater cannot be computed, use $TW = 0.2D_o$.
- 2. For multiple pipes, increase rip-rap sizes by 25% when pipe spacing is greater than or equal to $1/4 W_o$.



Date: 9/15/2023 Project: ERD WW, LLC Project No: J190844

Calculated By: MAI Checked By: TXL

Conduit Outlet Protection Calculations

Rip Rap Pad # 4

Design Parameters:		
Design Storm Flow for 25 Year, Q	1.41	cfs
Vertical Dimension of Outlet Pipe, D _o	10	in
Horizontal Dimension of Outlet Pipe, W_o	10	in
Tailwater Depth, <i>TW</i> ¹	1.70	ft

Apron Dimension Calculations:

•

Unit Dicharge, $q = Q/W_o = 1.69$ cfs per foot

Case I: $TW < 1/2 D_c$	
Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{1.8q}{D_a^{1/2}} + 7D_o =$	L _a :
Width, $W_1 = 3W_0 =$	<i>W</i> ₁ =
Width, $W_2 = 3W_o + L_a =$	<i>W</i> ₂ =

\leftarrow L _a \rightarrow	
$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ W_{\circ} \\ W_{\circ} \\ W_{1} \end{array}$	

Case II: $TW \ge 1/2 D_o$ Apron Length, $L_a = \frac{3q}{D_o^{1/2}} = 5.56 \text{ ft}$ Width, $W_1 = 3W_o = 2.5 \text{ ft}$ Width, $W_2 = 3W_0 + 0.4L_a =$



or

or

6 ft

6 ft

6 ft

W . =

Median Stone, $d_{50} = \frac{0.02q^{1.33}}{\text{TW}}$

Notes:

- 1. Where there is a well-defined channel downstream of the apron, the bottom width of the apron shall be at least equal to the bottom width of the channel and the structural lining shall extend at least one foot above the tailwater elevation, but no lower than two-thirds of the vertical conduit dimension above the conduit invert.
- 2. The side slopes shall be 2:1 or flatter.
- 3. The bottom grade shall be 0.0% (level).
- 4. There shall be no overfall at the end of the apron or at the end of the culvert.
- 5. Fifty (50) percent by weight of the rip-rap mixture shall be smaller than the median size stone designated as d_{50} . The largest stone size in the mixture shall be 1.5 times the d₅₀ size. The rip-rap shall be reasonably well graded.
- 6. The thickness of the rip-rap apron may be two (2) times the median stone diameter provided that the apron is constructed on a bedding of four (4) inches of 3/4 inch clean stone on approved filter fabric material.
- 7. Rip-rap and filter fabric shall meet the standards of the governing Soil Conservation District as well as the requirements of the local municipality.
- 8. No bends or curves at the intersection of the conduit and apron will be permitted.

Footnote:

2. For multiple pipes, increase rip-rap sizes by 25% when pipe spacing is greater than or equal to $1/4 W_o$.

^{1.} Tailwater depth shall be the 2 year storm if discharging into a detention basin. For areas where tailwater cannot be computed, use $TW = 0.2D_o$.

Hydraflow Storm Sewers Extension for Autodesk® Civil 3D® Plan



Storm Sewer Tabulation

Statior	า	Len	Drng A	rea	Rnoff	Area x	с	Тс		Rain	Total	Сар	Vel	Pipe		Invert Ele	ev.	HGL Elev		Grnd / Rim Elev		Line ID
Line	To		Incr	Total	coen	Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst		now	run		Size	Slope	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	
	Lille	(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(C)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(in)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
1	End	38.816	0.14	0.40	0.99	0.14	0.40	6.0	10.4	6.9	2.72	2.85	5.39	10	1.00	93.20	93.59	93.93	94.32	94.47	95.03	CO 510 - OS 500
2	1	41.047	0.16	0.23	0.99	0.16	0.23	6.0	10.3	6.9	1.57	1.10	4.49	8	0.49	93.59	93.79	94.32	94.72	95.03	95.07	CO 520 - CO 510
3	2	17.334	0.01	0.05	0.99	0.01	0.05	6.0	10.0	7.0	0.34	1.13	0.99	8	0.52	93.79	93.88	95.04	95.05	95.07	95.16	CO 520 - CO 510
4	3	69.542	0.01	0.04	0.99	0.01	0.04	6.0	8.5	7.3	0.29	1.11	0.83	8	0.50	93.88	94.23	95.05	95.07	95.16	95.50	CO 530 - CO 520
5	4	4.114	0.01	0.03	0.99	0.01	0.03	6.0	8.4	7.3	0.22	1.09	0.62	8	0.49	94.23	94.25	95.07	95.08	95.50	95.52	CO 530 - CO 520
6	5	84.500	0.01	0.02	0.99	0.01	0.02	6.0	6.1	7.9	0.16	1.11	0.56	8	0.50	94.25	94.67	95.08	95.09	95.52	95.94	CO 540 - CO 530
7	6	4.320	0.01	0.01	0.99	0.01	0.01	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.08	0.50	1.11	6	0.46	94.67	94.69	95.10	94.83	95.94	95.79	Pipe - (82)
8	2	85.335	0.01	0.02	0.99	0.01	0.02	6.0	6.7	7.7	0.15	0.54	0.78	6	0.54	93.92	94.38	95.04	95.08	95.07	95.48	Pipe - (78) (2)
9	8	17.271	0.01	0.01	0.99	0.01	0.01	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.08	0.72	0.40	6	0.98	94.38	94.55	95.09	95.09	95.48	95.65	Pipe - (79)
10	1	33.167	0.01	0.03	0.99	0.01	0.03	6.0	6.8	7.7	0.23	1.09	0.69	8	0.48	93.59	93.75	94.32	94.32	95.03	95.19	CO 511 - CO 510
11	10	33.167	0.01	0.02	0.99	0.01	0.02	6.0	6.4	7.8	0.16	1.12	1.26	8	0.51	93.75	93.92	94.32	94.10	95.19	95.53	CO 511 - CO 510
12	11	33.167	0.01	0.01	0.99	0.01	0.01	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.08	1.12	1.36	8	0.51	93.92	94.09	94.10	94.22	95.53	95.86	CO 511 - CO 510
13	End	42.906	0.01	1.44	0.99	0.01	1.24	6.0	9.2	7.1	10.03	12.45	4.99	24	0.30	91.25	91.38	92.38	92.71	93.08	94.10	IN 310 - FES 300
14	13	31.824	0.15	1.43	0.97	0.15	1.23	6.0	9.0	7.2	10.00	12.03	4.19	24	0.28	91.48	91.57	92.91	92.99	94.10	94.30	IN 320 - IN 310
15	14	65.205	0.00	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.88	6.0	8.7	7.2	7.56	12.53	3.21	24	0.31	91.67	91.87	93.14	93.21	94.30	94.59	OS 330 - IN 320
16	15	22.127	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.09	6.44	0.85	15	0.99	92.62	92.84	93.37	92.96	94.59	96.56	Pipe - (92)
17	15	104.640	0.25	1.05	0.97	0.24	0.88	6.0	8.2	7.4	6.44	5.81	3.64	18	0.31	91.97	92.29	93.47	93.86	94.59	95.56	IN 340 - OS 330
18	17	55.604	0.14	0.68	0.61	0.09	0.56	6.0	7.0	7.7	4.29	5.81	2.43	18	0.31	92.39	92.56	94.06	94.16	95.56	94.85	IN 350 - IN 340
19	18	79.878	0.07	0.54	0.99	0.07	0.47	6.0	6.4	7.8	3.71	5.76	2.14	18	0.30	92.66	92.90	94.20	94.29	94.85	95.50	IN 360 - IN 350
20	19	56.826	0.47	0.47	0.86	0.40	0.40	6.0	6.0	7.9	3.21	3.53	2.62	15	0.30	93.00	93.17	94.31	94.42	95.50	95.19	IN 370 - IN 360
21	17	64.519	0.12	0.12	0.62	0.07	0.07	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.59	3.50	0.48	15	0.29	92.39	92.58	94.06	94.07	95.56	94.49	Pipe - (107)
22	14	27.681	0.16	0.23	0.85	0.14	0.21	6.0	7.3	7.6	1.56	3.47	1.27	15	0.29	91.67	91.75	93.1 4	93.16	94.30	93.66	IN 210 - MH 200
Proje	ct File:	Pipe Ca	alcs_revi	ised.stm	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1	1	Number	of lines: 4	6	1	Run Da	te: 9/25/20)23
																				1		

NOTES:Intensity = 102.61 / (Inlet time + 16.50) ^ 0.82; Return period =Yrs. 25 ; c = cir e = ellip b = box

Storm Sewer Tabulation

Statio	ı	Len	Drng A	rea	Rnoff	Area x	с	Тс		Rain	Total	Cap	Vel	Pipe		Invert E	ev	HGL Ele	v	Grnd / Ri	m Elev	Line ID
Line	To	-	Incr	Total	coen	Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst		now	iun		Size	Slope	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	
	LIIIE	(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(C)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(in)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
23	22	34.450	0.07	0.07	0.99	0.07	0.07	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.55	3.48	0.45	15	0.29	91.85	91.95	93.18	93.18	93.66	94.95	IN 220 - IN 210 (2
24	End	15.352	0.19	1.10	0.99	0.19	1.07	6.0	10.3	6.9	8.68	6.38	7.23	15	0.98	89.05	89.20	90.19	90.52	0.00	93.15	Pipe - (132)
25	24	9.506	0.28	0.69	0.99	0.28	0.67	6.0	10.2	6.9	5.89	6.62	4.80	15	1.05	89.30	89.40	91.30	91.38	93.15	93.67	Pipe - (126)
26	25	83.835	0.01	0.41	0.99	0.01	0.39	6.0	9.8	7.0	4.02	6.46	3.28	15	1.00	89.50	90.34	91.69	92.02	93.67	95.80	MH 610 - IN 600
27	26	57.280	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.38	6.0	9.6	7.0	3.97	6.44	4.65	15	1.00	91.33	91.90	92.17	92.71	95.80	94.02	RD 1 - CO 540
28	27	11.050	0.01	0.24	0.99	0.01	0.23	6.0	7.1	7.6	2.23	6.44	4.32	15	1.00	92.21	92.32	92.72	92.92	94.02	96.46	MH 630 - OS 620
29	28	38.063	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.22	6.0	7.0	7.7	2.16	6.45	4.28	15	1.00	92.42	92.80	92.92	93.39	96.46	94.71	OS 640 - MH 630
30	29	13.080	0.07	0.23	0.99	0.07	0.22	6.0	6.9	7.7	1.68	3.57	2.86	15	0.31	92.90	92.94	93.50	93.54	94.71	95.26	IN 650 - OS 640
31	30	120.660	0.16	0.16	0.93	0.15	0.15	6.0	6.0	7.9	1.18	3.53	2.28	15	0.30	93.04	93.40	93.67	93.89	95.26	95.52	IN 660 - IN 650
32	27	33.398	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.15	6.0	9.3	7.1	1.41	3.53	2.11	15	0.30	92.00	92.10	92.71	92.74	94.02	93.91	OS 700 - OS 620
33	32	20.608	0.14	0.16	0.95	0.13	0.15	6.0	9.1	7.1	1.09	3.48	1.63	15	0.29	92.10	92.16	92.80	92.81	93.91	94.69	IN 710 - OS 700
34	33	75.988	0.02	0.02	0.99	0.02	0.02	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.16	3.55	0.40	15	0.30	92.26	92.49	92.85	92.86	94.69	94.69	IN 720 - IN 710
35	24	134.358	0.18	0.22	0.99	0.18	0.22	6.0	8.1	7.4	1.61	3.57	1.31	15	0.31	89.30	89.71	91.30	91.38	93.15	93.15	Pipe - (129)
36	35	32.306	0.04	0.04	0.99	0.04	0.04	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.31	3.59	0.26	15	0.31	89.81	89.91	91.39	91.39	93.15	92.99	Pipe - (130)
37	End	120.882	0.19	0.19	0.99	0.19	0.19	6.0	6.0	7.9	1.49	1.49	2.64	10(2b)	0.10	93.20	93.32	93.52	94.41	92.65	94.75	Pipe - (128)
38	End	14.190	0.07	0.08	0.95	0.07	0.08	6.0	6.6	7.8	3.44	12.01	3.64	24	0.28	91.25	91.29	91.90	92.01	93.06	94.03	IN 410 - FES 400
39	38	45.923	0.00	0.01	0.99	0.00	0.01	6.0	6.3	7.9	2.93	12.49	2.75	24	0.30	91.38	91.52	92.17	92.22	94.03	96.62	OS 420 - IN 410
40	39	41.538	0.01	0.01	0.99	0.01	0.01	6.0	6.1	7.9	2.93	12.65	2.81	24	0.31	91.52	91.65	92.30	92.34	96.62	95.37	Pipe - (134)
41	40	8.722	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.0	6.0	0.0	2.85	10.83	2.91	24	0.23	92.18	92.20	92.88	92.90	95.37	94.11	IN 430 - OS 420
42	End	67.153	0.07	0.07	0.98	0.07	0.07	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.55	0.00	3.11	6	-0.39	92.18	91.92	92.56	93.03	0.00	0.00	Pipe - (76)
43	End	26.926	0.10	0.10	0.92	0.09	0.09	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.73	3.52	2.44	15	0.30	91.25	91.33	91.58	91.74	93.06	94.31	IN 150 - FES 140
44	End	175.032	0.10	0.42	0.91	0.09	0.37	6.0	7.1	7.6	2.84	4.58	3.94	15	0.50	86.40	87.28	87.08	88.03	88.48	92.50	IN 110 - IN 100
Proje	ct File:	Pipe Ca	alcs_revi	sed.stm	1								I			Numbe	er of lines: 4	46		Run Dat	e: 9/25/20	23
																1				1		

NOTES:Intensity = 102.61 / (Inlet time + 16.50) ^ 0.82; Return period =Yrs. 25 ; c = cir e = ellip b = box

Storm Sewer Tabulation

Statio	on	Len	Drng A	rea	Rnoff	Area x	C	Тс		Rain	Total	Сар	Vel	Pipe		Invert Ele	€V	HGL Ele	v	Grnd / Ri	m Elev	Line ID
Line	To		Incr	Total	-соеп	Incr	Total	Inlet	Syst	(1)	now	TUII		Size	Slope	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	Dn	Up	
	Line	(ft)	(ac)	(ac)	(C)			(min)	(min)	(in/hr)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(ft/s)	(in)	(%)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	
45	44	129.312	0.25	0.32	0.85	0.21	0.28	6.0	6.5	7.8	2.20	4.58	3.52	15	0.50	87.38	88.03	88.06	88.62	92.50	92.00	IN 120 - IN 110
46	45	52.688	0.07	0.07	0.98	0.07	0.07	6.0	6.0	7.9	0.55	9.12	1.89	15	1.99	88.13	89.18	88.62	89.47	92.00	91.10	OS 130 - IN 120
Proje	ect File:	Pipe Ca	alcs_rev	ised.stn	1											Number	of lines: 4	16		Run Da	te: 9/25/20	023
NOT	ES:Inte	nsity = 1	02.61 /	(Inlet tin	ne + 16.5	0) ^ 0.82	; Returi	n period	=Yrs. 25	; c = c	ir e = el	lip b = b	ох									

New Jerse	y iter	Annual Groundwater Re	charge A	nalysis	(based on G	SR-32)			Project Name:	ERD, LLC		
Recharge Spreadshe Version 2.0	et	Annual Groundwater Recharge Analysis (based on GSR-32) Project Name: ERD, LLC Select Township Annual P (n) Climatic Factor Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Project Name: ERD, LLC Description: Annual Recharge Annual Recharge Annual Recharge Annual Recharge Annual Recharge Annual Recharge Annua		l Restaurant								
November	2003	MERCER CO., WEST WINDSOR TWP	44.9	1.43					Analysis Date:	09/18/23		
		Pre-Developed Cond	itions						Post-Develope	d Conditions		
Land Segment	Area (acres)	TR-55 Land Cover	Soil	Annual Recharge (in)	Annual Recharge (cu.ft)		Land Segment	Area (acres)	TR-55 Land Cover	Soil	Annual Recharge (in)	Annual Recharge (cu.ft)
1	0.897	Impervious areas	Sassafras	0.0	-		1	2.979	Impervious areas	Sassafras	0.0	-
2	2.969	Open space	Sassafras	13.2	142,417		2	1.61	Open space	Sassafras	13.2	77,228
3	0.723	Woods	Sassafras	13.3	34,836		3	0				
4	0						4	0				
5	0						5	0				
6	0						6	0				
7	0						7	0				
8	0						8	0				
9	0						9	0				
10	0						10	0				
11	0						11	0				
12	0						12	0				
13	0						13	0				
14	0						14	0				
15	0						15	0				
Total =	4.6			Total Annual Recharge (in)	Total Annual Recharge (cu-ft)		Total =	4.6			Total Annual Recharge (in)	Total Annual Recharge (cu.ft)
				10.6	177,253		Annual	Recharg	je Requirements Calculat	ion ↓	4.6	77,228
<u>Procedure</u>	to fill the	Pre-Development and Post-Development Con	ditions Tables			% of Pre-	Developed	Annual Re	charge to Preserve =	100%	Total Impervious Area (sq.ft)	129,765
For each land segment, first enter the area, then select TR-55 Land Cover, then select Soil. Start from the top of the table (cubic feet)												
and proceed of	lownward. D	on't leave blank rows (with A=0) in between your segment en	ntries. Rows with A=0	will not be		Recha	rge Effici	iency Pa	rameters Calculations (ar	ea averages)		
Lnd SegmentArea (cres)TR-55 Land CoverSollAnnual Recharge (cu,ft)Annual (cu,ft)Annual (cu,ft)Annual Recharge (cu,ft)Annual (cu,ft)<												
Soil type for ir	npervious ar	eas are only required if an infiltration facility will be built with	in these areas.			ERWC =	1.08	(in)	EDRWC=	0.22	(in)	

SR-32)			Project Name:	ERD, LLC							
			Description:	Prop. Quick	Chek and	d Restaurant					
			Analysis Date:	09/18/23							
			Post-Develope	d Conditions							
	Land Segment	Area (acres)	TR-55 Land Cover	Soil	Annual Recharge (in)	Annual Recharge (cu.ft)					
	1	2.979	Impervious areas	Sassafras	0.0	-					
	2	1.61	Open space	Sassafras	13.2	77,228					
	3	0									
	4	0									
	5	0									
	6	0									
	7	0									
	8	0									
	9	0									
	10	0									
	11	0									
	12	0									
	13	0									
	14	0									
	15	0									
	Total =	4.6			Total Annual Recharge (in)	Total Annual Recharge (cu.ft)					
	Annual	Recharg	ge Requirements Calculat	ion ↓	4.6	77,228					
% of Pre-	Developed /	Annual Re	echarge to Preserve =	100%	Total Impervious Area (sq.ft)	129,765					
Post-D	evelopm	ent Ann	ual Recharge Deficit=	100,025	(cubic feet)						
Recha	rge Effici	fficiency Parameters Calculations (area averages)									
RWC=	3.80	(in)	DRWC=	0.76	(in)						
ERWC =	1.08	(in)	EDRWC=	0.22	(in)						
					-						

Project Name		Descriptio	<u>on</u>		Analysis	3 Date	BMP or L	.ID Type				
ERD, LLC		Prop. Qui	ckChek a	and Restaurant	09/18/23		Bioretention F	Basin #2				
Recharge BMP Input Pa	arameters			Root Zone Water cap	pacity Calcu	lated Paran	neters	Recharge Design Par	rameters			
Parameter	<u>Symbol</u>	Value	<u>Unit</u>	Parameter	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Value</u>	Unit	Parameter	Symbol	<u>Value</u>	<u>Unit</u>	
BMP Area	ABMP	1124.0	sq.ft	Empty Portion of RWC under Post-D Natural Recharge	ERWC	1.08	in	Inches of Runoff to capture	Qdesign	0.52	in	
BMP Effective Depth, this is the design variable	dBMP	10.2	in	ERWC Modified to consider dEXC	EDRWC	0.60	in	Inches of Rainfall to capture	Pdesign	0.65	in	
Upper level of the BMP surface (negative if above ground)	dBMPu	-20.0	in	Empty Portion of RWC under Infilt. BMP	RERWC	0.47	in	Recharge Provided Avg. over Imp. Area		21.8	in	
Depth of lower surface of BMP, must be>=dBMPu	dEXC	24.0	in					Runoff Captured Avg. over imp. Area		23.7	in	
Post-development Land Segment Location of BMP , Input Zero if Location is distributed or undetermined	SegBMP	0	unitless									
				BMP Calculated Size	e Parameter	:S		CALCULATION CH	HECK MES	SAGES		
				ABMP/Aimp	Aratio	0.05	unitless	Volume Balance->	ОК		_	
		XX7 1 1 (BMP Volume								
Parameters from Annua	I Recharge	e Worksheet		System Performance	Calculated	Parameters		dEXC Check>	ОК			
(or desired recharge volume)	Vdef	40,000	cu.ft	Annual BMP Recharge Volume		40,000	cu.ft	BMP Location>	Location is	selected as	s distrib	uted or undetermined
Post-D Impervious Area (or target Impervious Area)	Aimp	22,041	sq.ft	Avg BMP Recharge Efficiency		92.0%	Represents % Infiltration Recharged	OTHER NOTES				
Root Zone Water Capacity	RWC	3.80	in	%Rainfall became Runoff		77.7%	%	Pdesign is accurate only after	BMP dimensior	ns are updated	to make r	ech volume= deficit volume. Th
RWC Modified to consider dEXC	DRWC	2.11	in	%Runoff Infiltrated		67.8%	%	of BMP infiltration prior to fillir	ng and the area of	occupied by BN	/IP are ign	ored in these calculations. Res
Climatic Factor	C-factor	1.43	no units	%Runoff Recharged		10.6%	%	sensetive to dBMP, make sur	e dBMP selected	d is small enou	igh for BM	P to empty in less than 3 days.
Average Annual P	Pavg	44.9	in	%Rainfall Recharged		8.2%	%	Segment Location of BMP if y	ou select "imper	rvious areas" R	WC will b	e minimal but not zero as deter
Recharge Requirement over Imp. Area	dr	3.7	in					the soil type and a shallow roo	ot zone for this L	and Cover allc	wing cons	ideration of lateral flow and oth
How to solve for different and "Aimp" on this page. Th To solve for a smaller BMP	recharge vo is allows so or a LID-IMI	olumes: By def lution for a sing P to recharge o	fault the spre gle BMP to ha	adsheet assigns the value andle the entire recharge r	es of total def equirement a set Vdef to vo	icit recharge v assuming the r	olume "Vdef" a unoff from entir and Aimp to ir	and total proposed imperv re impervious area is ava ppervious area directly co	rious area "Ai ilable to the E onnected to y	mp" from the 3MP. your infiltratic	e "Annua	al Recharge" sheet to "Vo

dBMP. To go back to the default configuration clik the "Default Vdef & Aimp" button.

ion е ind l by ses

Project Name	_	Descriptio	<u>on</u>		Analysis	s Date	BMP or L	.ID Type				
ERD, LLC		Prop. Qui	ckChek a	and Restaurant	09/18/23	;	Porous Paver	r Area #3				
Recharge BMP Input Pa	arameters			Root Zone Water cap	pacity Calcu	ulated Paran	neters	Recharge Design Par	rameters			
<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Unit</u>	Parameter	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Value</u>	Unit	Parameter	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Value</u>	<u>Unit</u>	
BMP Area	ABMP	6839.0	sq.ft	Empty Portion of RWC under Post-D Natural Recharge	ERWC	1.08	in	Inches of Runoff to capture	Qdesign	1.67	in	
BMP Effective Depth, this is the design variable	dBMP	5.7	in	ERWC Modified to consider dEXC	EDRWC	0.22	in	Inches of Rainfall to capture	Pdesign	1.90	in	
Upper level of the BMP surface (negative if above ground)	dBMPu	7.0	in	Empty Portion of RWC under Infilt. BMP	RERWC	0.17	in	Recharge Provided Avg. over Imp. Area		29.5	in	
Depth of lower surface of BMP, must be>=dBMPu	dEXC	42.0	in		•			Runoff Captured Avg. over imp. Area		33.2	in	
Post-development Land Segment Location of BMP, Input Zero if Location is distributed or undetermined	SegBMP	0	unitless									
	1			BMP Calculated Size	Parameter	:S		CALCULATION CI	HECK MES	SAGES		
				ABMP/Aimp	Aratio	0.27	unitless	Volume Balance->	OK			
Daramatars from Annua	Dochorg	o Workshoot		BIMP Volume	Colculated	3,2/1	cu.ft	dBMP Check> OK				
Post-D Deficit Recharge (or desired recharge volume)	Vdef	61,678	cu.ft	Annual BMP Recharge Volume	Calculated	61,678	cu.ft	BMP Location> Location is selected as distributed or undetermined				
Post-D Impervious Area (or target Impervious Area)	Aimp	25,091	sq.ft	Avg BMP Recharge Efficiency		88.9%	Represents % Infiltration Recharged	OTHER NOTES				
Root Zone Water Capacity	RWC	3.80	in	%Rainfall became Runoff		77.7%	%	Pdesign is accurate only after	BMP dimensior	ns are updated	to make r	ech volume= deficit volume. The
RWC Modified to consider dEXC	DRWC	0.76	in	%Runoff Infiltrated		95.0%	%	of BMP infiltration prior to filling and the area occupied by BMP are ignored in these calculations. Results :				
Climatic Factor	C-factor	1.43	no units	%Runoff Recharged		16.3%	%	sensetive to dBMP, make sure dBMP selected is small enough for BMP to empty in less than 3 days. For I				
Average Annual P	Pavg	44.9	in	%Rainfall Recharged		12.7%	%	Segment Location of BMP if y	ou select "impe	rvious areas" R	WC will b	e minimal but not zero as determi
Recharge Requirement over Imp. Area	dr	5.7	in					the soil type and a shallow ro	ot zone for this L	and Cover allo	wing cons	ideration of lateral flow and other
How to solve for different and "Aimp" on this page. Th	recharge v is allows so	olumes: By de lution for a sing	fault the spre le BMP to ha	adsheet assigns the value indle the entire recharge r	es of total def equirement a et Vdef to voi	icit recharge v assuming the r	olume "Vdef" a unoff from enti-	and total proposed imperv re impervious area is ava	ilable to the E	mp" from the BMP.	e "Annu	al Recharge" sheet to "Vde

dBMP. To go back to the default configuration clik the "Default Vdef & Aimp" button.

ion е ind l by ses

New Jersey Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual

February 2004

APPENDIX A

Low Impact Development Checklist

A checklist for identifying nonstructural stormwater management strategies incorporated into proposed land development

According to the NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules at N.J.A.C. 7:8, the groundwater recharge, stormwater quality, and stormwater quantity standards established by the Rules for major land development projects must be met by incorporating nine specific nonstructural stormwater management strategies into the project's design to the maximum extent practicable.

To accomplish this, the Rules require an applicant seeking land development approval from a regulatory board or agency to identify those nonstructural strategies that have been incorporated into the project's design. In addition, if an applicant contends that it is not feasible to incorporate any of the specific strategies into the project's design, particularly for engineering, environmental, or safety reasons, the Rules further require that the applicant provide a basis for that contention.

This checklist has been prepared to assist applicants, site designers, and regulatory boards and agencies in ensuring that the nonstructural stormwater management requirements of the Rules are met. It provides an applicant with a means to identify both the nonstructural strategies incorporated into the development's design and the specific low impact development BMPs (LID-BMPs) that have been used to do so. It can also help an applicant explain the engineering, environmental, and/or safety reasons that a specific nonstructural strategy could not be incorporated into the development's design.

The checklist can also assist municipalities and other land development review agencies in the development of specific requirements for both nonstructural strategies and LID-BMPs in zoning and/or land use ordinances and regulations. As such, where requirements consistent with the Rules have been adopted, they may supersede this checklist.

Finally, the checklist can be used during a pre-design meeting between an applicant and pertinent review personnel to discuss local nonstructural strategies and LID-BMPs requirements in order to optimize the development's nonstructural stormwater management design.

Since this checklist is intended to promote the use of nonstructural stormwater management strategies and provide guidance in their incorporation in land development projects, municipalities are permitted to revise it as necessary to meet the goals and objectives of their specific stormwater management program and plan within the limits of N.J.A.C. 7:8.

Low Impact Development Checklist

A checklist for identifying nonstructural stormwater management strategies incorporated into proposed land development

Municipality: Township of West Windsor					
County: Mercer County Date:10/29/2021					
Review board or agency: Planning Board					
Proposed land development name: Warehouse					
Lot(s): Block(s):47					
Project or application number:					
Applicant's name: East Ridge Development, LLC					
Applicant's address: 250 Miron Drive,					
Southlake, Texas 06877					
Telephone: (571) 426-3094 Fax: (203) 438-2279					
Email address: Lharder@eastridgedev.com					
Designer's name: Bohler Engineering: c/o Tung-To Lam, PE					
Designer's address: 30 Independence Boulevard, Suite 200					
Warren, NJ 07059					
Telephone: 908-668-8300 Fax: 856-930-4001					
Email address:TLam@bohlereng.com					

Part 1: Description of Nonstructural Approach to Site Design

In narrative form, provide an overall description of the nonstructural stormwater management approach and strategies incorporated into the proposed site's design. Attach additional pages as necessary. Details of each nonstructural strategy are provided in Part 3 below.

This site has been designed to with two above-ground bioretention basins along with porous pavement in parking areas where possible. Low maintenance landscaping shall supplement in areas to be disturbed, minimizing lawns and the potential use of fertilizers and pesticides. Native plants including ground cover, shrubs and trees instead of turf grass have been proposed as part of the landscape design for the site. The native plants will also require little to no irrigation once they are established. Soil compaction will be minimized through the use of light grading equipment in lawn areas and the basin.

Part 2: Review of Local Stormwater Management Regulations

Title and date of stormwater management regulations used in development design:

Stormwater Management N.J.A.C. 7:8, last amended 3/2/2020 & NJ Best	Management Pra	actices, revised 03
Do regulations include nonstructural requirements? Yes:	No:	Х
If yes, briefly describe:		
List LID-BMPs prohibited by local regulations: <u>N/A</u>		
Pre-design meeting held? Yes: Date: Meeting held with:	No:	Х
Pre-design site walk held? Yes: Date:	No:	X
Site walk held with:		
Other agencies with stormwater review jurisdiction:		
Name: Township of West Windsor Required approval: Site Plan Approval		
Name: Mercer County Soil Conservation District		
Required approval: <u>Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Certification</u>		
Required approval:		

Part 3: Nonstructural Strategies and LID-BMPs in Design

3.1 Vegetation and Landscaping

Effective management of both existing and proposed site vegetation can reduce a development's adverse impacts on groundwater recharges and runoff quality and quantity. This section of the checklist helps identify the vegetation and landscaping strategies and nonstructural LID-BMPs that have been incorporated into the proposed development's design to help maintain existing recharge rates and/or minimize or prevent increases in runoff quantity and pollutant loading.

А.	Has an inventory of existing sit	te vegetation bee	n performed? Ye	s:	No:	X
	If yes, was this inventory a fact	or in the site's la	yout and design?	Yes:	No:	
B.	Does the site design utilize any	of the following	g nonstructural Ll	D-BMPs?		
	Preservation of natural areas?	Yes:	No: X	If yes, specify % c	of site: _	
	Native ground cover?	Yes: X	No:	If yes, specify % c	of site: _	100%
	Vegetated buffers?	Yes:	No: <u>X</u>	If yes, specify % c	of site: _	
C.	Do the land development regu	lations require th	nese nonstructura	l LID-BMPs?		
	Preservation of natural areas?	Yes:	No: <u>X</u>	If yes, specify % c	of site: _	
	Native ground cover?	Yes:	No: <u>X</u>	If yes, specify % c	of site: _	
	Vegetated buffers?	Yes:	No: <u>X</u>	If yes, specify % c	of site: _	
D.	If vegetated filter strips or buff	ers are utilized, s	specify their funct	ions: N/A		
	Reduce runoff volume increase	s through lower	runoff coefficien	t: Yes:	No:	
	Reduce runoff pollutant loads	through runoff t	reatment:	Yes:	No:	

Maintain groundwater recharge by preserving natural areas:	Yes: No	D:
--	---------	----

3.2 Minimize Land Disturbance

Minimizing land disturbance is a nonstructural LID-BMP that can be applied during both the development's construction and post-construction phases. This section of the checklist helps identify those land disturbance strategies and nonstructural LID-BMPs that have been incorporated into the proposed development's design to minimize land disturbance and the resultant change in the site's hydrologic character.

А.	Have inventories of existing site soils and slopes been performed?	Yes:	X	No: _	
	If yes, were these inventories factors in the site's layout and design?	Yes:	<u>X</u>	No: _	
B.	Does the development's design utilize any of the following nonstruc	ctural	LID-BI	MPs?	
	Restrict permanent site disturbance by land owners?	Yes:		No: _	X
	If yes, how:				
	Restrict temporary site disturbance during construction?	Yes:	X	No: _	
	If yes, how:Tree protection and super silt fences will be constructed	l to lin	nit the	constructior	activities
	Consider soils and slopes in selecting disturbance limits?	Yes:	X	No: _	
	If yes, how: The majority of the site is hydraulic soil group B and the maintain similar drainage patterns from pre to post-deve	e prop elopm	oosed d ent.	esign attem	pts to
C.	Specify percentage of site to be cleared:100%	_ Reg	graded:	100%	
D.	Specify percentage of cleared areas done so for buildings:+	/-6.9%	ó		
	For driveways and parking: <u>+/-46.6%</u> For roadv	vays:		+/-7.4%	

- E. What design criteria and/or site changes would be required to reduce the percentages in C and D above?
 <u>The proposed improvements are designed to minimize the amount of impervious parking on site, with</u>
 <u>proposed pervious pavement parking areas and landscape areas within parking areas. In order to reduce the</u>
 percentages in C and D above, smaller buildings or less parking areas would have to be proposed.
- F. Specify site's hydrologic soil group (HSG) percentages:
 HSG A: <u>N/A</u> HSG B: <u>100%</u> HSG C: <u>N/A</u> HSG D: <u>N/A</u>
- G. Specify percentage of each HSG that will be permanently disturbed:

HSG A: N/A HSG B: 100% HSG C: N/A HSG D: N/A	
--	--

H.Locating site disturbance within areas with less permeable soils (HSG C and D) and minimizing disturbance within areas with greater permeable soils (HSG A and B) can help maintain groundwater recharge rates and reduce runoff volume increases. In light of the HSG percentages in F and G above, what other practical measures if any can be taken to achieve this?

The entirety of the site area consists of HSG B.; therefore it is not possible to avoid development in this area.

I. Does the site include Karst	topography?	Yes:	No:
If yes, discuss measures tal	ken to limit Karst impacts:		

* *

3.3 Impervious Area Management

New impervious surfaces at a development site can have the greatest adverse effect on groundwater recharge and stormwater quality and quantity. This section of the checklist helps identify those nonstructural strategies and LID-BMPs that have been incorporated into a proposed development's design to comprehensively manage the extent and impacts of new impervious surfaces.

A. Specify impervious cover at site: Existing: ______ Proposed: ______ 62.6% (56% with porous pavement)

B. Specify maximum site impervious coverage allowed by regulations: _____70%

C. Compare proposed street cartway widths with those required by regulations:

Type of Street	Proposed Cartway Width (feet)	Required Cartway Width (feet)
Residential access – low intensity	N/A	
Residential access – medium intensity	N/A	
Residential access – high intensity with parking	N/A	
Residential access – high intensity without parking	N/A	
Neighborhood	N/A	
Minor collector – low intensity without parking	N/A	
Minor collector – with one parking lane	N/A	
Minor collector – with two parking lanes	N/A	
Minor collector – without parking	N/A	
Major collector	N/A	

D. Compare proposed parking space dimensions with those required by regulations:

Proposed: _____9' x 18' _____ Regulations: _____9' x 18', 10' x 18' & 10' x 20'

E. Compare proposed number of parking spaces with those required by regulations:

Proposed Lot 1: 52 spaces Regulations: Proposed Lot 2: 45 spaces (max.) Proposed Lot 2: 45 spaces (max.)

F.	Specify percentage of total	site impervious cover crea	uted by buildings: +/- 6.9%	
	By driveways and parking:	+/- 46.4%	By roadways:	
		+/- 41.3% (with porous pa	avement)	

G. What design criteria and/or site changes would be required to reduce the percentages in F above?
 In order to reduce the percentages in F above, the building are and proposed parking spaces would need to be reduced.

- H. Specify percentage of total impervious area that will be unconnected:
 Total site: <u>0%</u> Buildings: <u>0%</u> Driveways and parking: <u>0%</u> Roads: <u>N/A</u>
- I. Specify percentage of total impervious area that will be porous:

Total site:	5.4%	Buildings:	0%	Driveways and parking:	5.4%	Roads:	0%
		0		7 1 0			

J. Specify percentage of total building roof area that will be vegetated: _____0%

K. Specify percentage of total parking area located beneath buildings: _____0%

L. Specify percentage of total parking located within multi-level parking deck: ______

3.4 Time of Concentration Modifications

Decreasing a site's time of concentration (Tc) can lead directly to increased site runoff rates which, in turn, can create new and/or aggravate existing erosion and flooding problems downstream. This section of the checklist helps identify those nonstructural strategies and LID-BMPs that have been incorporated into the proposed development's design to effectively minimize such Tc decreases.

When reviewing Tc modification strategies, it is important to remember that a drainage area's Tc should reflect the general conditions throughout the area. As a result, Tc modifications must generally be applied throughout a drainage area, not just along a specific Tc route.

A. Specify percentage of site's total stormwater conveyance system length that will be:

Storm sewer: 75% Vegetated swale: 25% Natural channel: 0%

Stormwater management facility: _____ Other: _____

Note: the total length of the stormwater conveyance system should be measured from the site's downstream property line to the downstream limit of sheet flow at the system's headwaters.

B. What design criteria and/or site changes would be required to reduce the storm sewer percentages and increase the vegetated swale and natural channel percentages in A above?

To increase the percentages of the vegetated swale and natural channel, the proposed buildings and

parking areas would need to be reduced.

C. In conveyance system subareas that have overland or sheet flow over impervious surfaces or turf grass, what practical and effective site changes can be made to:

Decrease overland flow slope: Slopes were reduced to the greatest extent practical.

Increase overland flow roughness: _____ The landscaped areas of the site propose plantings and meadow

seeding in order to reduce the amount of mowed grasses.

3.5 Preventative Source Controls

The most effective way to address water quality concerns is by pollution prevention. This section of the checklist helps identify those nonstructural strategies and LID-BMPs that have been incorporated into the proposed development's design to reduce the exposure of pollutants to prevent their release into the stormwater runoff.

A. Trash Receptacles

Specify the number of trash receptacles provided: _____

Specify the spacing	between the trash	receptacles:	N/A	
1 , 1 0		1 -		

Compare trash receptacles proposed with those required by regulations:

Proposed: <u>1</u> Regulations: <u>not specified</u>

B. Pet Waste Stations N/A

Specify the number of pet waste stations provided: _____

Specify the spacing between the pet waste stations:

Compare pet waste stations proposed with those required by regulations:

Proposed: _____ Regulations: _____

- C. Inlets, Trash Racks, and Other Devices that Prevent Discharge of Large Trash and Debris Specify percentage of total inlets that comply with the NJPDES storm drain inlet criteria: 100%
- D. Maintenance

Specify the frequency of the following maintenance activities:

Street sweeping: Proposed: as necessary Regulations: not specified

Litter collection: Proposed: <u>as necessary</u> Regulations: <u>not specified</u>

Identify other stormwater management measures on the site that prevent discharge of large trash and debris:

Eco grate at proposed inlet castings to minimize vertical opening and trash racks attached to proposed

outlet structures.

E. Prevention and Containment of Spills

Identify locations where pollutants are located on the site, and the features that prevent these pollutants from being exposed to stormwater runoff:

Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A					
Feature utilized	d to prevent pollutant exposure, harm	ful accumulation	, or contain spills:					
Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A					
Feature utilized	d to prevent pollutant exposure, harm	ful accumulation	, or contain spills:					
Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A					
Feature utilized	d to prevent pollutant exposure, harm	ful accumulation	, or contain spills:					
Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A					
Feature utilized	Feature utilized to prevent pollutant exposure, harmful accumulation, or contain spills:							
Pollutant:	N/A	Location:	N/A					

Part 4: Compliance with Nonstructural Requirements of NJDEP Stormwater Management Rules

1. Based upon the checklist responses above, indicate which nonstructural strategies have been incorporated into the proposed development's design in accordance with N.J.A.C. 7:8-5.3(b):

No.	Nonstructural Strategy	Yes	No
1.	Protect areas that provide water quality benefits or areas particularly susceptible to erosion and sediment loss.	Х	
2.	Minimize impervious surfaces and break up or disconnect the flow of runoff over impervious surfaces.		Х
3.	Maximize the protection of natural drainage features and vegetation.	Х	
4.	Minimize the decrease in the pre-construction time of concentration.	Х	
5.	Minimize land disturbance including clearing and grading.	Х	
6.	Minimize soil compaction.	Х	
7.	Provide low maintenance landscaping that encourages retention and planting of native vegetation and minimizes the use of lawns, fertilizers, and pesticides.	Х	
8.	Provide vegetated open-channel conveyance systems discharge into and through stable vegetated areas.	Х	
9.	Provide preventative source controls.	Х	

2. For those strategies that have not been incorporated into the proposed development's design, provide engineering, environmental, and/or safety reasons. Attached additional pages as necessary.

In order to minimize impervious surfaces and provide additional vegetated open-channel conveyance

systems, the proposed improvements would need to be broken up and spread out to provide additional

internal vegetated islands for stormwater to drain to. Such a design would result in greater ground

disturbance.

NJDEP Nonstructural Strategies Points System (NSPS)

Version: January 31, 2006

Note: Input Values in Yellow Cells Only

Project:	ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC
Date:	September 18, 2023
User:	MAI
Notes:	Proposed QuickChek food store with fuel sales and restaurant with drive-thru

Step 1 - Provide Basic Major Development Site Information

 A. Specify Total Area in Acres of Development Site Described in Steps 2 and 3 =
 4.6
 Acres

 B. Specify by Percent the Various Planning Areas Located within the Development Site:
 State Plan Planning Area:
 PA-1
 PA-2
 PA-3
 PA-4
 PA-4B
 PA-5
 Total % Area

 Percent of Each Planning Area within Site:
 100.0%

Note: See User's Guide for Equivalent Zones within Designated Centers and the NJ Meadowlands, Pinelands, and Highlands Districts

Step 2 - Describe Existing or Pre-Developed Site Conditions

A. Specify Existing Land Use/Land Cover Descriptions and Areas:

	Specify Land Use/Land Cover in Acres for Each HSG							
Site						Use/Cover		
Segment	Land Use/Land Cover Description	HSG A	HSG B	HSG C	HSG D	Subtotals		Points
1	Wetlands and Undisturbed Stream Buffers					0.0		0
2	Lawn and Open Space		3.0			3.0		214
3	Brush and Shrub					0.0		0
4	Meadow, Pasture, Grassland, or Range					0.0		0
5	Row Crop					0.0		0
6	Small Grain and Legumes					0.0		0
7	Woods - Indigenous		0.7			0.7		73
8	Woods - Planted					0.0		0
9	Woods and Grass Combination					0.0		0
10	Ponds, Lakes, and Other Open Water					0.0		0
11	Gravel and Dirt					0.0		0
12	Porous and Permeable Paving					0.0		0
13	Directly Connected Impervious		0.9			0.9		0
14	Unconnected Impervious with Small D/S Pervious					0.0		0
15	Unconnected Impervious with Large D/S Pervious					0.0		0
				-				
	HSG Subtotals (Acres):	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0		Total Area:	4.6

100.0%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

HSG Subtotals (Acres): HSG Subtotals (%):

Total % Area:

4.6 100.0%

Points Subtotal:

287

Total Existing Site Points:

287

Step 3 - Describe Proposed or Post-Developed Site Conditions

A. Specify Proposed Land Use/Land Cover Descriptions and Areas:

Specify Land Use/Land Cover in Acres for Each HSG Site **Use/Cover** Land Use/Land Cover Description HSG A HSG B HSG C HSG D Subtotals Segment Wetlands and Undisturbed Stream Buffers 0.0 1 Lawn and Open Space 1.2 2 1.2 Brush and Shrub 0.4 0.4 3 4 Meadow, Pasture, Grassland, or Range 0.0 Row Crop 0.0 5 6 Small Grain and Legumes 0.0 Woods - Indigenous 0.0 7 0.0 8 Woods - Planted 0.0 Woods and Grass Combination 9 0.0 10 Ponds, Lakes, and Other Open Water 0.0 Gravel and Dirt 0.0 11 12 Porous and Permeable Paving 0.7 0.7 13 **Directly Connected Impervious** 2.3 2.3 Unconnected Impervious with Small D/S Pervious 14 0.0 Unconnected Impervious with Large D/S Pervious 15 0.0

4.6

100.0%

0.0

0.0%

0.0

0.0%

HSG Subtotals (Acres):

0.0 0.0% HSG Subtotals (%):

Total Area: Total % Area:

4.6 100.0%

Points Subtotal:

171

Points

0
89
30
0
0
0
1
0
0
0
0
52
0
0
0

B. Compare Proposed Impervious Coverage with Maximum Allowable Impervious Coverage:

Total Directly Connected Impervious Coverage = Total Unconnected Impervious Coverage with Small D/S Pervious = Total Unconnected Impervious Coverage with Large D/S Pervious = Total Site Impervious Coverage = Effective Site Impervious Coverage = Specify Source of Maximum Allowable Impervious Coverage:	49% % of Site 0% % of Site 0% % of Site 49% % of Site 49% % of Site 49% % of Site Table (None or Table)	
Allowable Site Impervious Cover from Maximum Impervious Cover Table: Note: See Maximum Impervious Cover Table Worksheet for Details	70% Points Subtotal: 20	
C. Compare Proposed Site Disturbance with Maximum Allowable Site Disturbance:		
Total Proposed Site Disturbance = Maximum Allowable Site Disturbance by Municipal Ordinance =	% of Site % of Site Points Subtotal: 0	
D. Describe Proposed Runoff Conveyance System:		
Total Length of Runoff Conveyance System = Length of Vegetated Runoff Conveyance System = % of Total Runoff Conveyance System That is Vegetated =	3189 Feet 687 Feet 22% Feet	
	Points Subtotal: 29	
E. Residential Lot Clustering:		
Percent of Total Site Area that will be Clustered = Minimum Standard Lot Size as Per Zoning (Note: 1/2 Acre or Greater) = Maximum Proposed Cluster Lot Size (Note:1/4 Acre or Less) = Percent of Clustered Portion of Site to be Preserved as Vegetated Open Space =	% of Site Acres Acres % of Clustered Site Portion	
	Points Subtotal: 0	

F. Will the Following be Utilized to Minimize Soil Compaction?

Proposed Lawn Areas will be Graded with Lightweight Construction Equipment:Yes(Yes or No)Percent of Proposed Lawn Areas to be Graded with Such Equipment:100%% of Lawn Areas

Points Subtotal:

Points Subtotal:

33

0

G. Are Any of the Following Stormwater Management Standards Met Using Only Nonstructural Strategies and Measures?

Groundwater Recharge Standards (NJAC 7:8-5.4-a-2):	No	(Yes or No)
Stormwater Runoff Quality Standards (NJAC 7:8-5.5):	No	(Yes or No)
Stormwater Runoff Quantity Standards (NJAC 7:8-5.4-a-3):	No	(Yes or No)

Note: If the Answers to All Three Questions at G Above are "Yes", Adequate Nonstructural Measures have been Utilized.

	Total Proposed Site Points: 252
	Ratio of Proposed to Existing Site Points: 88%
	Required Site Points Ratio: 88%
Nonstructural Point System Results:	Proposed Nonstructural Measures are Adequate

J190844_Rev5a-Proposed

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Primary	Secondary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.05	0.000	91.25	0.05	0.05	0.00
4.00	0.09	0.000	91.25	0.09	0.09	0.00
6.00	0.12	0.000	91.25	0.12	0.12	0.00
8.00	0.20	0.000	91.25	0.20	0.20	0.00
10.00	0.37	0.001	91.25	0.32	0.32	0.00
12.00	5.84	0.117	92.22	0.40	0.40	0.00
14.00	1.07	0.325	93.56	2.18	2.18	0.00
16.00	0.65	0.202	92.82	0.94	0.94	0.00
18.00	0.48	0.178	92.66	0.57	0.57	0.00
20.00	0.38	0.165	92.57	0.45	0.45	0.00
22.00	0.29	0.150	92.47	0.42	0.42	0.00
24.00	0.21	0.124	92.28	0.40	0.40	0.00
26.00	0.04	0.072	91.88	0.37	0.37	0.00
28.00	0.02	0.018	91.42	0.34	0.34	0.00
30.00	0.02	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
32.00	0.01	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
34.00	0.01	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
36.00	0.01	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
38.00	0.01	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
40.00	0.01	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.000	91.25	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hydrograph for Pond B1: BASIN 1

Basin Drain Time = 30.0 - 14.0 = 16 hours	

J190844_Rev5a-Proposed

			-				
Prepared	by Bohler	r					
HydroCAD	® 10.00-23	s/n 02612	© 2018	HydroCAD	Software	Solutions	LLC

Hydrograph for Pond B2: BASIN 2

	Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary	
	(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)	
	0.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	2.00	0.03	0.000	93.20	0.03	0.03	0.00	
	4.00	0.05	0.000	93.20	0.05	0.05	0.00	
	6.00	0.07	0.000	93.20	0.06	0.06	0.00	
	8.00	0.10	0.004	93.31	0.06	0.06	0.00	
_	10.00	0.19	0.016	93,66	0.06	0.06	0.00	
	12.00	2.82	0.073	95.35	2.41	0.06	2.35	
	14.00	0.19	0.063	95.06	0.24	0.06	0.18	
	16.00	0.16	0.056	94.85	0.22	0.06	0.16	
	18.00	0.13	0.047	94.57	0.19	0.06	0.13	
	20.00	0.11	0.037	94.30	0.16	0.06	0.10	
	22.00	0.08	0.030	94.08	0.12	0.06	0.06	
	24.00	0.07	0.025	93.94	0.08	0.06	0.01	
	26.00	0.00	0.016	93.68	0.06	0.06	0.00	
	28.00	0.00	0.007	93.39	0.06	0.06	0.00	
	30.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	32.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	34.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	36.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	38.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	40.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	42.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	44.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	46.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	48.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	50.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	52.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	54.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	56.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	58.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	60.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	62.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	64.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	66.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	68.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	70.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	72.00	0.00	0.000	93.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Basin Drain Time = 30.0 - 12.0 = 18 hours

Hydrograph for Pond PP1: POROUS PAVER #1

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
2.00	0.01	0.001	92.14	0.00
4.00	0.02	0.001	92.17	0.01
6.00	0.02	0.001	92.18	0.02
8.00	0.03	0.002	92.20	0.03
10.00	0.05	0.002	92.24	0.04
12.00	0.74	0.017	93.14	0.20
14.00	0.06	0.030	93.91	0.10
16.00	0.03	0.022	93.41	0.08
18.00	0.02	0.015	93.00	0.06
20.00	0.02	0.010	92.73	0.03
22.00	0.02	0.009	92.64	0.02
24.00	0.02	0.008	92.59	0.02
26.00	0.00	0.006	92.45	0.02
28.00	0.00	0.003	92.29	0.02
30.00	0.00	0.001	92.16	0.01
32.00	0.00	0.000	92.13	0.00
34.00	0.00	0.000	92.12	0.00
36.00	0.00	0.000	92.11	0.00
38.00	0.00	0.000	92.11	0.00
40.00	0.00	0.000	92.11	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.000	92.11	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.000	92.11	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.000	92.11	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.000	92.10	0.00

Basin Drain Time =
32.0 - 12.0 = 16 hours

Hydrograph for Pond PP2: POROUS PAVER #2

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
2.00	0.01	0.001	92.93	0.00
4.00	0.02	0.002	92.98	0.02
6.00	0.02	0.002	92.99	0.02
8.00	0.04	0.002	93.01	0.03
10.00	0.06	0.003	93.05	0.06
12.00	0.64	0.010	93.37	0.34
14.00	0.09	0.022	93.96	0.15
16.00	0.05	0.011	93.44	0.11
18.00	0.03	0.003	93.06	0.06
20.00	0.03	0.002	93.00	0.03
22.00	0.02	0.002	92.99	0.02
24.00	0.02	0.002	92.99	0.02
26.00	0.00	0.001	92.93	0.00
28.00	0.00	0.000	92.92	0.00
30.00	0.00	0.000	92.91	0.00
32.00	0.00	0.000	92.91	0.00
34.00	0.00	0.000	92.91	0.00
36.00	0.00	0.000	92.91	0.00
38.00	0.00	0.000	92.91	0.00
40.00	0.00	0.000	92.91	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.000	92.90	0.00

Basin Drain Time = 26.0 - 14.0 = 12 hours

Prepared by Bohler Printed 9 HydroCAD® 10.00-23 s/n 02612 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Printed 9

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Outflow	Discarded	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)	(cfs)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2.00	0.02	0.000	92.00	0.02	0.02	0.00
4.00	0.06	0.000	92.00	0.06	0.06	0.00
6.00	0.07	0.000	92.00	0.07	0.07	0.00
8.00	0.11	0.002	92.03	0.08	0.08	0.00
10.00	0.18	0.011	92.18	0.08	0.08	0.00
12.00	1.37	0.060	92.95	0.28	0.08	0.20
14.00	0.37	0.120	93.91	0.54	0.08	0.46
16.00	0.25	0.089	93.41	0.43	0.08	0.35
18.00	0.16	0.062	92.99	0.30	0.08	0.22
20.00	0.09	0.046	92.73	0.15	0.08	0.07
22.00	0.07	0.040	92.64	0.10	0.08	0.02
24.00	0.07	0.037	92.59	0.08	0.08	0.00
26.00	0.02	0.028	92.45	0.08	0.08	0.00
28.00	0.02	0.018	92.29	0.08	0.08	0.00
30.00	0.01	0.007	92.11	0.08	0.08	0.00
32.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
34.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
36.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
38.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
(2.00	0.00	0.000	92.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Hydrograph for Pond PP3: POROUS PAVER #3

Basin Drain Time =	
32.0 - 14.0 = 18 hours	

Hydrograph for Pond PP4: POROUS PAVER #4

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
2.00	0.01	0.000	94.01	0.00
4.00	0.01	0.001	94.04	0.00
6.00	0.01	0.001	94.05	0.01
8.00	0.01	0.002	94.07	0.01
10.00	0.03	0.003	94.09	0.02
12.00	0.46	0.015	94.57	0.00
14.00	0.03	0.027	95.00	0.00
16.00	0.02	0.023	94.86	0.06
18.00	0.01	0.016	94.58	0.06
20.00	0.01	0.009	94.32	0.05
22.00	0.01	0.004	94.16	0.03
24.00	0.01	0.003	94.10	0.01
26.00	0.00	0.001	94.05	0.00
28.00	0.00	0.001	94.03	0.00
30.00	0.00	0.001	94.02	0.00
32.00	0.00	0.000	94.02	0.00
34.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
36.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
38.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
40.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.000	94.01	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00

Basin Drain Time =	
32.0 - 14.0 = 18 hours	
Hydrograph for Pond PP5: POROUS PAVER #5

 .		01		D ·
Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary
(nours)		(acre-teet)	(teet)	(CTS)
0.00	0.00	0.000	94.00	0.00
2.00	0.01	0.001	94.03	0.00
4.00	0.02	0.004	94.11	0.00
6.00	0.03	0.008	94.22	0.00
8.00	0.04	0.013	94.35	0.02
10.00	0.08	0.016	94.43	0.05
12.00	0.99	0.029	94.78	0.75
14.00	0.09	0.024	94.63	0.12
16.00	0.05	0.018	94.47	0.07
18.00	0.03	0.015	94.40	0.04
20.00	0.03	0.014	94.38	0.03
22.00	0.02	0.014	94.37	0.03
24.00	0.02	0.013	94.36	0.02
26.00	0.00	0.012	94.31	0.01
28.00	0.00	0.011	94.29	0.00
30.00	0.00	0.010	94.28	0.00
32.00	0.00	0.010	94.27	0.00
34.00	0.00	0.010	94.27	0.00
36.00	0.00	0.010	94.27	0.00
38.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
40.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.010	94.26	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.010	94.25	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.010	94.25	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.010	94.25	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.010	94.25	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.010	94.25	0.00

Hydrograph for Pond PP6: POROUS PAVER #6

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	94.25	0.00
2.00	0.01	0.001	94.25	0.00
4.00	0.02	0.003	94.27	0.00
6.00	0.02	0.007	94.28	0.00
8.00	0.03	0.011	94.30	0.01
10.00	0.06	0.016	94.33	0.01
12.00	1.05	0.047	94.49	0.08
14.00	0.07	0.071	94.61	0.11
16.00	0.04	0.061	94.56	0.10
18.00	0.02	0.051	94.51	0.09
20.00	0.02	0.042	94.46	0.07
22.00	0.02	0.035	94.43	0.05
24.00	0.02	0.030	94.40	0.04
26.00	0.00	0.024	94.37	0.03
28.00	0.00	0.020	94.35	0.02
30.00	0.00	0.017	94.34	0.02
32.00	0.00	0.015	94.33	0.01
34.00	0.00	0.013	94.32	0.01
36.00	0.00	0.012	94.31	0.01
38.00	0.00	0.011	94.30	0.01
40.00	0.00	0.010	94.30	0.01
42.00	0.00	0.009	94.30	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.008	94.29	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.008	94.29	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.007	94.29	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.007	94.28	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.006	94.28	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.006	94.28	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.006	94.28	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.005	94.28	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.005	94.28	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.005	94.27	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.005	94.27	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.005	94.27	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.004	94.27	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.004	94.27	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.004	94.27	0.00

Basin Drain Time =	
42.0 - 14.0 = 28 hours	

Hydrograph for Pond PP7: ROAD POROUS PAVEMENT #7

Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary
(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.00	0.000	89.50	0.00
2.00	0.02	0.001	89.51	0.00
4.00	0.03	0.005	89.53	0.00
6.00	0.03	0.009	89.57	0.01
8.00	0.05	0.013	89.60	0.02
10.00	0.09	0.020	89.65	0.03
12.00	1.36	0.056	89.92	0.13
14.00	0.13	0.058	89.93	0.17
16.00	0.07	0.053	89.90	0.09
18.00	0.05	0.049	89.87	0.08
20.00	0.04	0.043	89.82	0.08
22.00	0.04	0.037	89.78	0.07
24.00	0.03	0.032	89.74	0.06
26.00	0.00	0.024	89.68	0.04
28.00	0.00	0.018	89.63	0.03
30.00	0.00	0.014	89.60	0.02
32.00	0.00	0.011	89.58	0.01
34.00	0.00	0.009	89.57	0.01
36.00	0.00	0.008	89.56	0.01
38.00	0.00	0.007	89.55	0.01
40.00	0.00	0.006	89.55	0.00
42.00	0.00	0.006	89.54	0.00
44.00	0.00	0.005	89.54	0.00
46.00	0.00	0.005	89.54	0.00
48.00	0.00	0.004	89.53	0.00
50.00	0.00	0.004	89.53	0.00
52.00	0.00	0.004	89.53	0.00
54.00	0.00	0.003	89.53	0.00
56.00	0.00	0.003	89.52	0.00
58.00	0.00	0.003	89.52	0.00
60.00	0.00	0.003	89.52	0.00
62.00	0.00	0.003	89.52	0.00
64.00	0.00	0.003	89.52	0.00
66.00	0.00	0.003	89.52	0.00
68.00	0.00	0.002	89.52	0.00
70.00	0.00	0.002	89.52	0.00
72.00	0.00	0.002	89.52	0.00

Basin Drain Time =	
40.0 - 14.0 = 26 hours	



35 Technology Drive, Warren, NJ 07059 (908) 668-8300

Date: 9/18/2023 Project: West Windsor, NJ Project No: J190844

84

0.410 Ac ft 0.430 Ac ft

17,860 CF

Calculated By: <u>CPR</u> Checked By: <u>TXL</u>

Sediment Storage Capacity Calculations

Sediment Basin # 1

TRAP EFFICIENCY METHOD:

CN:

Volume 2-yr design storm:

Total volume required (including sediment):

Sediment type:			Sandy Loam	
Trap efficiency value:			75%	
Curve used:	(see Curve 24-1)		Course Grained Curve	
Ratio of capacity to annual inflow (C/I):	(see Curve 24-1)		0.0245	
Average annual surface runoff (R):	(see Figure 24-1)		20.0 in	
Watershed area (A):			4.56 Ac.	
Avergae annual surface runoff, $I = \frac{R \times A}{12}$	=		7.60 Ac ft	
Total capacity, $C = I \times C/I =$			0.19 Ac ft	
SEDIMENT STORAGE CAPACITY	<u>METHOD:</u>			
1. DETEMINE VOLUME FOR SEDIMENT	STORAGE USING M	IETHOD 2		
a. Determine drainage area, DA, and ave	erage annual erosion	, A:		
Drainage area, (DA):			2.53 Ac.	
Land use type:	Land use type:			
Average annual erosion, (A):			50.0 ton/ac/yr	
$(DA) \times (A) =$			126 tons/yr	
b. Determine delivery rate, DR:				
Watershed area (A):			0.00 sq mi	
Sediment delivery ratio:	(refer to Curve 24	-2)	Sandy	
DR =	(refer to Curve 24	-2)	42%	
c. Determine sediment density, γ :				
Soil texture:	(refer to Table 24-	1)	Sand, aerated	
$\gamma =$			92.5 lbs/cf	
d. Determine the minimum volume for se	ediment storage for th	e planned life of the structure:		
$V = (DA) (A) (DR) (TE) (1/\gamma) (2,$	000 lbs/ton) (1/43,560) sf/ac) =	0.020 Ac ft	
2. Determine the minimum volume for temp	porary floodway stora	ge:		
2-year, 24 hour Rainfall intensit	y:	Mercer County	3.3 inches	
Soil type:			Sassafras	
Soil group:	В			

DETERMINE THE LARGER VOLUME OF THE TWO METHODS:

TOTAL VOLUME RE	QUIRED:					_	0.430 Ac ft
						or	18,726 CF
Trap efficiency value							50%
Curve:				(refer to (Curve 24-1)		Course Grained Curve
Ratio of capacity to a	nnual inflow (C	/I)·		(refer to (Curve 24-1)		0.0075
Average annual surfa	ace runoff (R).			(refer to F	Figure 24-1)		20.0 in
Watershed area (A)					iguro 21 1)		4 56 Ac
Avergae annual surfa	ace runoff, I = <u>R</u>	<u>× A</u> = 12					7.60 Ac ft
Total capacity, C = I	× C/I =						0.06 Ac ft
						or	2,484 CF
SEDIMENT BASIN E	SEDIMENT BASIN BOTTOM ELEVATION:						
ELEVATION OF SEE		92.50					
THE TOTAL VOLUN	IE FROM	92.25	to	92.50 :			0.23 Ac ft
						or	9,826 CF
ELEVATION OF EM	ERGENCY SPIL	LWAY:					94.04
		02 50	to	04.04.			
THE TOTAL VOLUN		92.50	10	94.04 .		or	0.27 AC II 11 715 CF
						0.	
TOTAL VOLUME OF	THE SEDIMEN	ITAL BASI	N				0.49 Ac ft
						or	21,541 CF
ELEVATION OF 4" [ELEVATION OF 4" DEWATERING ORFICE:						92.50
ELEVATION TOP O	F RISER:						93.04
		<u>SEDIM</u>	ENT BASIN	# 1			
	AREA (SF)	<u> </u>	NCR. VOL.	<u>(CF)</u>	TOTAL \	/OLUME	<u>= (CF)</u>
93	6970		4705			4705	

First Defense High Capacity Sizing

The First Defense High Capacity is an enhanced vortex separator that combines an effective stormwater treatment chamber with an integral peak flow bypass. It efficiently removes sediment total suspended solids (TSS), trash and hydrocarbons from Stormwater runoff without washing out previously captured pollutants. The First Defense[®] High Capacity is available in several model configurations to accommodate a wide range of pipe sizes, peak flows and depth constraints.

NJDEP Certification Letters

First Defense: https://njstormwater.org/pdf/FDHC_Certification_2017-03-09.pdf

Certification Letter Product Rating Table

First Defense® Model	Manhole Diameter (ft)	Maximum Treatment Flowrate, MTFR (cfs)
3-f t	3	0.85
4-ft	4	1.5
5-ft	5	2.35
6 - ft	6	3.38
7-ft	7	4.60
8-ft	8	6.00

Design Sizing

Site ID	Drainage Area (ac)	Q (cfs)	F.D. Size
FD W/ B Inlet #370	0.474	0.47	3-ft
FD W/ B Inlet #430	0.236	0.67	3-ft

The 3-ft First Defense High Capacity units are suitable for each of the two locations.

C. SUPPLEMENTAL SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

• Stormwater Investigation



Melick-Tully & Associates

A Division of GZA



STORMWATER INVESTIGATION Proposed Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

August 30, 2021 File No. 26.0092434.00

PREPARED FOR: ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC P. O. Box 391 Williston, Vermont 05493

Melick-Tully & Associates, A Division of GZA

117 Canal Road South Bound Brook, NJ 08880 732-356-3400

GZA has 32 Offices Nationwide
www.melick-tully.com
www.gza.com

Copyright© 2019 GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.



Melick-Tully & Associates

A Division of GZA

GEOTECHNICAL ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL WATER CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

117 Canal Road South Bound Brook, NJ 08880 T: 732.356.3400 www.melick-tully.com www.gza.com



ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC P. O. Box 391 Williston, Vermont 05493

Attention: Mr. Larry Harder

Report Stormwater Investigation Proposed Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

Introduction

This report presents the results of a stormwater investigation completed by Melick-Tully & Associates, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. (MTA) at the site of a proposed Quick Chek food store and a proposed restaurant which may be constructed in West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey. The site is located adjacent to and west of Southfield Road between Princeton-Hightstown Road and McGetrick Lane, as shown on the attached Site Location Map, Plate 1. Our work was performed in general conformance with our proposal dated June 30, 2021.

Proposed Construction

A site layout plan provided to us indicates that the development would consist of two facilities and associated site improvements. The eastern half of the property would be developed by a Quick Chek food store approximately 5,869 square feet in plan area with a finish floor elevation of +97.00 feet. A canopy with eight fuel dispensers would be located to the north of the food store area and four underground storage tanks would be



located north of the canopy. On-site paved parking and roadway areas would be constructed to service the proposed facility.

Adjacent to and west of the Quick Chek development, a restaurant approximately 4,541 square feet in plan area with a drive-thru would be constructed with a finish floor elevation of +96.50 feet. The building would be located on the west parcel with a drive-thru lane south and east of the building. On-site paved parking and roadway areas would be constructed north and west of the proposed restaurant.

On-site stormwater management facilities are currently planned. These currently include seven aboveground stormwater management basins, seven areas of porous asphalt pavement below proposed car parking areas on both developments, and porous concrete pavements adjacent to the two structures.

Purpose and Scope of Work

The purpose of our services was to:

- 1) explore the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions via test pits and borings in accessible portions of the proposed development;
- 2) collect tube samples of the soil layers encountered from all proposed test pit locations for laboratory tube permeameter permeability testing;
- 3) perform cased borehole permeability testing at selected locations; and
- 4) prepare a brief summary report of our findings for use by the basin designer in their evaluation of the stormwater improvements.

To accomplish these purposes, a subsurface exploration program consisting of 28 supervised test pit excavations and two test borings were performed at the site in the locations of proposed stormwater management facilities shown on Bohler's preliminary site plan dated April 27, 2021. The test pits were advanced using a track-mounted excavator (CAT 308) and extended to depths of 12 to 13 feet below existing



surface grades. The test borings were advanced using a geoprobe with continuous core sampling and extended to depths of 10 feet below grade. A cased borehole permeability test was performed adjacent to each boring following completion at depths of 2 and 8.5 feet below grade. The locations of the explorations are shown in relation to proposed site features on the Plot Plan, Plate 2.

All field work was performed under the direct technical supervision of a geotechnical engineer from MTA. Our representative located the explorations in the field, supervised the soil sampling operations, maintained continuous logs of the explorations as the work proceeded, and obtained samples of the materials encountered in the explorations for identification purposes. We also obtained relatively undisturbed tube samples from the test pits for laboratory tube permeameter permeability testing and performed cased borehole permeability testing adjacent to each boring.

Detailed descriptions of the encountered subsurface conditions are described on the Test Pit Logs, Plates 3-1 through 3-28, and Test Boring Logs, Plates 4-1 and 4-2. The soils were visually classified in general accordance with the procedures of the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Classification System (USDA) described on Plate 5.

All soil samples were brought to our office, and selected samples were subjected to laboratory grain-size and tube permeameter permeability testing. The results of the gradation testing are presented on Plates 6-1 and 6-2, while the permeability test results are presented on Plate 7.

The following discussion of our findings are subject to the Limitations attached as an Appendix to this report.



August 30, 2021 File No. 26.0092434.00 ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC – West Windsor, NJ Page 4

Site Conditions

<u>Surface Features</u>: The site contains five separate lots (Lots 2 through 6). Lot 2 consists of a one-story masonry building with a basement and a paved parking lot to the west. Lot 3 consists of a two-story frame building and a detached frame garage. Lot 4 consists of a two-story frame building and a detached one-story garage structure. Lot 5 consists of a one-story frame building with a detached frame garage. And Lot 6 consists of a one-story frame building to the west and previously consisted of a one-story frame building to the southeast, which had already been demolished at the time of our investigation. Grass lawns with trees, bushes, and driveways cover the surface between the buildings. Princeton-Hightstown Road borders the property to the north, Southfield Road to the east, and McGetrick Lane to the south.

Topographic information shown on the plans provided to us indicates that the ground surface elevations slope downward from approximately Elevation +96 feet in the northeast to approximately Elevation +93 in the western and southern portions of the site.

<u>Subsurface Conditions</u>: Approximately 2 inches of asphalt pavement was encountered at the surface in Test Pits 16 and 19. Fill was encountered at the surface in Test Pits 10 and 11. The remaining test pits were performed in grass lawn areas where approximately 3 to 26 inches of topsoil was encountered. Fill was also encountered below the surface materials in Test Pits 16, 17, 21, and 22. Fill thicknesses varied from about 1.3 feet to 5.8 feet and typically consisted of sandy loam, sandy clay loam, and clay soils. The surface and fill materials were underlain by interlayered sand, loamy sand, sandy loam, sandy clay loam, clay loam, and clay soils. Generally, clayey soils were encountered near the surface while sandier soils were encountered at deeper depths.



August 30, 2021 File No. 26.0092434.00 ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC – West Windsor, NJ Page 5

Groundwater seepage was observed in 17 of the test pits (Test Pits 1 through 16, and 20) at depths of approximate 9.5 to 13 feet below grade, corresponding approximately to elevations ranging from +81 feet to +84.5 feet. In addition, groundwater levels were obtained in five wells installed on August 12, 2021 for an environmental baseline on the proposed Quick Chek property. Depths to groundwater varied from approximately 7.7 to 10.8 feet in the wells, corresponding approximately to elevations ranging from +84.2 feet and +85.6 feet. Mottling, which is indicative of seasonally saturated conditions, was observed in the test pits at depths ranging from 7 to 108 inches below grade. In addition, the sidewalls in some of the test pits collapsed during excavation within a few feet of the observed groundwater seepage levels, suggesting groundwater levels in those test pits are likely near sidewall caving depths.

Permeability Test Results

Laboratory tube permeameter permeability tests were performed on tube samples of the subsoils collected below the proposed stormwater management facilities in each test pit. The permeability tests indicate that the deeper sandy subsoils (sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam) generally exhibited permeabilities of 1 inch per hour to greater than 20 inches per hour, while the surficial silty and clayey soils (sandy clay loam, loam, clay loam, and clay) generally exhibited permeability of less than 1 inch per hour, and often less than 0.06 inches per hour. The laboratory tube permeability tests are summarized on Plate 7.

Cased borehole permeability testing was performed below proposed porous concrete pavement areas around the proposed Quick Chek building. Field permeability tests were performed in Boring 1 at a depth of 3.3 feet below grade and in Boring 2 at a depth of 8.5 feet below grade. The field permeability tests indicate that the silty clay soils in Boring 1 and loamy sand soils in Boring 2 exhibited permeabilities of less than 0.06



August 30, 2021 File No. 26.0092434.00 ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC – West Windsor, NJ Page 6

inches per hour. It is possible that the bottom of the cased borehole test for Boring 2 was at or near the

groundwater level which may explain the slow rate observed even though the soils were sandy.

Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this information.

The following Plates and Appendix are attached and complete this report:

Plate 1 – Site Location Map Plate 2 – Plot Plan Plates 3-1 through 3-28 – Test Pit Logs Plates 4-1 and 4-2 – Test Boring Logs Plate 5 – USDA Soil Textural Triangle Plates 6-1 and 6-2 – Gradation Curves Plate 7 – Summary of Laboratory Tube Permeameter Permeability Test Results Appendix – Limitations

Respectfully submitted,

MELICK-TULLY and ASSOCIATES, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.

ingh

Cory S. Karinja, P.E. Project Manager

(Maile ZZ

Mark R. Denno, P.E. Consultant/Reviewer

CSK:EMG/mh (1 copy submitted via e-mail)

Zhm Jangy

Eugene M. Gallagher, Jr., P.E. Principal





KEY:

- B-1 NUMBER AND APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF BORINGS PERFORMED FOR THIS STUDY
- TP-1 NUMBER AND APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF TEST PITS PERFORMED FOR THIS STUDY
- (1.0) APPROXIMATE DEPTH IN FEET TO SOIL MOTTLING BELOW THE EXISTING GROUND SURFACE
- [10] APPROXIMATE DEPTH IN FEET TO GROUNDWATER BELOW THE GROUND SURFACE
- NE NOT ENCOUNTERED

NOTES:

- This drawing is part of Melick—Tully and Associates, a Division of GZA, Report No. 26.0092434.00 and should be read together with the report for complete evaluation.
- General layout was obtained from a drawing prepared by Bohler Eng., entitled "Grading Plan" dated 4/28/20 (revised 4/27/21), scale 1"= 30'.

PLOT PLAN

PROPOSED QUICK CHEK FOOD STORE WEST WINDSOR, NEW JERSEY ER/UDC WEST WINDSOR, LLC

MELICK-TULLY AND ASSOCIATE <i>A Division of GZA</i> Geotechnical Engineers & Environmental Consulta 117 Canal Road South Bound Brook, New Jersey 08880 (732) 356-3400						
JOB NO. FILE NO. –						
DR. BY VJD	CHK. BY CSK	DA 8/5	TE 5/21	SCALE 1"= 60'	PLATE 2	

	TEST PIT LOG														
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division of ronmental nd Scientists	GZA , Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	East Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: 1 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092 REVIEWED BY: Cory K										
Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	I Test Pit I	Depth (ft.): 12.5	t h (ft.): 12.5						
Operator	: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 93	Date	e Start - Fir	nish: 7/26/2021	- 7/26/	2021					
Type of I	Excavator:	Track Excava	ator		.		Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)		o	1				
Excavato	or Model: (CAT 308		7/26/21 11					<u>tn</u>	Stab. 11	me				
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	Sample Description and Identification						Remark				
- - 1			0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YR medium granular, sligh common medium roots	R, 5/3) sandy loai htly moist, friable s	m, 10% e, abrup	6 gravel, i ot smooth	moderate boundary,	1_						
2 _	S1, T1	1.5	12-27	Light yellowish brown of moderate medium sub smooth boundary	(10YR, 6/4) sanc angular blocky,	dy clay slightly	loam, 5% moist, fri	o gravel, able, clear	2 _						
3 _	S2, T2	3	27-42	Dark yellowish brown (cobbles, moderate me smooth boundary	(10YR, 4/6) sanc dium granular, s	dy loam lightly	n, 30% gra moist, fria	avel, 10% able, clear	3_						
4 _				Strong brown (7.5YR, slightly moist, friable, c	5/8) sandy loam clear smooth bou	, mode undary	rate med	ium granular,	4						
5 _	S3, T3	5.5	42-94						5						
0 - 7									0 - - 7						
8 _				Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/6) sandy cla	y loam	, 5% grav	vel, moderate	8_						
9	S4, T4	9		medium crumb, wet, fr (10YR, 7/1) mottles en	iable, common r icountered from	nediun 94 incł	n promine nes to 150	nt light gray) inches	9						
10 _			94-150						10 _						
11 _									11 _						
12 _				End of exploration at 1	2.5 feet.				12 _						
				Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	r seepage encou @ 94"	Intered	@ 11'								
11; 2:47:52 P				Note: Sidewalls cavin	g below 10'										
ST PIT USDA; 8/30/202 REMARKS															
See Log approxim been may than thos	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the tim e present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identification of the scription and identification of the scription of the sc	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater may	tratificat I. Water y occur	tion lines r level reac due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-1					

				TEST P	PIT LOG							
GZ	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division o f conmenta ad Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR/ SHEET: PROJECT REVIEWE	ATION NO.: 1 of 1 7 NO: 26.009 5D BY: Cory	TP-2 2434.00 Karinja			
Logge	d By: Nick Pyt	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fin	al Test Pit I	Depth (ft.): 1	2.5			
Operat	ctor: Heritage			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.) : 93	Dat	te Start - Fir	nish: 7/26/20	21 - 7/26/	2021		
Туре с	f Excavator:	Frack Excav	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.))			
Excava	ator Model: (CAT 308			Date 7/26/21		Time	Water D	epth	Stab.Ti	me	
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description an	d Ident	ification	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark	
1		1	0-10	Topsoil - Brown (10YR granular, slightly moist medium roots	8, 5/3) sandy loa t, friable, abrupt	am, mo smooi	derate me th boundar	dium y, common	- - 1			
2 10-23 Light yellowish brown (10YR, 6/4) sandy clay loam, 25% gravel, moderate medium crumb, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, few medium roots 2 2												
boundary, few medium roots S2, T2 S2, T2 C S2, T2 S2, T2 C S2, T2 S2,												
4												
5												
6	S3, T3	6	55-98						6			
7	-								7			
8	- - - -			Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/6) sandy cla mb. wet. friable.	ay loar	n, 15% gra 10n mediui	avel, m prominen	t 0			
10		10		light gray (10YR, 7/1) i inches	mottled encount	tered f	rom 98 inc	hes to 150	- 9 			
11	34, 14 	10	98-150						10			
12	- - - - -								12 _			
13	 - - - -			End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater	2.5 feet. r seepage enco	untere	d @ 11'					
14	14 Soil mottling observed @ 98"											
15	-											
REMARKS												
See Lo approxi been m than the	og Key for ex mate boundarie ade at the tim ose present at t	ploration of es between es and unde he times the	sample descoil and bedre r the condition measureme	scription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of nts were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratific al. Wate ay occu	ation lines er level read r due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-2		

				TEST P	PIT LOG									
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division of conmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant R	XPLOR/ HEET: ROJECT EVIEWE	ATION NO.: 1 1 of 1 F NO: 26.0092 D BY: Cory K	'P-3 434.00 arinja					
Logged	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Final T	lest Pit I	Depth (ft.): 13						
Contract Operato	t or: Heritage r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 91	Date S	Start - Fii	nish: 7/26/202	1 - 7/26/	2021				
Type of	Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator			Gr	oundwa	ter Depth (ft.)						
Evenuet	ar Madalı (Date	Tin	ne	Water De	pth	Stab.Ti	ime			
Excavato	or woder:	JAT 308			7/26/21			10						
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identifica	ation		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark			
			0-7	Topsoil - Brown (10YF granular, slightly moist medium roots	R, 6/3) sandy loai t, loose, abrupt s	m, mode smooth be	rate me oundary	dium /, common						
				Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/4) clay loam	n, modera	ate med	ium						
2	S1, T1	2	7-38	subangular blocky, slig	ghtly moist, friabl	le, clear s	smooth	boundary	2_					
3 _									3_					
	4 - S2. T2 4 Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) loamy sand, 25% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary													
4	S2, 12	4	38-64		,,	4								
5 _					5 _									
6_				Light brownish gray (1 granular, slightly moist	ght brownish gray (10YR, 6/2) loamy sand, strong medium anular, slightly moist, loose, clear smooth boundary 6									
7_	S3, T3	7	64 106						7_					
8 -			04-100						8 -					
9 -				Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/6) sandy cla	ay loam, 3	30% gra	avel, ium	9_					
10 _	S4, T4	10		prominent light gray (1 inches to 156 inches	0YR, 7/1) mottle	e, comme es encour	ntered f	rom 106	10 _					
11 _			106-156						11 _					
12 _									12 _					
-									-					
13 _				End of exploration at 1	13 feet.				13 -					
14				Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	r seepage encou I @ 106"	untered @	2) 10'							
11 1 1														
3 //2021; 2		1	<u>I</u>	1					1		L			
JA; 8/30														
See Log approxim been ma	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time	ploration of es between s es and unde	sample de soil and bedr the conditi	escription and identification rock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations o	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratificatio II. Water le	n lines evel reac ue to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-3				
han thos	e present at t	he times the	e measureme	ents were made.	- groundwater fild	, 00001 U								

				TEST P	PIT LOG										
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division of conmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEWI	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-4 34.00 rinja						
Logged	By: Nick Pyt	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13							
Contract Operato	t or: Heritage r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 92	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/26/2021	- 7/26/	2021					
Type of	Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)							
_					Date		Time	Water Dep	th	Stab.T	ime				
Excavato	or Model:	JAT 308			7/26/21			10.5							
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	ication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark				
			0-7	Topsoil - Brown (10YR granular, slightly moist	R, 5/3) sandy loa t, loose, abrupt s	m, mo smooth	derate me boundar	edium y, common	-						
2	S1, T1	2	7-42	Yellowish brown (10YF subangular blocky, mc fine faint light gray (10 mottles encountered fr	owish brown (10YR, 5/4) clay loam, moderate medium 2 angular blocky, moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, common 2 faint light gray (10YR, 7/1) and strong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) 2 tles encountered from 27 inches to 42 inches 3 angular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, 4										
4 5 6	S2, T2	4	42-82	Light brownish gray (1 subangular blocky, slig many coarse prominer encountered from 42 in	ight brownish gray (10YR, 6/2) sandy clay loam, moderate medium ubangular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, nany coarse prominent strong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) mottles ncountered from 42 inches to 82 inches										
7 _			82-94	Light yellowish brown granular, moist, friable	(10YR, 6/4) sand , clear smooth b	dy loar oounda	n, modera ry	ate medium	7_						
8	S3, T3	8		Light gray (10YR, 7/2) moist, friable, many co	fine sandy loam barse prominent	n, mode strong	erate coai brown (7	rse granular, .5YR, 4/6)	8_						
9	S4, T4	9		mottles encountered fr	om 94 inches to	o 140 ir	nches		9_	16.6					
10 _			94-156						10						
11 _			04-100						11_						
12 _	St	12							12 _						
13 _									13 -						
- - - 14 -				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	3 feet. r seepage encou @ 42"	unterec	l @ 10.5'								
- +60.74 															
REMARKS															
See Log approxim been ma than thos	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time e present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedi r the conditi measureme	escription and identification rock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	stratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level read due to otl	represent dings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-4					

					TEST P	IT LOG									
GZN		ITA, a E eoEnvir	Division of conmental and Scientists	GZA , Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEWE	ATION NO.: T 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-5 34.00 arinja					
Logg	ed By:	Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	I Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 12						
Opera	ractor: ator:	Heritage Chris			Ground Surface Elev	r. (ft.): 92	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/26/2021	- 7/26/2	2021				
Туре	of Exc	avator: 1	rack Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)						
Exce	vator I	Andal: (208 T V			Date	-	Fime	Water Dep	oth	Stab.Ti	ime			
Exca	valui i		JAT 300			1120/21			9.5						
Depth (ft)	h :	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	le Description and	d Identif	ication	<u> </u>	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark			
1		S1 T1	1	0-7	Topsoil - Brown (10YR granular, slightly moist	l, 5/3) sandy loa , loose, abrupt s	m, moo smooth	derate me boundar	edium y, common						
	· 	01, 11		7-27	Yellowish brown (10YF blocky, slightly moist, f	R, 5/4) clay, moc riable, clear smo	derate i ooth bo	nedium s oundary	ubangular	 					
				27.22	Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/8) loamy sa	nd, 109	% gravel,	moderate						
3	3 _		oundary	3_											
4		S2, T2	n granular, m prominent lottles	4											
5	5														
6	3 - - -			32-105						6_					
7	7									7 _					
8	3									8_					
g					Strong brown (7.5YR, loose	4/6) sandy loam	i, stron	g coarse	granular, wet,	9_					
10		S3, T3	10	105-144						10 _					
11										11					
12	2 - - - 3 - 3 -				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	2 feet. seepage encou @ 32"	untered	@ 9.5'		12 -					
J4															
5:47:54	5 -														
REMARKS			1									1			
See l appro been than t	Log K ximate made hose p	ey for ex boundarie at the time resent at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica al. Wate ly occur	tion lines r level read due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-5				

					TEST P	IT LOG								
GZ		MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division of conmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEWE	ATION NO.: TH 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	2-6 34.00 rinja				
Loge	ged B	y: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13					
Ope	rator:	Chris			Ground Surface Elev	. (ft.): 94	Dat	e Start - Fi	n ish: 7/26/2021	- 7/26/	2021			
Туре	e of E	cavator:	Frack Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)					
Exca	avator	Model: (CAT 308			7/26/21		lime	11	oth	Stab. I	me		
Dept (ft)	th	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identi	fication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark		
	- - 1			0-3	Topsoil - Brown (10YR granular, slightly moist medium roots	8, 6/3) sandy loa 3, loose, abrupt s	m, mo smooth	derate me i boundar <u>i</u>	edium y, common	- - - 1				
	2	S1, T1	2	3-34	Yellowish brown (10YF blocky, slightly moist, 1	R, 5/6) clay, moo īrm, clear smoo	derate th bou	medium s ndary	ubangular	2				
	-									-				
	3_	e medium	3_											
	4 _	S2, T2	4		4 _									
:	5 _				trong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) loamy sand, moderate medium granular,									
	6 _	S3, T3	6		slightly moist, friable, o 7/1) mottles encounter	common medium red from 93 inch	n prom es to 1	inent light 56 inches	t gray (10YR,	6_				
	7 _									7_				
,	8 _									8_				
2	9 _			64-156						9_				
1	0 _									10 _				
1	1	S4, T4	11							11				
1	2									12 _				
1	3				End of ovaloration at 1	2 foot				13 -				
<u>⊳</u> 1	4				Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	seepage encou @ 93"	untered	l @ 11'						
2:47:55	5													
ST PIT USDA; 8/30/2021; 2 REMARKS	~		1	1								·		
See appro been than	Log oxima made those	Key for ex te boundarie at the time present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedr the conditi measureme	escription and identification of the secription and identification of the secret secret and the secret secret and the secret a	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica II. Wate y occui	ition lines r level read due to oth	represent dings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-6			

				TEST P	IT LOG									
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924: ED BY: Cory Ka	P-7 34.00 rinja											
Logged I	By: Nick Pyt	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	l Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13						
Operator	Chris			Ground Surface Elev	v. (ft.): 94	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/26/2021	- 7/26/	2021				
Type of I	Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator				Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)						
Excavato	or Model: (CAT 308			Date 7/26/21	1	lime	13	th	Stab.Ti	ime			
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	ication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark			
- - 1			0-13	Topsoil - Brown (10YR blocky, slightly moist, f medium roots	8, 5/3) silt loam, friable, clear smo	modera ooth bo	ate mediu oundary, (ım subangular common	1_					
2	S1, T1	2	13-35	Yellowish brown (10YF coarse granular, friable	R, 5/6) sandy loa e, clear smooth	am, 40% bounda	% gravel, ary	moderate	2 -					
3	S2, T2	4		Yellowish brown (10YF medium subangular bl common medium pron	moderate coundary, ttles	3	8.8							
5_			35-80	encountered from 35 in	buntered from 35 inches to 80 inches									
6				Light brownish gray (1	OVR 6/2) sandy	loam	moderate	medium	6 -					
8 -	S3, T3	8		granular, moist, friable	, 0,2) Janay	louin,	modorak	moaiam	8					
9 -									9 -					
			80-156						10					
12									- - 12					
13 -	S4	13							13					
14 <u>-</u>				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	3 feet. seepage encou @ 35"	untered	@ 13'							
T PIT USDA; 8/30/2021; REMARKS														
See Log approxima been mad than thos	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time e present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr the conditi measureme	escription and identification of the secription and identification of the secret secret and the secret secret and the secret a	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	stratifica I. Water y occur	tion lines r level read due to ot	represent dings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-7				

			TEST P	PIT LOG										
MTA, a E GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division of conmental ad Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	iurant F	EXPLORA SHEET: PROJECT REVIEWE	ATION NO.: TH 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	9-8 34.00 rinja						
By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Final	Test Pit I	Depth (ft.): 13							
r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94	Date \$	Start - Fir	nish: 7/27/2021	- 7/27/	2021					
Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator			G	roundwa	ter Depth (ft.)							
or Model: (CAT 308			Date 7/27/21	Tiı	me	Water Dep	th	Stab.T	ime				
Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identific	ation		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark				
		0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YF moderate medium gra boundary, common fin	R, 5/3) sandy loai nular, slightly mo le roots	m, 20% bist, loos	gravel, ⁻ se, abrup	10% cobbles, ot smooth	1						
S1, T1	2.5	12-54	Light yellowish brown subangular blocky, slig common medium disti (10YR, 7/1) mottles er	ight yellowish brown (10YR, 6/4) clay loam, moderate medium ubangular blocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, ommon medium distinct strong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) and light gray 0YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 12 inches to 54 inches ellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) loam, moderate medium subangular ocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, common for the basis of the basis of the boundary o										
S2, T2	5.5	53-75	Yellowish brown (10YF blocky, slightly moist, t medium distinct light g inches to 75 inches	ellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) loam, moderate medium subangular ocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, common ledium distinct light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 53 ches to 75 inches 6 ellowish brown (10YR, 6/8) loamy sand, 15% gravel, moderate										
S3, T3	6.5	75-156	Yellowish brown (10YF medium granular, sligh gray (10YR, 7/1) motth - (wet) End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed Note: Sidewalls collap	moderate istinct light o 156 inches	7 8 9 10 11 12 13									
g Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time se present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedr r the conditi measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater may	tratificatio I. Water I y occur d	on lines evel read lue to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-8	;				
	MTA, a E GeoEnvin Engineers an By: Nick Pytl etor: Heritage or: Chris Excavator: T tor Model: C Sample No. S1, T1 S2, T2 S3, T3	MTA, a Division of GeoEnvironmental Engineers and Scientists By: Nick Pytlowany etor: Heritage pr: Chris Excavator: Track Excava tor Model: CAT 308 Sample No. Sample No. S1, T1 S2, T2 S3, T3 6.5 S3, T3 6.5	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists By: Nick Pytlowany ttor: Heritage or: Chris	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi By: Nick Pytlowany tor: Heritage r: Chris Test Pit Location: S Ground Surface Elev Excavator: Track Excavator Ground Surface Elev tor Model: CAT 308 1 Sample No. Sample Depth (ft.) Stratum Depth (in.) Topsoil - Brown (10YF moderate medium gra boundary, common fin Light yellowish brown fin Light yellowish brown multisti (10YR, 7/1) mottles er \$1, T1 2.5 53-75 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles er \$2, T2 5.5 53-75 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles \$3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottle \$3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottle \$3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottle \$52, T2 5.5 53-75 \$53, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottle \$51, T1 2.5 53-75 \$52, T2 5.5 53-75 \$53, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10Yf medium granular, slight gray (10YR, 7/1) mottle <	TEST PIT LOG MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. Engineers and Scientists East Ridge Development Prop. Cluck Chek Food Store and Resta West Windsor, NJ By: Nick Pytlowany tor: Heritage r: Chris Test Pit Location: See Plan Ground Surface Elev. (ft.): 94 Excevator: Track Excavator tor Model: CAT 308 Date Sample No. Stratum Depth Date On-12 Orderate medium granular, slightly m boundary, common fine roots Topsoil - Brown (10YR, 5/3) sandy loa uboundary, common fine roots S1, T1 2.5 Sarple 0.12 Vellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) loam, mo blocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smm medium distinct strong brown (10YR, 7/1) motiles encountered from S2, T2 5.5 S3-75 Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) loam, mo blocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smm medium distinct light gray (10YR, 7/1) inches to 75 inches S3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10YR, 6/8) loamy sa medium granular, slightly moist, loose, gray (10YR, 7/1) motiles encountered T5-156 End of exploration at 13 feet. Moderate groundwater seepage encou Soil motiling observed @ 12" Note: Sidewalls collapsing below 9'	TEST PTI LOG MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engreers and Scientiss Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ By: Nick Pytlowany for: Heritage rc: Chris Test Pt Location: See Plan Final Ground Surface Elev. (tt): 94 Date By: Nick Pytlowany for: Heritage rc: Chris Sample Sample Depth Stratum (n.) Test Pt Location: See Plan Final Final Ground Surface Elev. (tt): 94 Date Sample Sample Depth Stratum (n.) Sample Description and Identific (n.) Topsoil - Brown (10YR, 5/3) sandy loam, 20% moderate medium granular, slightly moist, loos boundary, common fine roots S1, T1 2.5 Sample Sample Signify moist, loos boundary, common fine roots Sample Description and Identific (nong rangular blocky, slightly moist, loos boundary, common fine roots S2, T2 5.5 Sa-75 Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) loam, moderate re blocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth bou medium distinct light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles S3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10YR, 6/8) loamy sand, 15% medium granular, glightly moist, loose, commo gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 75 S4, T3 End of exploration at 13 feet. Moderate groundwater sepage encountered (Soil motting observed @ 12" Note: Sidewalls collapsing below 9' <td>Itest PH LOG Cold Cold Explore Cold Five Cold Cold Five Prop. Cult Color Material Services of Material Services of Services of Services of Services of Services of Material Services of Material Services of Services of Services</td> <td>The Loca INTENE Loca Contrommental, Inc. Displayment count Scientific Prop. Quick Check Food Store and Restaural West Windsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO:: TT PROJECT NC 28,00924 Reviewee D Pr: Cory Markowski Michael Scientific Displayment Count Test Pit Location: See Plan Ground Surface Elev, (fl): 94 Date Start - Finish:: 727/201 Excavator Crisis Ground Surface Elev, (fl): 94 Date Start - Finish:: 727/201 Sample No. Sample Duph (fl) Stratum Topsoil - Brown (10YR, 5(2) sandy loam, 20% gravel, 10% cobbles, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common medium distinct storeg brown (7.5YR, 4/8) and light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 12 inches to 54 inches S1, T1 2.5 S3:75 Yellowish brown (10YR, 5(4) loam, moderate medium subangular blocky, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common medium distinct light ray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 53 inches to 75 inches Yellowish brown (10YR, 6(8) loamy sand, 15% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common fine distinct light ray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 75 inches to 156 inches S3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10YR, 6(8) loamy sand, 15% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common fine distinct light ray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 75 inches to 156 inches Sary</td> <td>TEST PTI LOG LISE THIE LOGG Bit Distribution Exert Ridge Dependent ProDecision and Scientisa EXPLORATION NO.: TP-9 SHEET: 1 of 1 ProDecision and Scientisa By Nok Pytowany tor: Heritage r: Chris Test Ridge Dependent ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientification (ProCesion and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scient (ProDecision and Scientification Innes represent ProDe</td> <td>THE UND EXPLORATION NO: TP-8 SHEET: In 1 of 1 SHEET: In 1 of</td>	Itest PH LOG Cold Cold Explore Cold Five Cold Cold Five Prop. Cult Color Material Services of Material Services of Services of Services of Services of Services of Material Services of Material Services of Services of Services	The Loca INTENE Loca Contrommental, Inc. Displayment count Scientific Prop. Quick Check Food Store and Restaural West Windsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO:: TT PROJECT NC 28,00924 Reviewee D Pr: Cory Markowski Michael Scientific Displayment Count Test Pit Location: See Plan Ground Surface Elev, (fl): 94 Date Start - Finish:: 727/201 Excavator Crisis Ground Surface Elev, (fl): 94 Date Start - Finish:: 727/201 Sample No. Sample Duph (fl) Stratum Topsoil - Brown (10YR, 5(2) sandy loam, 20% gravel, 10% cobbles, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common medium distinct storeg brown (7.5YR, 4/8) and light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 12 inches to 54 inches S1, T1 2.5 S3:75 Yellowish brown (10YR, 5(4) loam, moderate medium subangular blocky, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common medium distinct light ray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 53 inches to 75 inches Yellowish brown (10YR, 6(8) loamy sand, 15% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common fine distinct light ray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 75 inches to 156 inches S3, T3 6.5 Yellowish brown (10YR, 6(8) loamy sand, 15% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, ficiole, clear smooth boundary, common fine distinct light ray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 75 inches to 156 inches Sary	TEST PTI LOG LISE THIE LOGG Bit Distribution Exert Ridge Dependent ProDecision and Scientisa EXPLORATION NO.: TP-9 SHEET: 1 of 1 ProDecision and Scientisa By Nok Pytowany tor: Heritage r: Chris Test Ridge Dependent ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientisa (ProDecision and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scientification (ProCesion and Scientisa) Explorement ProDecision and ProDecision and ProDecision and Scient (ProDecision and Scientification Innes represent ProDe	THE UND EXPLORATION NO: TP-8 SHEET: In 1 of 1 SHEET: In 1 of				

MTA, SeeoEn Engineer: Logged By: Nick I Contractor: Herita Operator: Chris Type of Excavator	a Division of vironmenta and Scientists Pytlowany ge Track Excav	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win Test Pit Location: Se	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924 D BY: Corv Ka	2-9 34.00 rinja						
Logged By: Nick I Contractor: Herita Operator: Chris Type of Excavator	Pytlowany ge : Track Excav		MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and ScientistsEast Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJEXPLORATION NO.: SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.092 REVIEWED BY: Cory HLogged By: Nick Pytlowany Contractor: HeritageTest Pit Location: See PlanFinal Test Pit Depth (ft.): 13											
Contractor: Herita Operator: Chris Type of Excavator	ge Track Excav			ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit I	Depth (ft.): 13							
Type of Excavator	Track Excav		Ground Surface Elev	v. (ft.): 94	Date	e Start - Fii	n ish: 7/27/2021	- 7/27/	2021					
Date Time Water Depth														
For a start Market	Excavator Model: CAT 308 7/27/21 10.5													
Excavator Model:	CAT 308			//2//21			10.5							
Depth Sample (ft) No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	ication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark				
1		0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YR granular, slightly moist fine roots	8, 5/3) sandy loa 5, loose, abrupt s	m, 15% smooth	∕₀ gravel, boundar	moderate fine y, common	- - 1_						
2			Brown (10YR, 5/3) silty blocky, slightly moist, f medium distinct light g 5/8) mottles encounter	y clay loam, moo riable, diffuse si ray (10YR, 7/1) red from 12 inch	derate mooth and ye es to 6	medium s boundary llowish br 5 inches	ubangular , common rown (10YR,	2						
3 _ S1, T1	3 S1, T1 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5													
5 <u>-</u> 6 - S2 T2	6		Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) sandy loam, 20% gravel, strong coarse granular, slightly moist, loose, common medium prominent light 5											
7			gray (10YR, 7/1) and s encountered from 65 in	strong brown (7.3 nches to 156 inc	5YR, 4 ches	/6) mottle	S	7						
8 _ - 9 _ -		65-156	- (wet)					8 9						
10 _								10 _						
11 -								11 _						
12								12 _						
13 <u>-</u> - 14 <u>-</u>			End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	3 feet. r seepage encou @ 12"	unterec	l @ 10.5'		13 -						
15			Note: Sidewalls collap	sing below 8'										
See Log Key for approximate bound been made at the than those present	exploration of aries between i imes and unde at the times the	sample de soil and bedr er the condition e measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of ints were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level reac due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-9					

				TEST P	PIT LOG									
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division of conmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	urant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEWE	ATION NO.: T 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-10 134.00 arinja					
Logged	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	I Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13						
Contract Operato	tor: Heritage r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94	Date	Start - Fi	n ish: 7/27/202 ⁻	- 7/27/2	2021				
Type of	Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)						
Executet	or Model: (00¢ TAC			Date	1	ime	Water De	oth	Stab.Ti	ime			
Excavat	or woder.	JAT 300			1/2//21			9.5						
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identifi	cation	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark			
				Fill - Light yellowish br weak fine granular, slig common medium roots	own (10YR, 6/4) ghtly moist, friab s) sandy Ile, abru	loam, 10 upt smoo	% gravel, th boundary,	1					
3 -	S1, T1	2.5	0-64						3					
5				Strong brown (7.5YR,	4/6) loam, 20%	gravel,	moderate	e coarse	5					
6 - - 7 - 8 - -	S2, T2	6	64-105	granular, moist, loose, prominent light gray (1 inches to 105 inches	Strong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) loam, 20% gravel, moderate coarse ranular, moist, loose, clear smooth boundary, common coarse rominent light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 64 inches to 105 inches 7 8									
9	S3, T3	10	105 156	Light brownish gray (1 coarse granular, wet, l 7/1) and strong brown inches to 156 inches	0YR, 6/2) loamy oose, many coa (7.5YR, 4/6) mo	rse dist ttles er	20% grav inct light icountere	vel, strong gray (10YR, ed from 105	9					
12 _			105-156						12 _					
Md 14				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwate Soil mottling observed	3 feet. r seepage encou @ 64"	untered	@ 9.5'							
REMARKS	Key for a	nloration of	sample de	scription and identification	n proceduros S	tratificat	ion lines	represent						
approxim been ma me than those	de at the time se present at t	es between s es and unde he times the	soil and bedr the condition measurement	ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	l. Water y occur	due to oth	lings have her factors	riate l	NO.: 3-1(J			

				TEST P	IT LOG											
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division of conmental ad Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR/ SHEET: PROJECT REVIEWE	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924: D BY: Cory Ka	P-11 34.00 rinja							
Logged	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Final	Test Pit I	Depth (ft.): 13								
Contrac Operato	tor: Heritage r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	v. (ft.): 94.5	Date	Start - Fir	nish: 7/27/2021	- 7/27/	2021						
Type of	Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator			(Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)								
					Date	Т	ime	Water Dep	th	Stab.Ti	ime					
Excavat	or Model:	JAT 308			//2//21			11								
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identifi	cation		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark					
1 2				Fill - Brown (10YR, 5/3 moderate medium sub smooth boundary, com	 sandy clay loa angular blocky, mon medium ro 	ım, 20% slightly pots	o gravel, moist, fri	10% cobbles, able, clear	1 1 2 1							
3	S1, T1	3	0-70		3 4 5											
5 -				Brown (10YR, 5/3) loa	rown (10YR, 5/3) loam, 10% gravel, moderate medium granular,											
7 _	S2, T2	6.5	70-98	slightly moist, friable, o distinct light gray (10Y encountered from 70 in	clear smooth bou R, 7/1) and stror nches to 98 inch	undary, ng brow nes	common n (7.5YR	n medium R, 4/6) mottles	7 -							
9	S3, T3	9		Yellowish brown (10YF medium granular, wet, (10YR, 7/1) mottled er	R, 5/6) sandy loa loose, common icountered 98 in	am, 10% mediur iches to	ogravel, n distinc 156 inch	moderate t light gray tes	9							
10 11			98-156						10							
12 _ 				End of exploration at 1	3 feet				12 _ 							
14				Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	@ 70"	untered	@ 11'									
1; 2:41				Note: Sidewalls caving	g below 10'											
T PIT USDA; 8/30/202 REMARKS																
See Loc approxim been ma than thos	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time se present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratificat I. Water y occur	ion lines level reac due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-11	1					

				TEST P	IT LOG						
GZN	MTA, a l GeoEnvi Engineers a	Division of ronmental nd Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEWI	ATION NO.: 1 of 1 T NO: 26.0092 ED BY: Cory K	ГР-12 434.00 Carinja		
Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13			
Contrac Operato	tor: Heritage r: Chris	•		Ground Surface Elev	. (ft.): 94	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/27/202	1 - 7/27/	2021	
Type of	Excavator:	Track Excava	ator				Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)			
		•• • • • • • • • • •			Date		Time	Water De	pth	Stab.T	ime
Excavat	or Model:	CAT 308			//2//21			11			
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	le Description and	d Identif	ication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
- - - 1			0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YR moist, loose, clear smo	R, 5/3) sandy loa both boundary, c	m, wea commo	ak mediur on mediur	n granular, n roots	1		
2 _		ubangular common tered from 21	2_								
3	S1, T1		3_								
4 _			4								
5_					5_						
6 _					45 0/				6		
7 - - 8 -	S2, T2	7.5		subangular blocky, mc medium distinct light g 4/6) mottles encounter	idy loam, 15% g iist, friable, clear ray (10YR, 7/1) ed from 78 inch	r smoo and st es to 1	moderate th bounda rong brow 30 inches	ary, common /n (7.5YR, S	7 _ - 8 _	9.3	
9 -			78-130						9_		
10 _									10		
	S3, T3	11		Yellowish brown (10YF granular, wet, friable	R, 5/8) sandy loa	am, mo	derate m	edium			
12			130-156						12		
 14				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	3 feet. seepage encou @ 21"	unterec	1@11'				
15											
REMARKS											
See Log approxim been ma than thos	g Key for ex nate boundari ide at the tim se present at	cploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample des soil and bedro r the condition measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o nts were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica al. Wate ly occur	tion lines r level read due to ot	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-12	2

				TEST P	IT LOG										
GZ	MTA, a GeoEnvi Engineers a	Division of ronmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR/ SHEET: PROJEC ⁻ REVIEWE	ATION NO.: TH 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-13 34.00 Irinja						
Logge	ed By: Nick Py	tlowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Final	Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13							
Opera	actor: Heritage ator: Chris	9		Ground Surface Elev	. (ft.): 94	Date	Start - Fi	nish: 7/27/2021	- 7/27/	2021					
Туре	of Excavator:	Track Excava	ator			G	Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)							
Exec	ator Model	CAT 200			Date	Т	ime	Water Dep	oth	Stab.Ti	ime				
Exca	ator model.	CAT 300			//2//21										
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identific	cation		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark				
1			0-26	Topsoil - Brown (10YF medium crumb, moist, medium roots	8, 5/3) sandy loa friable, abrupt s	m, 10% mooth l	gravel, boundary	moderate /, common	1						
3	3 S1, T1 3 4 - 5 - Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) clay, moderate medium subangular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, common medium prominent light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 26 inches to 68 inches														
6				Light brownish gray (1	ht brownish gray (10YR, 6/2) sandy loam, 20% gravel, moderate 6										
7	S2, T2	6.5	68-105	medium granular, moi	ight brownish gray (10YR, 6/2) sandy loam, 20% gravel, moderate nedium granular, moist, loose, clear smooth boundary 7 8										
9	S3, T3	9.5		Yellowish red (5YR, 4/ granular, moist, loose, (10YR, 6/2) mottles fro	6) sandy loam, 3 common mediu om 132 inches to	35% gra ım distir o 156 ind	avel, moo nct light b ches	lerate coarse rownish gray	9 _ 9 _ 10 _						
11	11 _ 105-156														
14 NMd 82:22 NM	End of exploration at 13 feet. Moderate groundwater seepage encountered @ 11' Soil mottling observed @ 26"														
ST PIT USDA; 8/30/2021;; REMARKS			·												
See L approx been i than th	og Key for e kimate boundar made at the tim nose present at	xploration of ies between s nes and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratificati II. Water IV occur	on lines level read due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-13	3				

					TEST P	IT LOG								
	GZN)	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division of ronmental nd Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	e Development ood Store and Restaurant Vindsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: TP-14 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja								
	Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: Se	st Pit Location: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 13								
	Contract Operato	t or: Heritage r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev. (ft.): 94 Date Start - Finish: 7/27/2021 - 7/27/2021									
	Type of	Excavator:	Track Excava	ator			(Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)					
	Evenuet	or Model	CAT 200			Date	Т	ime	Water Dep	th	Stab.T	ime		
						11.5			11.5					
	Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	Sample Description and Identification						Remark		
	- - 1			0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YR granular, moist, loose, roots	opsoil - Brown (10YR, 5/3) sandy loam, moderate medium ranular, moist, loose, clear smooth boundary, common medium oots 1 fellowish brown (10YR, 5/4) silty clay loam, strong coarse ubangular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, ommon medium distinct light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered om 17 inches to 58 inches								
	2				Yellowish brown (10YF subangular blocky, slig common medium distii from 17 inches to 58 ir									
	3	S1, T1	3	12-58						3				
	5_				Strong brown (7.5YR, moist, loose, clear smo	4/6) loamy sand both boundary	, mode	rate coar	se granular,	5_				
	6 _ - 7 _ -	S2, T2	6	58-92						6 7				
	8 	S3 T3	9		Brownish yellow (10YF moist, loose, common strong brown (7.5YR, 4	R, 6/6) sandy loa medium distinct 4/6) mottles enco	im, stro ilight gi ountere	ng coars ray (10Yf ed from 9	e granular, R, 7/1) and 2 inches to	8 -				
	10	00, 10			156 incres					10				
	- 11 _ -			92-156						11				
	12 _									12 _				
3 PM	13 _ - 14 _ -				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	3 feet. r seepage encou @ 12"	intered	@ 11.5'		13				
; 2:47:58	15				Note: Sidewalls collap	osing below 10.5	'							
T PIT USDA; 8/30/2021	KEMAKKS													
3B - MTA TES	See Log approxim been ma than thos	Key for ex ate boundari de at the tim se present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr r the conditi measureme	escription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of ents were made.	on procedures. Sins may be gradual f groundwater may	tratificat I. Water y occur	ion lines level read due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-14	4		

				TEST F	PIT LOG							
GZN	MTA, a l GeoEnvi Engineers a	Division of ronmenta nd Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	evelopment Store and Restaurant dsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: TP-15 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja							
Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: S	e Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 13							
Contract Operato	tor: Heritage r: Chris)		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94	Dat	e Start - Fii	n ish: 7/27/2021	- 7/27/	2021		
Type of	Excavator:	Track Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)				
_					Date		Time	Water Dep	oth	Stab.T	ime	
Excavat	Excavator Model: CAT 308				//2//21			11				
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Sam	ble Description and	d Identi	fication	<u> </u>	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark	
-			0-7	Topsoil - Brown (10YF	R, 5/3) sandy loa	m, mo	derate fin	e crumb,	-			
1_				Yellowish brown (10YF	ellowish brown (10YR 5/4) clay loam, moderate coarse							
-				subangular blocky, slig	ghtly moist, firm,	clear	smooth bo	oundary,	-			
2 _				from 7 inches to 65 inc	ommon medium distinct light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered							
-									-			
3 _	S1, T1	3	7-65						3_			
-												
4_									4 -			
5 -									5 -			
				Vellewich brown (40)/			denete ne	a aliu uaa				
6 _				granular, moist, loose,	eaium	6_						
-	S2, T2	6.5							-			
7 _			65-98						7_			
									-			
° -				Light brownish gray (1	$OVP_{6/2}$ sandy	loam	moderate	medium	- ° -			
9 -	S3 T3	q		granular, moist, loose,	many coarse pr	romine	ent strong	brown	9 -			
-	00, 10			(7.5YR, 4/6) mottles e	ncountered from	1 98 in	ches to 15	6 inches	-			
10 _									10 _			
-			08 156						-			
11 _			30-130						11_			
10												
12									12			
13									13 -			
				End of exploration at 1	13 feet.	Intore	1 @ 11'					
14 _				Soil mottling observed	@ 7"	Intere	uwii					
-												
15 _												
KS												
MAR												
REN												
See Log	g Key for ex nate boundari	xploration of es between s	sample de	scription and identification ock types. Actual transitions	on procedures. S ns may be gradua	Stratifica	ation lines er level read	represent lings have	Plate I	No.: 3-1	5	
than thos	se present at	the times the	measureme	ents were made.	giodiana valor ma	, 0000						

	TEST PIT LOG														
GZN	MTA, a l GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division of ronmenta nd Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	ge Development ood Store and RestaurantEXPLORATION NO.: SHEET:TP-16 SHEET:Windsor, NJPROJECT NO: REVIEWED BY:26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY:										
Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12											
Operato	or: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	. (ft.): 91.5	Dat	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/27/202	21 - 7/27/	2021					
Type of	Excavator:	Track Excav	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)							
Excavator Model: CAT 308				7/27/21 9			epth	Stab.Time							
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identi	fication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark				
-			0-2	2" Asphalt						. ,					
1_			2-15												
2_	S1, T1	1.5	15-27	Yellowish brown (10YF subangular blocky, mo Fill - Dark gray (10YR,	ellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) sandy clay loam, moderate medium Ibangular blocky, moist, friable, clear smooth boundary 2 II - Dark gray (10YR, 4/1) clay, 45% gravel, moderate coarse										
3	S2, T2	3	Subangular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) sand, single grain, slightly moist, loose, clear smooth boundary												
4			27-62				4								
5 6 7 8	S3, T3	6	62-104	Brownish yellow (10YF subangular blocky, slig common medium faint (7.5YR, 4/6) mottles el	Brownish yellow (10YR, 6/6) loam, 5% gravel, moderate medium subangular blocky, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, common medium faint light gray (10YR, 7/1) and strong brown 7.5YR, 4/6) mottles encountered from 62 inches to 104 inches Strong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) sandy loam, 20% gravel, moderate nedium granular, wet, friable 1										
9 9 10 11	S4, T4	10	104-144	Strong brown (7.5YR, medium granular, wet,											
13				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwater Soil mottling observed	2 feet. seepage encou @ 62"	untereo	1 @ 9'		12						
See Log approxim been ma than those	g Key for ex nate boundari ade at the tim se present at	ploration of es between es and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr r the conditio measureme	scription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica I. Wate y occu	ation lines r level reac r due to otl	represent dings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3-10	6				

				TEST P	PIT LOG								
GZN	MTA, a GeoEnvi Engineers d	Division of ironmental	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	e Development ood Store and Restaurant Vindsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: TP-17 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja								
Logged	By: Nick Py	tlowany		Test Pit Location: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12									
Operato	tor: Heritag r: Chris	e		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94.5	Dat	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/28/202 ⁻	1 - 7/28/2	2021			
Type of	Excavator:	Track Excava	ator				Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)					
Excavat	Exervator Model: CAT 308				Z/28/21 NIE			Water De	pth	Stab.Ti	ime		
Excavator model. CAT 500													
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Sample Description and Identification						Water Content (%)	Remark		
- - - 1			0-16	Topsoil - Grayish brow medium crumb, slight common medium roots	Fopsoil - Grayish brown (10YR, 5/2) loam, 10% gravel, moderate - nedium crumb, slightly moist, firm, abrupt smooth boundary, - common medium roots 1								
2	S1, T1	2	16-31	Fill - Yellowish brown (moderate medium gra boundary	Fill - Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8) sandy loam, 10% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, friable, abrupt smooth boundary Brown (10YR, 4/3) sandy clay loam, 35% gravel, moderate coarse subangular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, common medium distinct light gray (10YR, 7/1) and strong brown (7.5YR, 4/6) mottles encountered from 31 inches to 46 inches Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) sandy loam, 10% gravel, moderate medium granular, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, common medium faint light gray (10YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 46 inches to 90 inches								
3	S2, T2	3.5	31-46	Brown (10YR, 4/3) sar subangular blocky, slig common medium disti									
	S3, T3	5	46-90	Yellowish brown (10YF medium granular, sligh common medium faint from 46 inches to 90 ir									
7 8 9 10 11			90-144	Yellowish brown (10YF subangular blocky	R, 5/6) loam, 20 ⁰	% grav	rel, moder	rate medium	7 8 9 10 11				
12 13 14 15				End of exploration at 1 Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	2 feet. not encountere @ 31"	d			12				
See Log	, Key for e	xploration of	sample de	escription and identification	on procedures. S	tratifica	ation lines	represent	Plate I	No.: 3-17	7		
approxim been ma than thos	de at the tin se present at	nes and under the times the	er the conditi	ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	ii. vvate y occu	r level read r due to oth	her factors		-			

				TEST P	IT LOG	,						
GZN	MTA, a l GeoEnvi Engineers a	Division of ronmental nd Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	urant	EXPLORATION NO.: TP-18 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja					
Logge	d By: Nick Pyt	llowany		Test Pit Location: Se	Test Pit Location: See PlanFinal Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12							
Operat	ctor: Heritage	9		Ground Surface Elev. (ft.): 96 Date Start - Finish: 7/28/2021 - 7/28/2021								
Туре о	Type of Excavator: Track Excavator						Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)				
Execut	tor Model	CAT 209			Date	-	Time	Water De	pth	Stab.T	ime	
Excave		CAT 300										
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	l Identif	ication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark	
1	-		0-16	Topsoil - Dark gray (10 granular, slightly moist medium roots	osoil - Dark gray (10YR, 4/1) sandy loam, moderate medium nular, slightly moist, friable, clear smooth boundary, common dium roots							
2				Yellowish brown (10YF granular, slightly moist	R, 5/6) loam, 20% , friable, clear sr	% grav nooth	el, moder boundary	ate coarse	2_			
3	S1, T1	3	16-64						3			
4	-								4 _			
6	 	6		Yellowish brown (10YF medium granular, sligh	R, 5/6) sandy loa htly moist, friable	m, 159 , comr	% gravel, non medi	moderate um faint light	- 5_ - 6			
7	- - - - -			gray (10YR, 7/1) and s encountered from 64 in	strong brown (7.5 nches to 144 inc	5YR, 4 hes	/6) mottle	S	7_			
8	- - - - -								8_			
9	-		64-144						9			
10	-								10			
12									11			
13	- - - -			End of exploration at 1 Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	2 feet. not encountered @ 64"	d						
Md 00	- - - - -											
15]											
st Pit USDA; 8/30/202 REMARKS												
See Lo approxi been m than the	og Key for ex mate boundari ade at the tim ose present at	xploration of es between s les and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater may	tratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level read due to otl	represent dings have ner factors	Plate I	No.: 3-18	3	

				TEST P	PIT LOG								
GZ	MTA, a GeoEnv Engineers	Division of rironmental and Scientists	f GZA l, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	urant	rant EXPLORATION NO.: TP-19 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja						
Logge	ed By: Nick P	ytlowany		Test Pit Location: Se	on: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12								
Opera	itor: Chris	je		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 95	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/28/202	021 - 7/28/2021				
Туре	Type of Excavator: Track Excavator					· · · ·	Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			-		
Exca	vator Model:	CAT 308			7/28/21		NE			Stab. I	ime		
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	Sample Description and Identification								
			0-2	2" Asphalt Dark grav (10YR_4/1)	sandy loam 45°	% arav	el moder	ate medium		12.3			
1	 	1.5	2-18	granular, slightly moist	t, firm, abrupt sm	nooth b	oundary						
2		18-34 Yellowish brown (10YR, 5/8) sandy clay loam, 10% gravel, moderate coarse subangular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary											
3				Yellowish brown (10YF medium granular, sligh	ellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) sandy loam, 10% gravel, moderate edium granular, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary								
4	S2, T2	4	34-70						4 _				
5									5 _				
6				Light yellowish brown moderate coarse gran	(10YR, 6/4) sano ular, moist, friab	dy loar le, con	n, 10% gr nmon mee	avel, dium distinct	6_				
7	S3, T3	7		light gray (10YR, 7/1) i inches	mottles encounte	ered fr	om 70 inc	hes to 144	7_				
8									8 -				
9			70-144						9 -				
10									10 _				
11									11				
12	-								12 -				
13				Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	e not encountere @ 70"	d							
≥ 14													
48:00 15	-												
st Pit USDA; 8/30/2021; REMARKS													
See L approx been r than th	og Key for kimate bounda nade at the til nose present a	exploration of ries between s mes and unde t the times the	f sample de soil and bedi er the conditi e measureme	escription and identification of the secription and identification of the secret secret and the secret secret and the secret a	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	itratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level read due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-19	Ð		

				TEST P	PIT LOG							
GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division o conmenta ad Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	urant	nt EXPLORATION NO.: TP-20 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja					
Logged	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	on: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12							
Contract Operator	or: Heritage : Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 95.5	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/28/2021	- 7/28/	2021		
Type of I	Excavator:	Frack Excave	ator				Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)				
Everyot	w Madalı (247 209			Date	-	Time	Water De	oth	Stab.Ti	ime	
Excavalo		JAT 300			1/20/21			12				
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	ication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark	
 1			0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YR medium crumb, slightly common coarse roots	opsoil - Brown (10YR, 5/3) sandy loam, 5% gravel, moderate edium crumb, slightly moist, loose, clear smooth boundary, ommon coarse roots							
				Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/6) clay, mod	lerate (coarse su	ıbangular	1 =			
2 _	S1, T1	2										
3_			12-44				3					
4	S2. T2	4.5		Yellowish brown (10YF medium granular, sligh	4							
5_	,			common medium faint from 96 inches to 130	light gray (10YF inches	R, 7/1)	mottles e	encountered	5			
6_									6_			
7			44-130						7			
8_									8			
9_									9			
10 _									10 _			
11	S3, T3	11	130-144	Brownish yellow (10YF granular, wet, friable	R, 6/6) sandy loa	am, mo	derate m	edium	11			
12 -					0.6				12 -			
13 _				Soil mottling observed	epage encounter @ 96"	red @	12'					
14												
15												
REMARKS												
See Log approxim been may than thos	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time e present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedro the condition measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o nts were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	itratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level rea due to ot	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-2()	
					TEST P	PIT LOG						
-----------------------------------	---	---	---	--	---	---	------------------------------------	--	--	------------------------	-------------------------	--------
G		MTA, a E GeoEnvir Engineers an	Division of conmental ad Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEW	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 T NO: 26.009243 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-21 34.00 rinja		
Log	gged E	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13			
Op	erator	br: Heritage : Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 95	Dat	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/29/2021	- 7/29/	2021	
Тур	pe of E	xcavator:	rack Excava	ator	1			Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)			
Ex	cavato	r Model: (CAT 308			Date		Time	Water Dep	th	Stab.T	ime
	cavato	i model.	000			1123121						
De (f	pth t)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identi	fication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
	1			0-8	Topsoil - Very dark bro crumb, slightly moist, l medium roots	own (7.5YR, 2.5 oose, clear smo	/2) loai ooth bo	m, moder undary, c	ate fine ommon	- - - 1 -		
	2	S1, T1	2		Fill - Brown (10YR, 5/3 medium subangular bl	3) sandy clay loa ocky, slightly mo	am, 10 oist, fir	% gravel m, clear v	, moderate vavy boundary	2 _		
	3 -			8-60						3 -		
	4											
	5 _ 5 _ 5 _ 5 _ 6 _ Vellowish brown (10YR, 5/6) sandy clay loam, 15% gravel, moderate medium subangular blocky, moist, firm, gradual smooth											
	7	32, 12	0	60-96	boundary					7		
	8 -				Strong brown (7.5YR,	5/8) sandy loam	n, 10%	gravel, st	rong fine	8_		
	9				crumb, moist, firm, few encountered from 96 in	r fine faint light on the faint light of the faint	gray (7 ches	.5YR, 7/1) mottles	9_		
	10 _	S3, T3	10	96-156						10		
	11 _									11		
	12 _									12		
×	13											
2:48:01 P	15					-						
T PIT USDA; 8/30/2021; REMARKS												
See app bee than	E Log proxima n mac n those	Key for ex ate boundarie le at the time e present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedr the conditi measureme	escription and identification ock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica al. Wate ay occur	ation lines er level rea r due to ot	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-2'	1

					TEST P	PIT LOG						
	GZN	MTA, a I GeoEnvin Engineers an	Division of ronmental nd Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge I Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Win	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	iurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEW	ATION NO.: T 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-22 34.00 arinja		
	Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 13			
	Operat	or: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 95	Dat	e Start - Fi	i nish: 7/29/2021	- 7/29/	2021	
Ī	Type of	f Excavator:	Track Excava	ator				Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)			
	Frcava	tor Model: (CAT 308			Date		Time	Water Dep	oth	Stab.T	ime
	LACUVO		5A1 500			1123121						
	Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identii	fication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
		-		0-8	Topsoil - Very dark bro	own (7.5YR, 2.5/	2) loar	n, weak f	ine crumb,	-		
	1 _	-			Fill - Brown (10YR, 5/3	B) sandy clay loa	m, 10 ⁹	% gravel,	moderate	1_		
		-			medium subangular bl	ocky						
	2_	_ T1	2							2_		
	3	-		8-54						3		
	-	-								-		
	4 _	-								4 _		
	-	-			Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/6) loam, 109	% grav	el, weak	medium			
	5_	-			subangular blocky, slig	htly moist, firm,	clear	smooth b	oundary, few) D		
	6 _	т2	6	54.00	90 inches	o/ r) motiles end	Journe			6_		
		-		54-90						-		
	7 _	-								7 _		
	8	-			Strong brown (7.5YR,	5/8) loamy sand	, 10%	gravel, m	noderate	8 -		
		-			medium crumb, moist, mottles encountered fr	firm, few fine fa om 90 inches to	int ligh 156 ir	it gray (7. nches	5YR, 7/1)	-		
	9 _	-								9 _		
		-										
	10 _	Т3	10	90-156						10 _		
	11	-								11 -		
	-	-								-		
	12 _	-								12 _		
	10	-								12 -		
	13 _				End of exploration at 1	3 feet.				13		
M	14 _	-			Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	0 not encountere	d					
48:01 P		-										
021; 2:4	15]										
8/30/2(KKS											
JSDA;	EMAF											
T PIT L	5											
3B - MTA TES	See Lo approxin been m than tho	ng Key for ex mate boundarie ade at the time ase present at the	ploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample de soil and bed r the conditi measureme	escription and identification rock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	tratifica I. Wate y occur	ition lines r level rea due to ot	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-22	2

			TEST P	PIT LOG						
GATIN MTA, a GeoEnvi Engineers a	Division of ronmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	urant	EXPLOR/ SHEET: PROJEC ⁻ REVIEWE	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-23 34.00 rinja		
Logged By: Nick Py	tlowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Final	Test Pit I	Depth (ft.): 12			
Operator: Chris	÷		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 95	Date	Start - Fii	nish: 7/28/2021	- 7/28/	2021	
Type of Excavator:	Track Excava	ator			Ģ	Froundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			
Excavator Model:	CAT 308			Date 7/28/21		ime	Water Dep NE	<u>th</u>	Stab.T	ime
Depth (ft) Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	l Identific	cation		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
		0-7	nedium granular, sligh common medium roots	R, 5/3) sandy loai htly moist, loose, s	m, 10% clear s	gravel, i mooth b	moderate oundary,	1_		
2	2		Brown (10YR, 5/3) cla slightly moist, friable, c	y loam, moderate clear smooth bou	e mediu undary,	ım subar few fine	ngular blocky, roots	2 -		
3		7-50						3_		
4			Yellowish brown (10Yf	R, 5/6) loam, 30%	% grave	l, moder	ate medium	4		
5 _ S2, T2	5									
								6_		
8								8_		
9_		50-144						9_		
10								10 _		
11 _								11		
12	12 12 13 End of exploration at 12 feet. 13 Soil mottling observed @ 64"									
14	14									
15										
REMARKS										
See Log Key for e approximate boundar been made at the tim than those present at	xploration of ies between s nes and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater may	tratificati I. Water y occur o	on lines level read due to oth	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-23	3

				TEST P	PIT LOG						
GZN	MTA, a E GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division of conmental and Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	East Ridge Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	Development d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	aurant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEWI	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-24 34.00 rinja		
Logged I	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 12			
Operator	: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 95	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/28/2021	- 7/28/	2021	
Type of I	Excavator:	rack Excava	ator			1	Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)			
Excavato	or Model: (CAT 308			Date 7/28/21		Time	Water Dep NE	th	Stab.Ti	me
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identii	fication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
1_			0-7	Topsoil - Brown (10YF granular, slightly moist medium roots	R, 5/3) sandy loa t, loose, abrupt s	im, mo smooth	derate me boundar	edium y, common			
2				Yellowish brown (10YF subangular blocky, slig	R, 5/6) clay, 5% ghtly moist, friab	gravel le, clea	, moderat ar smooth	e medium boundary	2_		
3_	S1, T1	3	7-75						3		
4 _									4 _		
5									5_		
6 _ _ 7 _	S2 T2	7.5		Yellowish brown (10Yf granular, moist, friable distinct light gray (10Y	R, 5/8) loam, 10 ^e , clear smooth b R, 7/1) and stro	% grav bounda ng brov	el, moder ry, comm wn (7.5YF	rate medium on medium R, 4/6) mottles	6 		
8	02, 12	1.0		encountered from 75 i	nches to 137 inc	ches			8		
9 -			75-137						9		
10_									10		
							<u>.</u>				
12 -	S3, T3	12	137-144	granular, moist, friable	R, 5/4) sandy loa	am, mo	derate m	edium	12 -		
13 _	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13										
14 _											
See Log approximate been made than those	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time e present at t	ploration of es between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bed r the conditi measureme	escription and identification rock types. Actual transition ons stated. Fluctuations of ents were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratifica al. Wate ay occur	tion lines r level read due to oth	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-24	1

				TEST P	IT LOG						
GZN	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists East Ridge Development West Windsor, NJ East Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: TP-25 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja										
Logged	By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 12			
Contrac Operato	tor: Heritage r: Chris			Ground Surface Elev	. (ft.): 95.5	Dat	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/29/2021	- 7/29/2	2021	
Type of	Excavator:	Track Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			
-	au Madalı	CAT 200			Date		Time	Water Dep	oth	Stab.Ti	ime
Excavat	or wodel:	CAT 308			7729/21			NE			
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	le Description and	l Identii	fication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
-			0-6	Topsoil - Very dark bro	own (7.5YR, 2.5/	2) san	dy loam, '	weak fine	-		
1_				Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/6) sandy loa	im, 10	% gravel,	weak	1 1		
-				medium subangular bl	ocky, slightly mo	oist, fir	m, gradua	al smooth	-		
2 _	T1	2		boundary					2_		
3 -									3 -		
4 _									4 _		
									-		
5_			6-108						5_		
°									0_		
7 -	S1, T2	7							7 -	84	
-									-	0.1	
8_									8_		
9_				Brownish yellow (10YF	R, 6/8) sandy loa	m, 10 ⁰	% gravel,	moderate	9		
10	S2, T3	10		medium crumb, moist, 7/1) mottles encounter	firm, common fi ed from 108 incl	ne dis hes to	tinct light 144 inche	gray (7.5YR,	10		
-	, · ·		108-144								
11			100-144						11 _		
12 _				End of exploration at 1	2 feet.				12 -		
13				Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	not encountered	d					
14	4										
15											
SKS											
REMAR											
See Log approxim been ma than those	g Key for ex nate boundarie de at the tim	ploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample de soil and bedr the condition measureme	scription and identification of the scription of the scri	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	tratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level read due to ot	represent dings have her factors	Plate I	No.: 3-2	5
	- p. 550 m at										

				TEST P	IT LOG						
GZN	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists East Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: TP-26 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja pgged By: Nick Pytlowany Test Pit Location: See Plan Einal Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12										
Logged	By: Nick Pytl	owany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 12			
Operator	Chris			Ground Surface Elev	v. (ft.): 96	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/29/2021	- 7/29/2	2021	
Type of I	Excavator:	Frack Excava	ator				Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			
Excavate	or Model (CAT 308			Date		Time	Water De	oth	Stab.T	ime
Excuvat					1123121						
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	fication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
1_			0-6	Topsoil - Very dark bro slightly moist, loose, a roots	own (7.5YR, 2.5/ brupt smooth bo	/2) loar oundary	n, weak f y, commo	ne crumb, n medium	1		
2 _	S1, T1	2		Yellowish brown (10YF medium angular block	R, 5/6) clay loam y, slightly moist,	n, 5% g firm, c	jravel, mo clear wavy	derate / boundary	2		
3 _			6-54						3_		
4 _	4										
5_	5 Strong brown (7.5YR, 5/8) loam, 10% gravel, weak medium crumb, moist, firm, gradual smooth boundary, few fine faint light gray (7.5YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 54 inches to 96 inches										
6 _	S2, T2	6	54-96						6_	11.1	
/ 									8		
9_				Brownish yellow (10YF medium crumb, moist, (7.5YR, 7/1) mottles en	R, 6/8) sandy loa friable, commor ncountered from	am, 5% n fine c n 96 inc	o gravel, r distinct lig ches to 14	noderate ht gray 4 inches	9 -		
10 _	S3, T3	10	96-144						10 _		
11 _									11		
12 _				End of exploration at 1	2 feet				12		<u> </u>
13 _				Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	not encountere @ 54"	d					
14 - 14 -											
- 15											
P PT USDA; 8/30/2021 REMARKS											
See Log approxim been may than thos	See Log Key for exploration of sample description and identification procedures. Stratification lines represent approximate boundaries between soil and bedrock types. Actual transitions may be gradual. Water level readings have been made at the times and under the conditions stated. Fluctuations of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those present at the times the measurements were made.										

				TEST F	PIT LOG						
GZN	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists Beast Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ Beast Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ Beast Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant Restaurant PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja										
Logge	d By: Nick Py	tlowany		Test Pit Location: S	ee Plan	Fin	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 12			
Contra Operat	ctor: Heritage or: Chris	9		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 96	Dat	e Start - Fi	n ish: 7/29/2021	- 7/29/	2021	
Туре о	f Excavator:	Track Excava	ator	- I			Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			
Excov	tor Model:	CAT 308			Date		Time	Water Dep	th	Stab.Ti	me
Excave		CAT 500			1129121						
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Sam	ble Description and	d Identi	fication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
	-			Topsoil - Very dark browner	own (7.5YR, 2.5/	/2) san	dy loam, : t smooth l	5-10% gravel,	-		
1	-			fine roots		ubrup	i shiooth i		1_		
2	S1, T1	2	4.60	Yellowish brown (10YF moderate fine subang smooth boundary, few encountered from 54 i	R, 5/6) sandy cla ular blocky, sligh fine faint light g nches to 60 inch	ay loan ntly mo ray (7. nes	n, 10% gra ist, firm, g 5YR, 7/1)	avel, radual mottles	2		
3	-		4-00						3_		
4											
5	555										
6_	5 Brownish yellow (10YR, 6/8) sandy loam, 5% gravel, weak medium subangular blocky, moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, common fine distinct light gray (7.5YR, 7/1) mottles encountered from 60 5 6 S2, T2 6 inches to 102 inches 5										
7	- - - -		60-102						7		
8	-								8_		
9_	- - - - -			Strong brown (7.5YR, subangular blocky, mo (7.5YR, 7/1) mottles e	5/8) loam, 15% pist, firm, common ncountered from	gravel on mec n 102 ir	, moderate lium distir nches to 1	e medium ict light gray 44 inches	9_		
10	S3, T3	10							10 _		
11	- - - -		102-144						11		
12	-			End of exploration at 1	12 feet				12 -		
13 _				Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	e not encountere @ 00 54"	d					
14 _											
15											
See Lo	thee Log Key for exploration of sample description and identification procedures. Stratification lines represent Plate No. 3-27										
approxi been m than the	proximate boundaries between soil and bedrock types. Actual transitions may be gradual. Water level readings have een made at the times and under the conditions stated. Fluctuations of groundwater may occur due to other factors an those present at the times the measurements were made.										

					TEST P	PIT LOG						
	GZN	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists East Ridge Development Prop. Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, NJ EXPLORATION NO.: TP-28 SHEET: 1 of 1 PROJECT NO: 26.0092434.00 REVIEWED BY: Cory Karinja ogged By: Nick Pytlowany Test Pit Location: See Plan Einal Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12										
	Logge	ed By: Nick Pyt	lowany		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 12			
	Opera	tor: Chris	;		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 96	Dat	e Start - Fi	nish: 7/29/2021	- 7/29/	2021	
	Туре	of Excavator:	Track Excav	ator				Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)			
	Frcav	ator Model	CAT 308			Date		Time	Water Dep	th	Stab.Ti	ime
	LAGUV		041 000			1123121						
-	Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identi	fication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
	1	-		0-4	Topsoil - Very dark bro slightly moist, loose, a roots	own (7.5YR, 2.5/ brupt smooth bo	/2) loai oundar	n, weak f y, commo	ine crumb, n medium	1_		
	2	T1	2		Yellowish brown (10YF medium subangular bl	R, 5/6) sandy cla ocky, slightly mo	ay loan oist, fir	n, 5-10% g m, clear v	gravel, weak vavy boundary	2_		
	3			4-60						3 -		
	4	-								4 -		
	5	5 - Brownish yellow (10YR, 6/8) clay loam, 5% gravel, weak fine angular blocky, slightly moist, firm, clear smooth boundary, common										
	6	S2	6	60-90	fine distinct light gray (inches to 90 inches	(7.5YR, 7/1) mot	ttles er	ncountere	d from 60	6_		
	7				Brownish yellow (10YF	R, 6/8) sandy loa	am, 5%	gravel, r	noderate			
	9				medium crumb, moist, 7/1) mottles encounter	firm, common f red from 90 inch	ine dis ies to 1	tinct light 44 inches	gray (7.5YR, s	9		
	10	S3	10	90-144						10 _		
	11									11_		
	12									12		
	13				End of exploration at 1 Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	2 feet. not encountere @ 60"	d					
Md 1	14											
; 2:48:0	15											
T PIT USDA; 8/30/2021;	REMARKS	_										
3B - MTA TES	See Log Key for exploration of sample description and identification procedures. Stratification lines represent approximate boundaries between soil and bedrock types. Actual transitions may be gradual. Water level readings have been made at the times and under the conditions stated. Fluctuations of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those present at the times the measurements were made.											

				TEST BO	RING LOG							
GZN	MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists MTA, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc Engineers and Scientists Mest Windsor, NJ Cory Karinja Cory Karinja											
Logged	By: Ohm Pa	tel		Test Pit Location: S	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit I	Depth (ft.):	10			
Contra Operat	ctor: Gold Sta or: Darren/E	ır Brian		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94	Dat	e Start - Fir	1ish: 8/13/2	021 - 8/	13/2	2021	
Type o	f Excavator:	Geoprobe					Groundwa	ter Depth (f	t.)			
Execute	tor Model				Date		Time	Water	Depth		Stab.Ti	ime
Excave					0/13/21							
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Sam	ple Description and	d Identi	fication	1	De (f	oth t)	Water Content (%)	Remark
	-		0-9	9" Topsoil - Brown (10	YR, 4/3) silt loar	m, moo	derate me	dium crum	b,	-		
1_	-			Yellowish brown (10Yf	R, 5/4) silty clay,	mode	rate medi	um	1	-		
	S1	1.5		subangular blocky, slig	ghtly moist, firm,	comm	non mediu	m distinct	h	-	26.0	
2_	-			light gray (10YR, 7/2)	motiles encount	ered a		S 10 48 INCI	nes 2	2 -		
2	-		9-48							, -		
3_												
4	-								4	+ -		
-	-			Light gray (10YR, 7/1)	silt loam, mode	rate m	edium sub	angular	h	-		
5_	brown (5YR, 3/4) mottles encountered at 48 inches to 90 inches											
	-									-		
6_	-		48-90						6	\$ <u>-</u>		
7	- S2	6.5								,		
· -	-									-		
8	-			Brownish yellow (10YF	R, 6/8) loamy sa	nd, 25	% gravel,	weak	. 8	3 _		
		8.5		light brownish gray (10)YR, 6/2) mottles	s enco	untered at	190 inches	to	-		
9 _	-		90-120	120 inches					ę)		
	-									-		
10	-			End of exploration at 1	10 feet.				10) -		
11 _	-			Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	e not encountere I @ 16"	d						
	-											
12	-											
13	-											
	-											
14												
15												
Ś												
MAR												
REI												
	ng Key for or	noration of	sample do	scription and identification	on procedures 9	Stratifics	ation lines	renresent		•		
approxi	approximate boundaries between soil and bedrock types. Actual transitions may be gradual. Water level readings have been made at the times and under the conditions stated. Fluctuations of groundwater may occur due to other factors											
than the	ose present at	the times the	e measureme	ents were made.	-	-						

					TEST BOI	RING LOG						
G		MTA, a E GeoEnvin Engineers ar	Division of conmental ad Scientists	f GZA I, Inc	Quick Prop. Quick Chek Foo West Wi	k Chek d Store and Resta ndsor, NJ	urant	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEW	ATION NO.: B 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	-2 -34.00 urinja		
Lo	gged E	By: Ohm Pat	el		Test Pit Location: Se	ee Plan	Fina	al Test Pit	Depth (ft.): 10			
Op	erator	: Darren/B	rian		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94	Date	e Start - Fi	nish: 8/13/2021	- 8/13/	2021	
Ту	pe of E	Excavator: (Geoprobe				1 -	Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			
Ex	cavato	or Model:				Date 8/13/21		lime	Water Dep	oth	Stab.T	ime
De (f	pth ť)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	ication	1	Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
	-			0-6	6" Topsoil - Brown (10	YR, 4/3) silt loar	n, wea	k mediun	n crumb, dry,	-		
	1				Yellowish brown (10YF	R, 5/4) silty clay,	mode	ate medi	um	1_		
			_		subangular blocky, dry (10YR, 7/2) mottles er	/, friable, commo acountered at 12	on med	ium distir s to 45 inc	nct light gray ches			
	2	S1	2	6-45	(
	3									3_		
	4				Light grov $(10VD, 7/1)$	loom moderate	modiu	mauhan	aular blooky	4		
	-				dry, friable, common n	nedium distinct c	dark re	ddish bro	wn (5YR, 3/4)	-		
	5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 -											
	6			45-90						6		
	-	S2	6.5									
	7 _									7_		
	8_	S3	8		Brownish yellow (10YF slightly moist, friable, o	R, 6/8) loamy sai common medium	nd, wea n distin	ak mediu ct very pa	m granular, ale brown	8_	12.5	
	9 _			90-120			mone	5101201	lones	9		
	10									10		
	- - 11 _				End of exploration at 1 Groundwater seepage Soil mottling observed	I0 feet. not encountere I @ 12"	d					
	- 12 _					-						
	13 _											
5:49 PM												
0/2021; 2:4	15											
ROBE LOG USDA; 8/30 REMARKS												
A DEC See app bee that	See Log Key for exploration of sample description and identification procedures. Stratification lines represent approximate boundaries between soil and bedrock types. Actual transitions may be gradual. Water level readings have been made at the times and under the conditions stated. Fluctuations of groundwater may occur due to other factors than those present at the times the measurements were made.											



USDA SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM





Melick-Tully & Associates	Client: ER/UDC West Windsor		
a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.	Project: Proposed Quick Chek Food Store and Restaut	rant	
South Bound Brook, NJ	Project No.: 26.0092434.00	Plate	6-2

Summary of Laboratory Tube Permeameter Permeability Test Results ER/UDC West Windsor LLC - West Windsor, NJ 26.0092434.00

Test Pit No.	Depth (ft)	Permeability Rate (in/hr)	USDA Visual Soil Classification
TP-1	5.5	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-1	9	0.91	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-2	3	3.5	Sandy Loam
TP-2	6	11.1	Sandy Loam
TP-2	10	0.44	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-3	4	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-3	7	17.5	Loamy Sand
TP-4	4	<0.06	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-4	9	<0.06	Fine Sandy Loam
TP-5	1	<0.06	Clay
TP-5	4	1.0	Sandy Loam
TP-6	4	4.0	Sandy Loam
TP-6	6	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-7	4	2.8	Sandy Loam
TP-7	8	4.0	Sandy Loam
TP-8	2.5	<0.06	Clay Loam
TP-8	6.5	16.6	Loamy Sand
TP-9	3	<0.06	Silty Clay Loam
TP-9	6	14.9	Sandy Loam
TP-10	6	1.9	Loam
TP-10	10	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-11	6.5	0.30	Loam
TP-11	9	2.2	Sandy Loam
TP-12	3	<0.06	Clay
TP-12	7.5	1.4	Sandy Loam
TP-13	3	<0.06	Clay
TP-13	6.5	1.5	Sandy Loam
TP-14	3	<0.06	Silty Clay Loam
TP-14	6	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-15	3	<0.06	Clay Loam
TP-15	6.5	16.3	Sandy Loam
TP-16	1.5	<0.06	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-16	3	>20	Sand
TP-17	3.5	<0.06	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-17	5	2.0	Sandy Loam
TP-18	3	<0.06	Loam
TP-18	6	6.4	Sandy Loam
TP-19	1.5	0.52	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-19	4	17.5	Sandy Loam

Summary of Laboratory Tube Permeameter Permeability Test Results ER/UDC West Windsor LLC - West Windsor, NJ 26.0092434.00

Test Pit No.	Depth (ft)	Permeability Rate (in/hr)	USDA Visual Soil Classification
TP-20	2	<0.06	Clay
TP-20	4.5	>20	Sandy Loam
TP-21	6	2.6	Sandy Loam
TP-21	10	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-22	6	1.9	Loam
TP-22	10	>20	Loamy Sand
TP-23	2	<0.06	Clay Loam
TP-23	5	3.6	Sandy Loam
TP-24	3	<0.06	Clay
TP-24	7.5	7.7	Sandy Loam
TP-25	2	1.0	Sandy Loam
TP-25	7	1.5	Sandy Loam
TP-25	10	2.2	Sandy Loam
TP-26	2	<0.06	Clay Loam
TP-26	6	1.0	Sandy Loam
TP-27	6	5.5	Sandy Loam
TP-27	10	10.2	Loamy Sand
TP-28	2	0.74	Sandy Clay Loam
TP-28	10	7.1	Sandy Loam

Limitations

A. Subsurface Information

<u>Locations</u>: The locations of the explorations were approximately determined by tape measurement from existing site features. Elevations of the explorations were approximately determined by interpolation between contours shown on topographic plans provided to us. The locations and elevations of the explorations should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used.

<u>Interface of Strata</u>: The stratification lines shown on the individual logs of the subsurface explorations represent the approximate boundaries between soil types, and the transitions may be gradual.

<u>Field Logs/Final Logs:</u> A field log was prepared for each exploration by a member of our staff. The field log contains factual information and interpretation of the soil conditions between samples. Our recommendations are based on the final logs as shown in this report and the information contained therein, and not on the field logs. The final logs represent our interpretation of the contents of the field logs, and the results of the laboratory observations and/or tests of the field samples.

<u>Water Levels</u>: Water level readings have been made in the explorations at times and under conditions stated on the individual logs. These data have been reviewed and interpretations made in the text of this report. However, it must be noted that fluctuations in the level of the groundwater will occur due to variations in rainfall, temperature, and other factors.

<u>Pollution/Contamination</u>: Unless specifically indicated to the contrary in this report, the scope of our services was limited only to investigation and evaluation of the geotechnical engineering aspects of the site conditions, and did not include any consideration of potential site pollution or contamination resulting from the presence of chemicals, metals, radioactive elements, etc. This report offers no facts or opinions related to potential pollution/contamination of the site.

<u>Environmental Considerations</u>: Unless specifically indicated to the contrary in this report, this report does not address environmental considerations which may affect the site development, e.g., wetlands determinations, flora and fauna, wildlife, etc. The findings and recommendations of this report are not intended to supersede any environmental conditions which should be reflected in the site planning.

B. Applicability of Report

This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted soils engineering practices for the exclusive use of ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC for specific application to the design of the proposed stormwater management facilities. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

This report may be referred to in the project specifications for general information purposes only, but should not be used as the technical specifications for the work, as it was prepared for design purposes exclusively.

C. Reinterpretation of Recommendations

<u>Change in Location or Nature of Facilities:</u> In the event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the facilities are planned, the findings and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and the findings of this report modified or verified in writing.

<u>Changed Conditions During Construction</u>: The analyses and recommendations submitted in this report are based in part upon the data obtained from 2 widely-spaced test borings and 28 test pit excavations performed for this study. The nature and extent of variations between the explorations may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, it will be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of this report.

<u>Changes in State-of-the-Art:</u> The findings and recommendations contained in this report are based upon the applicable standards of our profession at the time this report was prepared.

D. Use of Report by Prospective Bidders

This soil engineering report was prepared for the project by Melick-Tully and Associates, a Division of GZA GeoEnvironmental Inc. (MTA) for design purposes and may not be sufficient to prepare an accurate bid. Contractors utilizing the information in the report should do so with the express understanding that its scope was developed to address design considerations. Prospective bidders should obtain the owner's permission to perform whatever additional explorations or data gathering they deem necessary to prepare their bid accurately.

E. Construction Observation

We recommend that MTA be retained to provide on-site soils engineering services during the earthwork construction phase of the work. This is to observe compliance with the design concepts and to allow changes in the event that subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated prior to the start of construction.



Proactive by Design



SUPPLEMENTAL STORMWATER INVESTIGATION

PROPOSED QUICK CHEK FOOD STORE AND RESTAURANT ER/UDC WEST WINDSOR, LLC WEST WINDSOR, MERCER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

July 12, 2022 File No. 26.0092434.02

PREPARED FOR:

ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC P. O. Box 391 Williston, Vermont

GZA GeoEnvironmental Inc.

117 Canal Road | South Bound Brook, NJ 08880 732-356-340

32 Offices Nationwide www.gza.com

Copyright© 2022 GZA GeoEnvironmental Inc.





Known for excellence. Built on trust.

ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL WATER CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

117 Canal Road South Bound Brook, NJ T: 732.356.3400 www.gza.com July 12, 2022 File No. 26.0092434.02

ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC P. O. Box 391 Williston, Vermont 05493

Attention: Mr. Len Kuhn

Report Supplemental Stormwater Investigation Proposed Quick Chek Food Store and Restaurant West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC

Introduction

This report presents the results of a supplemental stormwater investigation completed by GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc. (GZA) at the site of a proposed Quick Chek food store and a proposed restaurant which may be constructed in West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey. The site is located adjacent to and west of Southfield Road between Princeton-Hightstown Road and McGetrick Lane, as shown on the attached Site Location Map, Plate 1. Our work was performed in general conformance with our proposal dated May 13, 2022.

Proposed Construction

Plans provided to us indicate that the development would consist of two facilities and associated site improvements. The eastern half of the property would be developed by a Quick Chek food store approximately 5,869 square feet in plan area. A canopy with eight fuel dispensers would be located to the north of the food store area and four underground storage tanks would be located north of the canopy. On-site paved parking and roadway areas would be constructed to service the proposed facility.



Adjacent to and west of the Quick Chek development, a restaurant with drive-thru approximately 4,541 square feet in plan area would be constructed. The building would be located on the eastern side of this portion of the parcel with a drive-thru lane south and east of the building. On-site paved parking and roadway areas would be constructed north and west of the proposed restaurant.

On-site stormwater facilities are planned for the development consisting of above ground basins and porous asphalt and concrete areas.

It is our understanding that a portion of McGetrick Lane will be realigned through the development and enter Southfield Road about 100 feet north of its current position. As a result of this realignment, additional stormwater testing consisting of 4 test pits was requested by Bohler in unexplored areas being considered for stormwater facilities.

Purpose and Scope of Work

The purpose of our services was to:

- 1) explore the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions via test pits in four accessible locations adjacent to McGetrick Lane and within the proposed development;
- 2) collect tube samples of the soil layers encountered from all proposed test pit locations for laboratory tube permeameter permeability testing; and
- 3) prepare a brief summary report of our findings for use by Bohler in their evaluation of the stormwater improvements.

To accomplish these purposes, a subsurface exploration program consisting of 4 supervised test pit excavations was performed at the site along the McGetrick Lane roadway realignment per Bohler's request. The test pits were advanced using a track-mounted excavator (CAT 308) and extended to depths of approximately 12 feet



below existing surface grades. The approximate locations of the test pits performed for this investigation are shown in relation to proposed site features on the Plot Plan, Plate 2.

All field work was performed under the direct technical supervision of a geologist from GZA. Our representative located the explorations in the field, maintained continuous logs of the explorations as the work proceeded, obtained bulk samples of the materials encountered in the test pits suitable for identification purposes, and obtained relatively undisturbed tube samples from the test pits for laboratory tube permeameter permeability testing.

Detailed descriptions of the encountered subsurface conditions are presented on the Test Pit Logs, Plates 3A through 3D. The soils observed during the test pit excavations were visually classified in general accordance with the procedures of the United States Department of Agriculture Soil Classification System (USDA) described on Plate 4.

The following discussion of our findings are subject to the Limitations attached as an Appendix to this report.

Findings

Topsoil was encountered at the surface in the test pits ranging from about 12 to 14 inches in thickness. In Test Pit 3, the topsoil was underlain by clay loam fill which extended to a depth of approximately 3 feet below grade. The remaining test pits encountered native soils below the topsoil. The topsoil and fill materials were underlain by interlayered loamy sand, sandy loam, sandy clay loam, sandy clay, and clay soils. The materials containing more clay were observed closer to the intersection of McGetrick Lane and Southfield Road.

Groundwater seepage was observed in the test pits at depths ranging from approximately 10 to 11.5 feet below grade, corresponding to Elevations of +82.5 feet and +83.5 feet. Mottling, indicative of seasonally saturated conditions, was observed in the test pits at depths ranging from 36 to 72 inches below grade.



July 12, 2022 File No. 26.0092434.02 ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC – West Windsor, NJ Page 4

Laboratory tube permeameter permeability tests were performed on relatively undisturbed tube samples of the subsoils collected in each test pit. The permeability tests indicate that the sandy subsoils (loamy sand and sandy loam) generally exhibited permeabilities of 1 inch per hour to greater than 19.1 inches per hour, while the silty and clayey soils (sandy clay loam, loam, clay loam, and clay) exhibited permeability of less than 1 inch per hour. The laboratory tube permeameter tests are shown on the individual test pit logs.

Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this information.

The following Plates and Appendix are attached and complete this report:

Plate 1 – Site Location Map Plate 2 – Plot Plan Plates 3A through 3D– Test Pit Logs Plate 4 – USDA Soil Textural Triangle Appendix – Limitations

Respectfully submitted,

GZA GeoEnvironmental, Inc.

Cory S. Karinja, P.E. Project Manager

unpol P-

Christopher P. Tansey, P.E. Consultant/Reviewer

CSK:MRD/ck (1 copy submitted via e-mail)

Marliko

Mark R. Denno, P.E. Principal





GZN	GZA Ge Engineers	eoEnvironn and Scientists	nental, Inc.	ER/UDC West Prop. Quick Che West V	Windsor, LLC k and Restaurant Vindsor		EXPLOR/ SHEET: PROJEC ⁻ REVIEWE	ATION NO.: T 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-101 134.02 arinja		
Logged	By: Jeremy	Weremeichik	(Test Pit Location: See PlanFinal Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12.3					3		
Operato	tor: Heritag r: Travis	e Excavating		Ground Surface Elev	Ground Surface Elev. (ft.): 92.5 Date Start - Finish: 6/15/2022 - 6/15/202						
Type of	Excavator:	Rubber-tire E	Backhoe	·		1 -	Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)			
Excavat	or Model:	John Deere 4	410G		Date 6/15/22		lime	Water Dep 10	oth	Stab.Time	
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identif	ication		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
1_			0-12	Topsoil - Brown (10YF crumb, slightly moist, f	R, 4/3) clay loam riable, clear smo	, 20% (ooth bo	gravel, we oundary, r	eak fine nany fine	1_		
2	S1, T1	1.5	12-20	roots Yellowish brown (10YF	R 5/4) sandy cla	v loam	20% gra	avel weak			
3	S2, T2	3		medium crumb, moist, roots	friable, abrupt s	smooth	boundary	/, few fine	3		
4			20-54	Strong brown (7.5YR, moderate medium gra	5/8) gravelly sar nular, moist, firm	ndy loa n, abru	m, 30% g pt smooth	ravel, i boundary	4		
5 6	S3, T3	5	54-84	Light yellowish brown moderate medium sub boundary, common me encountered from 72 i	(10YR, 6/4) sand angular blocky, edium distinct gr nches to 84 inch	dy loan moist, ay (10 bes	n, 20% gr firm, grac YR, 6/1) r	avel, lual smooth nottles	5		
7 8 9	04 74	10	84-148	Brownish yellow (10YR, 6/6) loamy sand, 20% gravel, 5% cobbles, moderate medium granular, moist, firm, common medium distinct gray (10YR, 6/1) and reddish brown (2.5YR, 4/4) mottles 8 encountered throughout layer 9							
10 11 12	54, 14	10			0.0 feet				10 11 12		
13 14 15				End of exploration at 1 Moderate groundwate Estimated seasonal hi Tube Permeability Tes 19.1 in/hr @ 3' 1.6 in/hr @ 5'	2.3 feet. r seepage encou gh groundwater st Results:	untered observ	l @ 10' /ed @ 72'				
17 - 17 - 18 -				14.2 in/hr @ 10'							
19											
REMARKS		1	ı I								
See Log approxim been ma	Key for e ate bounda de at the tir	exploration of ries between s nes and unde	sample des soil and bedro r the conditio	cription and identification ck types. Actual transition ns stated. Fluctuations on ts were made	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	tratifica I. Wate y occur	tion lines r level read due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3A	

Г

GZN	GZA Geo Engineers a	Environn nd Scientists	nental, Inc.	TEST P ER/UDC West Prop. Quick Che West V	PIT LOG t Windsor, LLC k and Restaurant Vindsor	:	EXPLOR/ SHEET: PROJECT REVIEWE	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 F NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-102 34.02 Irinja		
Logged I Contract	By: Jeremy t or: Heritage	Weremeichik Excavating	ζ.	Test Pit Location: Se	Final Test Pit Location: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12						
Operator	r: Travis	5		Ground Surface Elev	Ground Surface Elev. (ft.): 93.5 Date Start - Finish: 6/15/2022 - 6/15/						
Type of I	Excavator:	Rubber-tire E	Backhoe		Data	(Groundwa	ter Depth (ft.)	4	04-1- T	
Excavato	or Model:	John Deere 4	410G		6/15/22		inte	11	epth Stab.T		ime
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	d Identifi	cation		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
1			0-14	Topsoil - Brown (10YR crumb, moist, friable, a	R, 4/3) clay loam abrupt smooth b	, 10% g oundar	gravel, we y, many f	eak fine ine roots	1_		
2	S1, T1	2	14-36 f	Light olive brown (2.5) medium subangular bl few medium roots	7, 5/3) sandy cla ocky, moist, firm	ay loam, n, gradu	, 5% grav ıal smoot	el, moderate h boundary,	2		
4	S2, T2	4	36-84	Strong brown (7.5YR, medium granular, mois medium distinct gray (nches to 84 inches	5/8) sandy loam st, firm, clear sm 10YR, 6/1) mottl	n, 30% g nooth bo les enco	gravel, m oundary, ountered	oderate common from 60	4 5 6 7		
8	S3, T3	7.5	84-96 r t	Light yellowish brown moderate medium sub boundary, common me	(10YR, 6/4) san bangular blocky, edium distinct gr	dy loan moist, f ray (10)	n, 20% gi friable, cl /R, 6/1) a	ravel, ear smooth and strong	8_		
9 - 10 - 11 -			96-144 (t	brown (7.5YR, 5/8) mottles encountered throughout layer 9 Brownish yellow (10YR, 6/6) loamy sand, 5% gravel, moderate 10 medium granular, moist, firm, common medium faint strong brown 10 (7.5YR, 4/6) and reddish brown (2.5YR, 4/4) mottles encountered 11							
12				End of exploration at 1 Slight groundwater end Estimated seasonal hi Note: Sidewall collaps Tube Permeability Tes 0.52 in/hr @ 2' 1.8 in/hr @ 4' 7.5 in/hr @ 7.5'	l2 feet. countered @ 11 gh groundwater ing below 11' st Results:	' observ	ed @ 60'		12 -		
KEMAKKS											
See Log approxim been mad than thos	Key for ex ate boundari de at the tim e present at	xploration of es between s es and unde the times the	sample desisoil and bedroo the condition measuremen	cription and identificatic ck types. Actual transition ns stated. Fluctuations o its were made.	on procedures. S ns may be gradua f groundwater ma	Stratificat al. Water ay occur	tion lines level read due to oth	represent lings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3B	I

Г

	TEST PIT LOG									
GZN	GZA Geo Engineers an	Environn d Scientists	nental, Inc	ER/UDC West Prop. Quick Che West V	: Windsor, LLC k and Restaurant Vindsor	EXPLOR SHEET: PROJEC REVIEW	ATION NO.: TF 1 of 1 T NO: 26.00924 ED BY: Cory Ka	P-103 34.02 rinja		
Logged I	By: Jeremy W	Veremeichik		Test Pit Location: Se	Pit Location: See Plan Final Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12					
Operator	: Travis	Excavaling		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.): 94	Date Start - Fi	nish: 6/15/2022	- 6/15/	2022	
Type of I	Excavator: F	Rubber-tire B	Backhoe	1		Groundwa	ater Depth (ft.)			
Excavato	or Model: J	ohn Deere 4	10G		Date 6/15/22	Time	Water Dep	th	Stab.T	ime
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Samp	ble Description and	Identification		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark
1 1 -			0-14	Topsoil - Dark brown (medium subangular bl many fine roots	10YR, 3/3) clay, ocky, moist, firm	10% gravel, mo , abrupt wavy b	oderate oundary,	1		
2 3	S1, T1	2.5	14-42	Brown (10YR, 4/3) clay blocky, moist, friable, a	y, 20% gravel, m abrupt smooth bo	oderate mediu oundary	m subangular	2		
4 5 6	S2, T2	5	42-84	Strong brown (7.5YR, medium subangular bl common coarse distine throughout layer	8/8) sandy clay l ocky, moist, firm ct gray (10YR, 6,	oam, 30% grav , gradual smoo /1) mottles enco	el, moderate th boundary, buntered	4 5 6		
7	S3, T3	8	84-144	Light olive brown (2.5) medium granular, friab mottles encountered th	(, 5/3) sandy loa ble, common meo nroughout layer	m, 30% gravel, dium distinct gra	moderate ay (10YR, 6/1)	7 8 9 10 11		
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 20				End of exploration at 1 Slight groundwater see Estimated seasonal hi Tube Permeability Tes 0.48 in/hr @ 2.5' 0.52 in/hr @ 5' 3.3 in/hr @ 8'	2 feet. epage encounter gh groundwater st Results:	red @ 11' observed @ 42	"	12		
See Log approximi been mar	Key for ex ate boundarie le at the time	ploration of s between s	sample des	scription and identification bock types. Actual transition ins stated Fluctuations of	on procedures. S ns may be gradual g groundwater may	tratification lines I. Water level rea	represent dings have her factors	Plate	No.: 3C	

	TEST PIT LOG										
GZN	GZA Geo Engineers an	Environn d Scientists	nental, Inc	ER/UDC West Prop. Quick Che West V	t Windsor, LLC k and Restaurant Windsor	EXPLO SHEET PROJE REVIEV	RATION NO.: TI : 1 of 1 CT NO: 26.00924 WED BY: Cory Ka	P-104 34.02 urinja			
Logged I	By: Jeremy V	Veremeichik		Test Pit Location: S	Test Pit Location: See PlanFinal Test Pit Depth (ft.): 12						
Contract Operator	or: Heritage : Travis	Excavating		Ground Surface Elev	/. (ft.) : 95	Date Start - I	Finish: 6/15/2022	- 6/15/	/15/2022		
Type of I	Excavator: F	Rubber-tire E	Backhoe			Groundv	water Depth (ft.)				
F ire a verte	w Mandalı		1400		Date	Time	Water Dep	oth	Stab.T	ime	
Excaval	n wouer.	onn Deere -	100		0/10/22		11.5				
Depth (ft)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Stratum Depth (in.)	Sam	ble Description and	Identification		Depth (ft)	Water Content (%)	Remark	
1_			0-14	Topsoil/Fill - Very dark cobbles, moderate me	k brown (10YR, 2 edium subangular any many madiur	/2) silty clay, 4 r blocky, slight	40% gravel, 5% tly moist, firm,	1_			
23	S1, T1	2	14-36	Fill - Brown (10YR, 4/3 subangular blocky, slig few medium roots	3) clay loam, 10% ghtly moist, firm,	6 gravel, mode gradual irregu	erate medium Ilar boundary,	2 _			
4	S2, T2	4	36-72	Dark yellowish brown moderate medium cru common fine faint gra mottles encountered t	(10YR, 4/6) sand mb, moist, friable y (10YR, 6/1) and hroughout layer	ly loam, 15% (e, clear smootl d strong browr	gravel, h boundary, n (7.5YR, 4/6)	4 -			
6 - 7 - 8 -	S3, T3	8	72-108	Dark yellowish brown cobbles, moderate me smooth boundary, few mottles encountered th	(10YR, 4/4) sand dium subangular fine distinct stro hroughout layer	ly clay, 50% g r blocky, moist ng brown (7.5	ravel, 5% t, friable, abrupt YR, 4/6)	_ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _			
9 - 10 - 11 -			108-144	Pale brown (2.5Y, 8/3) granular, moist, firm, c 4/6) mottles encounter) loamy sand, 10' common fine disti red throughout la	% gravel, mod inct strong bro iyer	derate medium own (7.5YR,	9 - 10 - 11 -			
12 -				End of exploration at 1	12 feet.			12 -			
13				Slight groundwater see Estimated seasonal hi Tube Permeability Tes	epage encounter gh groundwater st Results:	red @ 11.5' observed @ 3	6"				
15 _ 16 _				0.48 in/hr @ 2' 1.0 in/hr @ 4' 0.59 in/hr @ 8'							
17											
18 - 											
9L:0Z											
REMARKS								1	1		
See Log approxima been mad than thos	Key for ex ate boundarie de at the time e present at t	ploration of s between s es and unde he times the	sample de soil and bedr r the condition measureme	scription and identificatio ock types. Actual transitio ons stated. Fluctuations o nts were made.	on procedures. Si ns may be gradual f groundwater may	tratification line . Water level re y occur due to o	es represent adings have other factors	Plate	No.: 3D		



USDA SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

Limitations

A. Subsurface Information

<u>Locations</u>: The locations of the explorations were approximately determined by tape measurement from existing site features. Elevations of the explorations were approximately determined by interpolation between contours shown on topographic plans provided to us. The locations and elevations of the explorations should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used.

<u>Interface of Strata</u>: The stratification lines shown on the individual logs of the subsurface explorations represent the approximate boundaries between soil types, and the transitions may be gradual.

<u>Field Logs/Final Logs:</u> A field log was prepared for each exploration by a member of our staff. The field log contains factual information and interpretation of the soil conditions between samples. Our recommendations are based on the final logs as shown in this report and the information contained therein, and not on the field logs. The final logs represent our interpretation of the contents of the field logs, and the results of the laboratory observations and/or tests of the field samples.

<u>Water Levels</u>: Water level readings have been made in the explorations at times and under conditions stated on the individual logs. These data have been reviewed and interpretations made in the text of this report. However, it must be noted that fluctuations in the level of the groundwater will occur due to variations in rainfall, temperature, and other factors.

<u>Pollution/Contamination</u>: Unless specifically indicated to the contrary in this report, the scope of our services was limited only to investigation and evaluation of the geotechnical engineering aspects of the site conditions, and did not include any consideration of potential site pollution or contamination resulting from the presence of chemicals, metals, radioactive elements, etc. This report offers no facts or opinions related to potential pollution/contamination of the site.

<u>Environmental Considerations</u>: Unless specifically indicated to the contrary in this report, this report does not address environmental considerations which may affect the site development, e.g., wetlands determinations, flora and fauna, wildlife, etc. The conclusions and recommendations of this report are not intended to supersede any environmental conditions which should be reflected in the site planning.

B. Applicability of Report

This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted soils engineering practices for the exclusive use of ER/UDC West Windsor, LLC for specific application to the design of the proposed Quick Chek and restaurant. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made.

This report may be referred to in the project specifications for general information purposes only, but should not be used as the technical specifications for the work, as it was prepared for design purposes exclusively.

C. Reinterpretation of Recommendations

<u>Change in Location or Nature of Facilities:</u> In the event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the facilities are planned, the findings and/or recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and findings of this report modified or verified in writing.

<u>Changed Conditions During Construction</u>: The findings and/or recommendations submitted in this report are based in part upon the data obtained from 4 widely-spaced test pit excavations performed for this study. The nature and extent of variations between the explorations may not become evident until construction. If variations then appear evident, it will be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of this report.

<u>Changes in State-of-the-Art:</u> The findings contained in this report are based upon the applicable standards of our profession at the time this report was prepared.

D. Use of Report by Prospective Bidders

This soil investigation report was prepared for the project by GZA GeoEnvironmental Inc. (GZA) for stormwater design purposes and may not be sufficient to prepare an accurate bid. Contractors utilizing the information in the report should do so with the express understanding that its scope was developed to address stormwater design considerations. Prospective bidders should obtain the owner's permission to perform whatever additional explorations or data gathering they deem necessary to prepare their bid accurately.

E. Construction Observation

We recommend that GZA be retained to provide on-site soils engineering services during the earthwork construction and foundation phases of the work. This is to observe compliance with the design concepts and to allow changes in the event that subsurface conditions differ from those anticipated prior to the start of construction.

D. MAPS

- Tax Map
- Aerial Map
- Soil Map
- State Planning Area Map
- USGS Map
- HUC 14 Watershed Map
- FEMA FIRM Map
- Drainage Area Maps
 - Existing Drainage Area Map
 - Proposed Drainage Area Map
 - Inlet Drainage Area Map
- Required TSS Removal Map
- Proposed TSS Removal Map



East Ridge NJ, LLC						
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	& 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey				
BE	NJ# J190844					
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BOHLER				
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts					



Aerial Map

Source: NJ GeoWeb

Date Access: 02/27/2020

East Ridge NJ, LLC						
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	& 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey				
BEN	JJ# J190844					
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BOHLER //				
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts					


Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
SacC Sassafras sandy loam, 5 to 10 percent slopes, Northern Coastal Plain		2.8	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		2.8	100.0%

Soils Map

Source: NRCS Web Soil Survey, 2012

East Ridge NJ, LLC				
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	d & 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey		
BE	ENJ# J190844			
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BOHLER //		
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts			



State Planning Area Map

Source: NJ GeoWeb

East Ridge NJ, LLC				
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	d & 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey		
BE	NJ# J190844			
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BOHLER //		
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts			



East Ridge NJ, LLC				
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	d & 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey		
BE	ENJ# J190844			
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BOHLER //		
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts			



Source: NJ GeoWeb

East Ridge NJ, LLC				
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	d & 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey		
BENJ# J190844				
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BUHLER		
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts			



FEMA Flood Map

Source: FEMA FIRM Map #34021C0162F, Date 07/20/2016

East Ridge NJ, LLC				
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	d & 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey		
BE	ENJ# J190844			
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	KOHLER //		
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts			



Delaware Raritan Canal Commission Review Zone Map

Source: NJ GeoWeb

East Ridge NJ, LLC				
332 Hightstown Road Block 47; Lots 2-6	d & 125 Southfield Road	Township of West Windsor, Mercer County, New Jersey		
BENJ# J190844				
Prepared by: gg	Date: 2/27/2020	BOHLER //		
Checked by: vm	Scale: nts			





	DRAINAGE AREA #1	
YEAR FLOW (CFS)	0.96 CFS	
-YEAR FLOW (CFS)	1.71 CFS	
0-YEAR FLOW (CFS)	3.39 CFS	
	EXISTING DRAINAGE AREA #2	
YEAR FLOW (CFS)	0.96 CFS	
-YEAR FLOW (CFS)	2 58 CES	

	BIORETENTION BASIN 1	BIORETENTION BASIN 2	POROUS PAVER AREA #1	POROUS PAVER AREA #2	POROUS PAVER AREA #3	POROUS PAVER AREA #4	POROUS PAVER AREA #5	POROUS PAVER AREA #6	POROUS PAVEMENT #7
OTAL DRAINAGE REA	1.683 AC.	0.340 AC.	0.163 AC.	0.237 AC.	0.271 AC.	0.085 AC.	0.230 AC.	0.180 AC.	0.373 AC.
IPERVIOUS REA	0.928 AC.	0.297 AC.	0.147 AC.	0.189 AC.	0.262 AC.	0.081 AC.	0.219 AC.	0.176 AC.	0.257 AC.
ERVIOUS AREA	0.755 AC.	0.043 AC.	0.016 AC.	0.044 AC.	0.009 AC.	0.009 AC.	0.011 AC.	0.004 AC.	0.116 AC.
OTOR VEHICLE URFACE	0.842 AC.	0 AC.	0.147 AC.	0.127 AC.	0.073 AC.	0.081 AC.	0.219 AC.	0.132 AC.	0.209 AC.
AD 1983 STATE LANE NORTHING	468,735	468,831	468,614	468,748	468,686	468,824	468,983	468,945	468,641
AD 1983 STATE LAN EASTING	530,658	530,754	530,819	530,845	530,783	530,690	530,524	530,607	530,645







9U190844\CAD\DRAWINGS\PLAN SETS\WATER QUALITY MAPS\U190844-WQDM-2A----->LAYOUT: C-