$B \cup R G I S$
associates, inc.

## Memorandum

To: West Windsor Zoning Board of Adjustment West Windsor Division of Land Use
From: David Novak PP, AICP
Subject: Pramod Surendran
d(4) Floor Area Ratio Variance
Block 91 Lot 16
31 Pierson Avenue
Date: June 14, 2023
BA\#: 4028.07
WWT\#: 23-02

## Introduction

The following memorandum is intended to supplement our prior planning review memorandum dated May 18, 2023.

The applicant, Pramod Surendran, has submitted an application seeking "d(4)" floor area ratio variance relief to construct an addition to an existing single-family dwelling. The site, which is identified by municipal tax records as Block 91 Lot 16, is located at 31 Pierson Avenue in the R-20 Low-/Medium Density Residential District.

In addition to the application forms and application checklists, the following has been submitted for review.

1. Plan prepared by JZ Architectural and Interior Design, dated March 17, 2023 (last revised June 12, 2023).
2. Property Detail Report prepared by Property Records.

## Revisions to Proposed Layout

The applicant's prior plans (dated March 17, 2023) had proposed an addition which would have increased the floor area of the existing dwelling from approximately 1,831 square feet to 4,123 square feet, thus resulting in a floor area ratio (FAR) of $20.6 \%$. Included in this addition were five bedrooms (inclusive of a guest bedroom) as well as a great room, family room, mud room, kitchen, pantry, yoga room, office playroom, and five bathrooms.

The applicant has since revised the plans to substantially decrease the size of the proposed addition. As a result of this revision, the proposed dwelling's floor area has been reduced from 4,123 square feet to 3,051 square feet, thus resulting in a FAR of $15.3 \%$. The following is noted:

* The reduction in proposed floor area is largely due to a reduction of the proposed great room which, in turn, has led to a reduced proposed building footprint. Previously, the proposed great room was to have an area of approximately 797 square feet ( $35^{\prime} 7^{\prime \prime}$ by $22^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ ). It has since been reduced in size to 281 square feet ( $20^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ by $13^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ ). The applicant has also proposed a more efficient interior first floor layout which is to contain the aforementioned great room, a dining room, kitchen, mud room, family room, guest bedroom, bathroom, and garage. Altogether, the proposed first floor area has been reduced from 2,185 square feet to 1,656 square feet.
* As a result of the reduced first floor area and building footprint, the proposed second floor area has also been reduced in size. It is now proposed to contain three bedrooms, a guest room/playroom, and two bathrooms. Altogether, the proposed second floor area has been reduced from 1,938 square feet to 1,395 square feet.
* The cellar floor has also been reduced in size as a result of the proposed building footprint revision, from 2,031 square feet to 1,479 square feet.

The following table summarizes the previously proposed floor area and the floor area of the revised proposal. As a result of these changes, the massing of the façade has also been reduced.

Table 1 Proposal Comparison

| Level | Previously Proposed | Revised Proposal |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cellar Level $^{1}$ | 2,031 | 1,479 |
| First Floor | 2,185 | 1,656 |
| Second Floor | 1,938 | 1,395 |
| Total | 4,123 | 3,051 |

${ }^{1}$ Was not included in applicant's FAR calculation

## Zoning

The site is located in the R-20 Residence District. The following table outlines the zoning regulations for this district and the applicant's compliance with those standards.

Table 2: $R$-1A Resident District Bulk Standards

| Area \& Bulk Regulations | R-20 | Existing | Proposed | Section |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Lot Area (sf) | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 200-173.2A. |
| Minimum Lot Width (ft) | 100 | 100 | 100 | $200-173.2 \mathrm{~B}$. |
| Minimum Front Yard (ft) | 40 | 49 | 49 | $200-173.2 \mathrm{C} .(1)$ |
| Minimum Rear Yard (ft) | 30 | 100 | 99 | $200-173.2 \mathrm{C} .(2)$ |
| Minimum Side Yard (ft) | 15 | (ex) $6 / 34.1$ | (ex) $6 / 34.1$ | $200-173.2 \mathrm{C} .(3)$ |
| Maximum FAR (\%) | 13 | 7.6 | (V) 15.3 | $200-173.2 \mathrm{D}$. |
| Max. Improvement Cov. (\%) | 20 | 18 | 16.2 | $200-173.2 \mathrm{E}$. |
| Max. Building Height (st/ft) | $2.5 / 35$ | $2 / 13.5$ | $2 / 26$ | $200-173.2 \mathrm{~F}$. |

(ex) Nonconforming Condition; (V) Variance; (app) Approved * estimate

## Variance Relief

The applicant has requested variance relief pursuant to NJSA 40:55D-70.d(4) of the MLUL for exceeding the permitted floor area ratio (FAR). The R-20 District establishes a maximum FAR of thirteen percent which equates to approximately 2,600 square feet of floor area for the subject site. The applicant has proposed a FAR of $15.3 \%$ which equates to approximately 3,051 square feet of floor area.

Randolph Town Center v. Township of Randolph, 324 N.J Super at. 416 provides guidance for Boards of Adjustments in evaluating applications requesting "d(4)" variance relief. In that decision, the Court held that in establishing special reasons for a FAR variance, boards should look to Coventry Square v. Westwood Zoning Board of Adjustment and not Medici v. BPR for guidance. Therefore, an applicant requesting "d(4)" variance relief does not need to show that the site is particularly suited for more intensive development. Rather, an applicant must demonstrate that the site will accommodate the problems associated with a floor area larger than that permitted by the ordinance.

## Planning and Zoning Review

We offer the following for the Board's consideration.

## Calculation of Floor Area Ratio (FAR)

As previously noted, the applicant has requested variance relief pursuant to NJSA 40:55D-70d.(4) of the Municipal Land Use Law (MLUL) for an increase in the permitted floor area ratio (FAR). For reference, "floor area" is defined by Section 200-4 of the Township's land use regulations as follows. Those portions of a building which are not included as floor area are underlined and italicized.

The sum of the gross horizontal areas of the several floors of a building or group of buildings on a lot, measured from the exterior faces of exterior walls or from the center line of party walls separating two buildings. Floor area shall not include roof overhangs less than three feet or any floors or portions thereof contained on terraces or balconies projecting beyond the exterior face of the building, areas occupied permanently by mechanical equipment,) any space where the floor-toceiling height shall be less than seven feet, provided that such space shall be used only for storage, building maintenance and operation activities, and roofed or enclosed areas devoted exclusively to off-street parking and loading spaces in excess of the number required by ordinance. Basements which satisfy applicable construction code definitions of habitable space, whether finished or unfinished, are included in floor area calculations for residential purposes.

The applicant should confirm that the existing and proposed FAR was calculated pursuant to the Township's definition of "floor area." Specifically, the applicant should confirm that the calculated floor area does not include any of the provisions which are specifically exempt from that term.

## Proposed Building Design

The applicant should provide testimony as to how the design of the proposed building compares to the designs of existing buildings in the area.

## Tree Removal

The applicant should indicate whether any trees are proposed to be removed.

## Cellar

The cellar level has several rooms which are unlabeled. The anticipated utilization of these rooms should be discussed. We note that these unlabeled rooms do not include closets.

## Comparison to Neighborhood

An updated table as well as two updated maps have been provided which respectively identify those dwellings in the vicinity of the subject site which exceed the FAR standards established by the R-20 District, as well as those which exceed the proposed FAR of the subject site. This information was expanded two include additional dwellings along Varsity Avenue and was based upon publicly available tax information. Of the twenty-eight properties analyzed, four properties exceed the FAR standards of the R-20 District while three properties (including a two-family dwelling) exceed the FAR proposed by the applicant.

Table 3: FAR Analysis

| Block | Lot | Address | Lot Size | Floor Area | FAR | Greater <br> Than District | Greater than Proposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 91 | 14 | 198 Varsity Road | 19,976 | 1,344 | 6.72 | No | No |
| 91 | 17 | 33 Pierson Ave | 19,994 | 1,152 | 5.76 | No | No |
| 91 | 18 | 205 Mather Ave | 10,998 | 1,274 | 11.58 | No | No |
| 91 | 19 | 207 Mather Ave | 21,344 | 1,168 | 5.47 | No | No |
| 91 | 20 | 207 Varsity Ave | 12,196 | 1,156 | 9.47 | No | No |
| 91 | 21 | 210 Varsity Ave | 23,522 | 1,728 | 7.34 | No | No |
| 91 | 22 | 34 Wilder Ave | 12,632 | 1,130 | 8.94 | No | No |
| 91 | 24 | 202 Varsity Ave | 17,998 | 2,944 | 16.35 | Yes | Yes |
| 91 | 26 | 218 Varsity Ave | 21,209 | 1,798 | 8.47 | No | No |
| 91 | 29 | 214 Varsity Ave | 15,246 | 2,208 | 14.48 | Yes | No |
| 91 | 30 | 215 Mather Ave | 12,632 | 1,166 | 9.23 | No | No |
| 91 | 31 | 211 Mather Ave | 15,372 | 896 | 5.82 | No | No |
| 91 | 32 | 28 Wilder Ave | 15,398 | 1,208 | 7.84 | No | No |
| 92 | 22 | 196 Mather Ave | 21,780 | 2,499 | 11.47 | No | No |
| 92 | 23 | 198 Mather Ave | 20,908 | 1,772 | 8.47 | No | No |
| 92 | 24 | 200 Mather Ave | 20,908 | 1,898 | 9.07 | No | No |
| 92 | 25 | 202 Mather Ave | 22,215 | 1,912 | 8.60 | No | No |
| 90 | 10 | 192-194 Varsity Ave | 15,246 | 3,486 | 22.86 | Yes | Yes |
| 90 | 11 | 28 Pierson Ave | 15,002 | 1,264 | 8.42 | No | No |
| 90 | 12 | 30 Pierson Ave | 15,002 | 1,428 | 9.51 | No | No |
| 90 | 13 | 36 Pierson Ave | 15,002 | 1,468 | 9.78 | No | No |
| 84 | 4 | 195 Varsity Ave | 14,810 | 2,411 | 16.27 | Yes | Yes |
| 83 | 44 | 197 Varsity Ave | 21,313 | 2,474 | 11.60 | No | No |
| 83 | 45 | 201 Varsity Ave | 21,601 | 2,246 | 10.39 | No | No |
| 83 | 9 | 207 Varsity Ave | 28,000 | 1,897 | 6.77 | No | No |
| 83 | 40 | 213 Varsity Ave | 17,498 | 1,608 | 9.18 | No | No |
| 83 | 34 | 215 Varsity Ave | 13,965 | 1,252 | 8.96 | No | No |
| 83 | 29 | 219 Varsity Ave | 12,249 | 1,524 | 12.44 | No | No |



Map 1: Aerial of Subject Site (scale: $7^{\prime \prime}=150$ ')



